MNRAS 000, 1-11 (0000)

Compiled using MNRAS ${\rm I\!AT}_{\rm E}\!{\rm X}$ style file v3.0

The Second LBA Calibrator Survey of southern compact extragalactic radio sources — LCS2

Leonid Petrov^{1*}, Alet de Witt², Alessandra Bertarini³, Elaine M. Sadler⁴, Chris Phillips⁵, and Shinji Horiuchi⁶,

¹NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Rd, MD 22071, USA

²Hartebeesthoek Radio Astronomy Observatory, P.O.Box 443, Krugersdorp 1740, South Africa

³Institute of Geodesy and Geoinformation, University of Bonn, Nussallee 17, Bonn, Germany and

⁴Sydney Institute for Astronomy, School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

⁶CSIRO Astronomy and Space Science, PO Box 76, Epping, NSW 1710, Australia

⁷Shinji's affiliation, Australia

Accepted XXX; Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

We present the second catalogue of accurate positions and correlated flux densities for 1100 compact extragalactic radio sources that were not observed before 2008 at high angular resolution. The catalogue spans the declination range $[-90^{\circ}, -30^{\circ}]$ and was constructed from nineteen 24-hour VLBI observing sessions with the Australian Long Baseline Array at 8.3 GHz. The catalogue presents the final part of the program that was started in 2008. The goals of that campaign are 1) to extend the number of compact radio sources with precise coordinates and measure their correlated flux densities, which can be used for phase referencing observations, geodetic VLBI, search for sources with significant offset with respect to *Gaia* positions, and space navigation; 2) to extend the complete flux-limited sample of compact extragalactic sources to the southern hemisphere; and 3) to investigate the parsec-scale properties of sources from the high-frequency AT20G survey. The median uncertainty of the source positions is 3.5 mas. As a result of this VLBI campaign, the number of compact radio sources south of declination -40° which have measured VLBI correlated flux densities and positions known to milliarcsecond accuracy has increased by a factor of 6.4.

Key words: astrometry – catalogues – instrumentation: interferometers – radio continuum – surveys

1 INTRODUCTION

Until recently, the method of very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) proposed by Matveenko et al. (1965) was the only way to measure positions of extragalactic radio sources that are almost exclusively active galactic nuclea (AGNs) with sub-nanoradian accuracy. In 2016, it has been demonstrated (Lindegren et al. 2016) that *Gaia* is able to get the position accuracy in par with VLBI. However, comparison of VLBI and *Gaia* matching sources showed (Mignard et al. 2016; Petrov & Kovalev 2017a) that there is a population of sources with statistically significant position offsets. A more detailed analysis by Kovalev et al. (2017), later ex-

tend by Petrov et al. (2018) revealed that VLBI/Gaia offsets have a preferred direction along the jet with the mean offset in a range of 1–2 mas that was interpreted as a manifestation of the contribution of optical jet to the centroid position. This allowed Petrov & Kovalev (2017b) to make a conclusion that VLBI/Gaia difference is due to the fact VLBI and Gaia see different part of a source and further improvement in accuracy beyond 1-2 mas level will not result in a reconciliation of VLBI and Gaia coordinates of active galaxies. Recent publication of Plavin et al. (2018) provided further compelling evidence of it. Moreover, the VLBI/Gaia offsets brings an important signal that allows us to make an inference about milliarcsecond scale source structure of AGNs that currently cannot be observed directly. As a consequence, if we need achieve accuracy better 1–2 mas, we cannot borrow *Gaia* positions of matching

Max Planck Institute for Radioastronomy, Bonn, Germany

^{*} E-mail:Leonid.Petrov@nasa.gov

sources, but have to rely on VLBI determination of source coordinates for applications that needs high accuracy, such as space navigation, Earth orientation parameter monitoring, and comparison of positions of pulsars determined with VLBI and timing.

In this context, it becomes increasingly important to have an all-sky, deep, and precise catalogue of positions of extragalactic sources from radio observations. The most productive instrument for absolute radio astrometry is the Very Long Baseline Array. Using VLBA, one can easily determine positions of sources at declinations $[-30^{\circ}, +90^{\circ}]$ (Beasley et al. 2002; Petrov et al. 2005, 2006; Kovalev et al. 2007; Petrov et al. 2008; Petrov 2011, 2013; Petrov & Taylor 2011; Petrov et al. 2016; Petrov 2016); with some difficulties positions of sources at declinations $[-45^{\circ}, -30^{\circ}]$ (Fomalont et al. 2003); but with some exceptions one cannot observe sources with declinations below -45° . The sequence of VLBA Calibrator Surveys 1–9 (VCS) (e.g., Petrov et al. 2008, and references therein) provided a dense grid of calibrator sources.

The lack of a VLBA analogue in the southern hemisphere resulted in the past in a significant hemisphere disparity of the source distribution in absolute radioastrometry catalogues. To alleviate this problem, we launched a program for observing radio sources at declinations $[-90^{\circ}, -40^{\circ}]$ with Long Baseline Array (LBA) in 2008. The main goal of the program was to increase the density of calibrator sources with positions known at milliarcsecond level in the southern hemisphere to make an analogue of VCS in the south. Unlike to the VCS surveys at the northern hemisphere, we used predominately AT20G at 20 GHz from Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) observations for drawing the candidate list for LBA observations.

The results of the first part of this campaign for observing the brightest sources, the catalogue LCS–1 was published by (Petrov et al. 2011b). Here we present results of the second, final part of the campaign. In the following sections we describe observations, data analysis, analysis of reported errors, and provide a brief discussion of results.

2 OBSERVATIONS

2.1 Network

The network of observing station includes 11 stations listed in Table 1, although only a subset of stations participated at any given observing session. The list of VLBI experiments, observation dates, and the participating network is shown in Table 2. The network, except station HARTRAO is shown in Figure 1. Station ASKAP participated in three experiments, station TIDBINBILLA observed only during 4–8 hours intervals. The 64 m station PARKES was scheduled in every experiment and in every scan of target sources since it is the most sensitive antenna of the network and therefore, the sensitivity at baselines with PARKES is the highest.

Stations ATCA, CEDUNA and MOPRA were equipped with the LBA VLBI backend consisting of an Australia Telescope National Facility (ATNF) Data Acquisition System (DAS) with an LBADR recorder. The ATNF DAS only allows two simultaneous intermediate frequencies (IFs): either 2 frequencies or 2 polarizations. For each of these IFs the input

Table 1. The LBA network. The typical system equivalent flux density (SEFD) at 8.3 GHz at elevation angles $> 45^{\circ}$ achieved in LCS experiments is shown in the last column.

Code	Name	ϕ_{gc}	λ	Diam	SEFD
As	ASKAP	$-26^{\circ}.53$	$116^{\circ}.63$	$12 \mathrm{m}$	8300 Jy
At	ATCA	$-30^{\circ}.15$	$149^{\circ}.57$	$5\times22~{\rm m}$	$140 \mathrm{~Jy}$
Cd	CEDUNA	$-31^{\circ}.70$	$133^{\circ}.81$	32 m	600 Jy
Ha	HARTRAO	$-25^{\circ}.74$	$27^{\circ}.69$	26 m	1200 Jy
Ho	HOBART26	$-42^{\circ}.62$	$147^{\circ}.44$	26 m	$850 \mathrm{~Jy}$
Ke	KATH12M	$-14^{\circ}.28$	$132^{\circ}.15$	$12 \mathrm{m}$	3000 Jy
Mp	MOPRA	$-31^{\circ}.10$	$149^{\circ}.10$	22 m	400 Jy
Pa	PARKES	$-32^{\circ}.82$	$148^{\circ}.26$	64 m	$50 \mathrm{Jy}$
Td	TIDBINBILLA	$-35^{\circ}.22$	$148^{\circ}.98$	$34 \mathrm{m}$	120 Jy
Yg	yarra12m	$-28^{\circ}.88$	$115^{\circ}.35$	$12 \mathrm{m}$	3000 Jy
Ww	WARK12M	$-36^{\circ}.25$	$174^{\circ}.66$	$12 \mathrm{m}$	$3000 \mathrm{~Jy}$



Figure 1. The LBA stations network. Station Hh (HARTRAO), 60 km north-west of Johannesburg, South Africa, is not shown.

64 MHz analog IF is digitally filtered to 2 contiguous 16 MHz bands. Stations ATCA and MOPRA were equipped with two LBDAR recorders, however because of hardware limitations additional recorders could not be used for expanding the bandwidth , but could be used for recording both polarizations. Thus, the stations equipped with the ATNF backend could record two bands 32 MHz wide. This imposed a limitation on the frequency setup: spreading the frequencies too narrow would result in degradation of group delay accuracy and spreading the frequencies two wide would results in group delay ambiguities with very narrow group delay ambiguities spacings. Our choice was to spread 32 MHz sub-bands at 256 MHz that allowed us to determine group delay with uncertainly 123 ps when the signal to noise ratio is 10 and with ambiguity of 3.9125 ns.

Other stations were equipped with Mark-4 data acquisition terminals that were replaced with Mark-5 during to the course of the campaign. Station CEDUNA was upgraded from the ATNF backend to Mark-5 in 2015 and used Mark-5 in last three observing sessions. The stations equipped with Mark-5 recorded 256 MHz bandwidth, except TIDBINBILLA that prior 2016 was able to record only 128 MHz and station ASKAP that could record a single bandwidth 64 MHz,

Table 2. List of the LBA Calibrator Survey experiments. The first column shows the campaign segment, the second and third show the observing session and experiment ID, and the last segment shows the network of participating stations.

LCS-1	20080205_r	v254b	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa
LCS-1	20080810_r	v271a	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa-Td
LCS-1	20081128_r	v271b	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa-Td
LCS-1	20090704_r	v271c	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa
LCS-2	20091212_r	v271d	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa
LCS-2	20100311_r	v271e	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa
LCS-2	20100725_{p}	v271f	At-Cd-Ho-Mp-Pa
LCS-2	20101029_p	v271g	At-Cd-Mp-Pa
LCS-2	20110402_{p}	v271h	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Ww-Td
LCS-2	20110723_p	v271i	As-At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Pa-Td-Ww
LCS-2	20111111_p	v271j	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Td
LCS-2	20111112_p	v441a	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Td
LCS-2	20120428_{p}	v271k	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Pa-Ww-Yg
LCS-2	20130315_p	v2711	As-At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Pa-Ww-Td
LCS-2	$20130615_{-}p$	v271m	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Pa-Ww-Td
LCS-2	20140603_p	v493a	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Mp-Pa-Td
LCS-2	$20150407_{-}p$	v271n	At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Ke-Pa-Ww-Yg
LCS-2	20150929_q	v2710	As-At-Cd-Ho-Hh-Ke-Pa-Ww-Yg
LCS-2	20160628_q	v493c	$\label{eq:As-At-Cd-Ke-Mp-Pa-Yg} As-At-Cd-Ke-Mp-Pa-Yg$



Figure 2. The frequency allocation in v271i experiment. The channel width is 16 MHz for all stations, except As, which has the channel width 64 MHz. Single polarization channels are shown with light-gray color and dual polarization channels are shown with dark-Gray color.

dual polarization. The stations equipped with Mark-4 or Mark-5 recorded more 16 MHz wide frequency channels with 320 MHz wide bandwidth that partly overlapped with the frequency channels recorded by the stations with the ATNF backend In every experiment network stations used from 2 to 5 different setups and these setups were changing from the experiment to experiment. Figure 2 shows as an example the frequency setup of v271i experiment. The versatility of the DiFX-2 correlator (Deller et al. 2011) allowed to crosscorrelate the overlapping regions of such experiments. The heterogeneity of the available VLBI hardware made correlation more difficult but fortunately, did not introduced noticeable systematic errors in group delay. The most profound effect of this frequency allocation is ambiguities in group delay at baselines with stations that record with the ATNF backend.

The Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) consists of six 22 m antennas. Five of them can be phased up



Figure 3. The fringe plot at ATCA/PARKES, source J2225-0457 during vt10k test experiment. The upper plot shows fringe phase, the lower plot shows fringe amplitude. Light-gray area "1" denotes the interval when single ATCA station records. The dark-gray area "3" denotes the interval when the phased-array records. The medium-gray area "2" denotes the intermediate interval.

and record signal as a single element of the VLBI network. The position of the ATCA phase center can be specified by the user. This feature became available in 2009. However, we exercised a caution in using phased ATCA since attempts to use phased Westerbork array for astrometry revealed significant phase fluctuations which rendered it highly problematic for precise astrometry (Sergei Pogrebenko, private communication, 2010). Therefore, we investigated performance of phase ATCA in a special 4 hour long test experiment that we ran on May 08, 2010. Stations ATCA, CEDUNA, HOBART26, MOPRA, and PARKES recorded the same frequency setup as in the LCS experiments. For the first 60 seconds of a 4 minute long scan ATCA recorded signal from the single antenna at pad with ID 104 (see LCS1 paper for the nomenclature of ATCA pads), then it switched to the phased array with the phase center at the same pad and recorded for 90 second. Finally, ATCA switched back to recording the signal from a single station. In total, 232 scans of strong sources were recorded. The typical plots of the normalized uncalibrated fringe amplitude and fringe phase as a function of time within a scan are shown in figure 3.

We see that for 18 seconds after switching to the phasedup mode the fringe amplitude is steadily drops by 15% and then suddenly returns back and stays stable within 2%. We consider this as transitional interval. The fringe phase does not show change greater 0.01 rad just after switching back to the phased mode, but shows a sudden change in a range of 0.1-0.2 rad after the end of the transitional interval and immediately after switching from the phased to the single antenna record mode.

We computed average fringe phases, phase delay rates, group delays, and group delay rates by running the fringe fitting algorithm trough the same data three times. During the first processing run we masked out single antenna recording mode and the first 18 s of the phased recording mode



Figure 4. Differences in group delays from the same observations in test vt10k experiment. The solid green circles show the differences in group delay between ATCA phased-array and ATCAsingle stations. The wrms of the differences is 38 ps. For comparison, the whole blue circles show the differences in group delay from first 60 sec and last 90 seconds of the integration interval.

keeping 72 s long data in each scan when ATCA recorded in the phased mode. During the second processing run we masked out the data when ATCA recorded in the phased mode. During the third run we processed first 60 s and last 90 s of each scan when ATCA recorded in the single antenna mode. We referred group delay and fringe phases to the same common epoch within a scan and formed their differences.

The differences in group delay between phased and single antenna recording mode at different baselines with ATCA is shown on Figure 4 with green color. The weighted root mean square (wrms) of the differences is 38 ps. For comparison, the differences in group delays computed using the first 60 seconds and last 90 seconds of a 4 minute long scan recorded at ATCA in the single antenna mode and referred to the same middle epoch are shown with blue color. The wrms of these differences is 59 ps. The differences in fringe phase between recording at ATCA with phased model and single antenna mode are shown in Figure 5. The wrms of phase differences is 0.12 rad.

We analyzed dependence if the differences versus elevation, azimuth and parallactic angle, but found no pattern. The uncalibrated averaged fringe amplitude at baselines with ATCA data recorded as a phased array is a factor of 2.27 greater than the uncalibrated fringe amplitude with ATCA data recorded as a single antenna, which is within 2% of $\sqrt{5}$.

We conclude that phasing ATCA up does not introduce noticeable systematic errors in group delay and fringe phases. The differences in group delays is a factor of 1.5 less than the difference in group delay computed from two subset of data separated by 90 s. The differences in phases is the random noise with wrms is 0.12 rad, which corresponds to 0.6 mm. Therefore, we concluded that using of phased ATCA as an element of the VLBI network does not introduce systematic errors, but improves sensitivity of ATCA by a factor of 2.27. This was the first use of phased array as an element of a VLBI network for absolute astrometry.



Figure 5. Differences in fringe phase delays between ATCA phased-array and ATCA-single recording from the same observations in test vt10k experiment. The wrms of the differences ins 0.12 rad.

2.2 Source selection

We selected for observations the target sources that were previously detected with single dish observations or with connected interferometers at baseline 0.1–5 km. The input catalogues provided the estimates of flux density at angular resolutions 1–100". The response of an interferometer to an extended source depends on its compactness and the size of the interferometer. The baseline projection lengths of the LCS network vary in a range of 5–300 M λ . That means the interferometer will be sensitive for emission from the compact components of milliarcsecond size. The response to extended emission with a size more than 1 mas at the longest baselines and 50 mas at the shortest baselines will be attenuated, and the interferometer will not detect signal from emission with size a more than a factor 2–5 beyond that level.

In order to maximize the number of detected sources, we have to select the targets with the highest compactness: the ratio of the correlated flux density at 5–300 M λ to the total flux density. As a marker of high compactness we initially used spectral index defined as $S \sim f^{\alpha}$, where f is the frequency. As a result of synchrotron self-absorption the emission from the optically thick jet based that is morphologically referred to as the core of an AGN, has flat ($\alpha \approx 0$) or inverted spectrum ($\alpha > 0$). The optically think emission from the extended jet and extended radio-lobes that are a result of interaction of the jet with surrounding interstellar medium usually has steep spectrum ($\alpha < 1$). Therefore, one can expect the sources with flat spectrum, on average, will have a higher compactness, which was confirmed with observations (e.g., Beasley et al. 2002).

For the coarse of the 8-year long campaign our sources selection strategy gradually evolved, but all the time it was focused on selecting the sources with brightest correlated flux density. In the first three experiments we selected the sources with spectral index > -0.50 from the quarter-Jansky survey (Jackson et al. 2002) brighter 200 mJy. In following experiments were used several criteria for selecting the targets. In experiments v271c-v271m we selected the candidate sources brighter 150 mJy with spectral index > -0.55 from the AT20G catalogue (Murphy et al.

2010). In addition to that, we selected the sources brighter 180 mJy and spectral index > -0.55 from the PMN catalogue (Griffith & Wright 1993; Wright et al. 1994; Griffith et al. 1994; Condon et al. 1993; Tasker et al. 1994; Griffith et al. 1995; Wright et al. 1996). In v271k–v271m experiments we selected the sources brighter 170 mJy and spectral index > -0.55 from the ATPMN catalogue (McConnell et al. 2012). The priority was given to sources with declinations -40° , although a small fraction of sources with declinations in a range $[-30^{\circ}, -40^{\circ}]$ was also observed. We should not here that we selected *some* sources from these pools and did not have intention to select *all* the sources.

However, an approach of selecting flat spectrum sources does not provide a good prediction for correlated flux density for the sources within $5-7^{\circ}$ of the Galactic plane. First, the Galactic plane is crowded and a chance to make an error in cross-matching the sources observed with instruments at different angular resolutions and poor positional accuracy is rather high. This will result in a gross mistake in the estimate of the spectral indices. Second, the density of galactic sources with flat spectrum, such as supernova remnants and ultra-compact H II regions is much higher within the Galactic plane. An attempt to observe flat spectrum sources in the Galactic plane by cross-matching the MGPS-2 catalogue at 843 MHz (Murphy et al. 2007) with other catalogues resulted in a detection rate of $\sim 10\%$. To overcome this problem, we used another approach to find candidate sources in the Galactic plane: we analyzed IR color-color diagram. Massaro et al. (2011) noticed that the blazars occupied a special zone in the color-color diagram 3.4–4.6 μm and 4.6–12 μ m. We analyzed this dependence independently and delineated the zone that encompasses over 85% compact radio-loud AGNs from the cumulative VLBI catalogue RFC (Petrov & Kovalev, 2019, in preparation¹). See section 4.2 in Schinzel et al. (2015) for detail. After the failure with cross matching MGPS-2 with higher frequency radio catalogues, we tried the second approach: we selected all the sources within 5° of the Galactic plane and declinations below -40° and flux density > 50 mJy and left those that have crossmatches against IR WISE catalogue (Wright et al. 2010; Mainzer et al. 2011) within 30''. Then we threw away the sources that are beyond the zone of the 3.4–4.6 μ m and 4.6– 12 μ m diagram that contains 85% radio loud AGNs. We observed the brightest sources from the remaining sample. The detection rate of this sample was 57%.

In addition to these selection methods, we observed in three experiments, v441a, v493a, and v493c, the flat spectrum sources brighter 10 mJy that were detected at 5 and 9 GHz by the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) within its error ellipse, i.e. 2–5' of unassociated sources γ ray sources detected with *Fermi* mission (Abdo et al. 2010) that we found in a dedicated program (Petrov et al. 2013; Schinzel et al. 2015, 2017) focused in finding the most plausible radio counterparts of γ -ray source. Since radio-loud γ -ray AGNs tend to be very compact, the presence of a radio source detected with a connected interferometer within the error ellipse of a γ -ray raises the probability of being detected with VLBI. Observing such sources, first fits the primary goal of the LCS program, second, allows to find an association to Fermi objects that previously were considered unassociated.

2.3 Scheduling

The experiment schedules were generated automatically with program sur_sked in a sequence that minimizes the slewing time and obeys a number of constraints. Target sources were observed in three to four scans for 2 to 4 minutes long each, except weak targets of candidates to Fermi associations that were observed for 5–10 minutes. VLBI experiments had a nominal duration of 24 hours. During each session, 80–100 target sources were observed. The minimum gap between consecutive observations of the same source was set to 2.5 hours. Station PARKES was required to participate in each scan, since it is the most sensitive antenna of the array. After 1.5 hours of observing targets sources, a block of so-called calibrator sources was inserted. These are the sources picked from the pool of known compact objects stronger 300 mJy. The block consists of 4 sources, with two of them observed at each station in the elevations in the range of $10-30^{\circ}$ (30-40° for PARKES that have elevation limit 31°) and two observed at elevations 55–85°. The goal of these observations: 1) to improve the estimate of the atmosphere path delay in zenith direction; 2) to connect the LCS catalogue to the accumulative catalogue of compact radio sources; 3) to use these sources as bandpass calibrator; 4) to use these sources as amplitude calibrators for elevation gain corrections.

3 DATA ANALYSIS

The antenna recorded voltage sampled with 2-bits and the aggregate bit rate from 256 to 1024 millions of samples per second. The first step of data analysis is correlation that is performed at the dedicated facility followed by post-correlator analysis that computes group delays and phase delay rates using the spectrum of cross-correlated data, followed by astrometric analysis that computes source positions, followed by amplitude analysis that either produces source images or estimates of the correlated flux density at the specified range of the lengths of projected baselines.

3.1 Correlation and post-correlation analysis

The first four experiments were correlated with the Bonn Mark4 Correlator. The data from ATCA-104, CEDUNA, and MOPRA, originally recorded in LBADR format, were transformed to the Mark5B format before correlation. Correlation of the data from LBADR data acquisition rack against the data from the Mark-4 data acquisition terminal was complicated since this is a non-standard operation. Post-correlation analysis of these data was performed at the correlator using software program FOURFIT, the baseline-based fringe fit offered within the Haystack Observatory Package Software (HOPS) to estimate the residual group delay and phase delay rate. More detail about processing these experiments can be found in Petrov et al. (2011b).

Other experiments were correlated with the DiFX software correlator (Deller et al. 2011) at the International Centre for Radio Astronomy Research, the joint venture between

¹ Preview is available at http://astrogeo.org/rfc

Curtin University and the University of Western Australia. The output of DiFX correlator was converted to FITS-IDI format and further processed with PIMA VLBI data analysis software (Petrov et al. 2011a). The correlator provided the time series of the auto- and cross-spectrum of the recorded signal with spectral resolution 0.25 MHz and time resolution 0.25 s. Such a choice of correlation parameters allowed us to detect a sources within several arcminutes of the pointing direction, i.e., everywhere within the primary beam of PARKES radio telescope that has full with half maximum (FWHM) at 8.4 GHz close to 2'.

The post-correlator analysis chain includes the following steps:

• Coarse fringe fitting that is performed using an abridged grid of group delays and delay rates without further refinement. The goals of this step is to find at each baseline 10–15 observations with the highest signal to noise ratio (SNR) and detect failures at one or more IFs.

• Computation of a complex bandpass using the 12 observations with the highest SNR. The complex bandpass describes a distortion of the phase and amplitude of the recorded signal with respect to the signal that reached the antennas. We flagged at this step the IFs that either were not recorded or failed. We used 12 observations for redundancy in order to evaluate the statistics of residual deviation of the phase and amplitude as a function of frequency from the ideal after applying the bandpass computed over the 12 observations using least squares. Large residuals triggered detailed investigation that in a case of serious hardware problem resulted in flagging affected spectral channels.

• Fine fringe fitting that is performed using the complex bandpass and the bandpass mask derived in the previous step. The preliminary value of the group delay and phase delay is found as the maximum element of the two-dimensional Fourier transform of the time series of cross-correlation spectrum sampled over time and frequency with a step 4 times finer over each dimension than the original data. The fine value of the group delay and phase delay rate is adjusted from phases of the cross-correlation function (also known as fringe phases) as small corrections to the preliminary values using least squares. Phase residuals of the cross-correlation spectrum are analyzed and additive corrections to the a priori weights are computed on the basis of this analysis. The uncertainties of estimates of group delays are derived from uncertainties of fringe phases and additive weights corrections. The uncertainties of fringe phases depend on fringe amplitudes. The explicit expression can be found on page 233 of Thompson et al. (2017).

• Computation of total group delays and phase delay rates. The group delays and phase delay rates derived at the previous step are corrections to the a priori delays and phase delay rates used during correlation. The mathematical model of the a priori group delay and phase rate used by the correlator is expanded over polynomials of the 5th order at 2 minute long intervals that cover the time range of a VLBI experiment. Using these coefficients, the a priori group delays and phase delay rates are computed to a common epoch within a scan for the event of arriving the wavefront at a reference station of a baseline. Using these a priori group delays and phase delay rates, the total group delays for that epoch are formed.



Figure 6. The normalized fringe amplitude as a function of group delay between stations that had LBDAR recording system. The fringe amplitude is divided by the amplitude at the global maximum.

3.2 Astrometric analysis

Total group delay is the main observable for astrometric analysis. During further analysis, the a priori model of group delay, more sophisticated than that used for correlation, is computed, and the differences between observed and theoretical path delays are formed. The partial derivatives of this model over source coordinates, station positions, the Earth ordination parameters, atmosphere path delay in zenith direction, and clock function are computed. Then corrections to that parameters are adjusted using least squares.

The frequency setup used for this campaign, selected due to hardware limitation, see as an example the setup for v271i segment in Figure 2, posed a challenge in data analysis. The Fourier transform over frequency over baselines with ATCA, CEDUNA, MOPRA in this example that uses LBDAR data acquisition system has strong secondary maxima (see Figure 6). The amplitude of the 2nd maximum is 0.98, the third maximum 0.93, and the fourth maximum 0.83 with respect of the global maximum. Due to the noise in data and phase distortion, the fringe fitting process cannot reliably distinguish the primary and the secondary maxima, and as a result, group delay is determined with the ambiguity of $N \times 1/2.56 \cdot 10^8 \approx 3.9$ ns, where N is a random integer number, typically in a range [-2,2].

The first stage of the astrometric data analysis is to use for least squares the so-called narrow-band group delays derived as an arithmetic average of group delays computed over each IF independently. The dataset of narrow-band group delays is to be cleaned for outliers during the residual analysis procedure. The narrow-band delays do not have ambiguities, but are one order of magnitude less precise than group delays computed over the entire band. The estimated parameters at this stage are station positions and coordinates of target sources, as well as atmospheric path delays in zenith direction and clock function in a form of expansion over the B-spline basis. The contribution of the adjusted parameters to path delay computed using the narrow-band delays is substituted to the group delay residuals and then used for initial resolving group delay ambiguities. The procedure for group delay ambiguity resolution is described in detail in Petrov et al. (2011b).

After the group delay ambiguities are resolved, the dataset is cleaned for outliers in group delay. If necessary, the parametric model of clock is refined for incorporating discontinuities at specified epochs. Initial data weights were chosen to be reciprocal to the group delay uncertainty σ_g . Then the additive baseline-dependent wight corrections *a* were computed for each observing session to make the ratio of the weighted sum of residuals be close to their mathematical expectation. These weights we used in the initial solution. The weights used in the final solution had a form

$$w = \frac{1}{k \cdot \sqrt{\sigma_g^2 + a^2 + b^2}},\tag{1}$$

where k is a multiplicative factor and b an additive weight correction for taking into account mismodeled ionosphere contribution to group delay (see below). Such clean dataset of group delays is used in further analysis.

The final LCS catalogue was derived using all dualband X/S (8.4/2.3 GHz) observations since 1980 through July 2018 under geodesy and astrometry programs that are publicly available and 19 LCS X-band experiments in a single least square solution. The estimated parameters are split into three groups: global parameters that are adjusted for the entire dataset, local parameters that are specific for a given experiment, and segmented parameters that are specific for a time interval shorter than the observing session duration. The global estimated parameters are coordinates of all observed sources, positions and velocities of all observing stations, harmonic variations of station positions at annual, semi-annual, diurnal, and semi-diurnal frequencies, as well as B-spline coefficients that describe discontinuities and non-linear motion of station caused by seismic activity. The local parameters are pole coordinates UT1 and their first time derivative. The segmented parameters are clock function for all the station except the reference one, and residual atmosphere path delay in zenith direction.

For the course of LCS campaign, a number of target sources were observed in follow-up VLBI experiments. We excluded these sources from dual-band experiments in our LCS solution. The position of LCS target sources were derived using only LCS 8.3 GHz data. Observations of these sources were later used for evaluation of errors of the LCS catalogue and computation of the multiplicative weight correction k.

Since equations of electromagnetic wave propagation are invariant with respect to rotation of the celestial coordinate system, as well as translation and rotation of terrestrial coordinate system, the system of equations have a rank deficiency and determines only a family of solutions. In order to define the solution from that family, we applied nonet-rotation constraints for source coordinates requiring the new positions of 212 so-called defining sources have no netrotation with with respect to their positions in the ICRF1 catalogue (Ma et al. 1998). Similarly, we imposed the nonet-rotation and no-net-translation constraints on station positions and velocities.

3.3 Imaging analysis

Since the median number of observations is 30, generation images using LCS data is problematic. Considering the scarcity of data and a poor *uv*-coverage, direct imaging either produces a map with a dynamic range 1:10 to 1:100 with a high chance of an imaging artefact be unnoticed or, if to pursue elimination of artefacts aggressively, the image will be close to a point-source or a single component Gaussian.

Recognizing these challenges, we limited our amplitude analysis to evaluation of a simplified source model. In this paper we limited our analysis to evaluation of the mean correlated flux density estimates in three ranges of lengths of the baseline projections onto the plane tangential to the source, without inversion of calibrated visibility data using the same technique as we used for processing first 4 LCS experiments (Petrov et al. 2011b). A reader is referred to this publication for detail. Here we outline the procedure.

At the first step, we analyze system temperature, remove outliers, evaluate the radiative atmosphere temperature, compute receiver temperature, interpolate it for restoring missing data, and generate a cleaned dataset of system temperatures. Dividing it by the a priori elevationdependent antenna gain, we get the a priori system equivalent flux density (SEFD).

At the second step, we estimate station-dependent multiplicative gain corrections to calibrated fringe amplitudes of calibrator sources with least squares by using a number of sources with known 8 GHz images that can be found in the Astrogeo VLBI FITS image database² that we maintain. During this procedure, we iteratively exclude those images which resulted in large residuals. Due to variability, the flux density of some individual sources may raise or decline, but the average flux density of a sample of sources is expected to be more stable than the flux density of individual objects.

At the third step, we apply adjusted SEFDs and compute the correlated flux densities of target sources. Then we sort the fringe amplitude over baseline projection lengths and compute median estimates of the correlated flux density in three ranges: 0–10 M λ (< 360 km), 10–40 M λ (360-1440 km) and 40–300 M λ (1400–10800 km). These parameters characterize the strength of a source, and it has to be accounted for scheduling the observations. The accuracy of this procedure is estimated at a level of 20% judging on residuals of gain adjustments.

The list of 49915 estimates of correlated flux densities from individual observations of 1100 target sources and 368 calibrator sources is presented in the machine-readable table datafile3. The table contains the following information: source name, date of observations, baseline name, u- and vprojections of the baseline vector, the correlated flux density and their formal uncertainty, the signal to noise ratio, the instants system equivalent flux density for this observation, and the observing session code.

4 ERROR ANALYSIS

Single-band group delays are affected by the contribution of the ionosphere. Considering the ionosphere as a thin shell at a certain height above the Earth surface (typically 450 km),

² Available at http://astrogeo.org/vlbi_images/

the group delay can be expressed as

$$\tau_{\rm iono} = \frac{\alpha}{f_{\rm eff}^2} \, {\rm TEC} \, \frac{1}{\cos\beta},\tag{2}$$

where $f_{\rm eff}$ is the effective frequency, β is the zenith angle at the ionosphere piercing point, TEC is the total electron contents in the zenith direction at the ionosphere piercing point, and α is a constant (see Sovers et al. (1998) for detail). We have computed the a priori ionosphere contribution to group path delay using TEC maps from analysis of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) observations. Specifically, we used CODE TEC time series (Schaer 1999)³ with a resolution of $5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ} \times 1^{h}$ ($5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ} \times 2^{h}$ before December 19, 2013).

The TEC model from GNSS observation is an approximation, and the accuracy of a priori τ_{iono} from such a model is noticeably lower than the accuracy of τ_{iono} computed from the linear combination of group delays at X and S (or X and C) bands from dual-band observations. The errors of τ_{iono} from such observations is at level of several picoseconds according to Hawarey et al. (2005). We consider the contribution of mismodeled ionospheric path delay as the dominating source of systematic errors and, therefore, we investigated it in detail.

We used the global dataset of VLBI observations after July 01, 1998 for investigation of the residual ionospheric contribution to group delay after applying the a priori path delay delay derived from CODE global TEC maps. For each dual-band observing sessions, we decompose the slant ionospheric path delay from X/S observations at the product of the path delay in zenith direction and the mapping function, the ratio of the ionospheric path delay in a given elevation to the ionospheric path delay in zenith direction. Then we computed the rms of the total τ_{iono} in zenith direction from CODE global TEC maps, σ_t , and the rms of the differences in τ_{iono} in zenith direction computed using the CODE global TEC maps and the dual-band X/S group delays, σ_r . The ratio of these two statistics, variance admittance $A = \frac{\sigma_r^2}{\sigma_r^2}$, is a measure of the model goodness. Assuming A is stable, we can predict, unknown to us, statistics of σ_r^2 for singleband observations using σ_t^2 that we can compute from TEC model. We derived time series of parameter A from analysis of all dial-band observations after July 01, 1998.

Parameter A is not stable with time. Since A is computed as a ratio of variances, we sought an empirical regression models where A enters as a multiplicative factor. We computed the global total electron content (GTEC), by averaging the TEC over the sphere. As it was show by Afraimovich et al. (2008), such a parameter characterizes the global state of the ionosphere. Figure 7 shows the dependence of A on GTEC. We represent this dependence with a broken linear function with A=0.6 at GTEC=7.0, A=0.35 at GTEC=20.0 and A=0.25 at GTEC=60.0.

Using this dependence, for a given experiment we computed the GTEC, averaged it over the period of experiment duration, computed parameter A using the linear regression, computed the time series of the ionospheric contribution from the GTEC model for each station of a baseline, and



Figure 7. The dependence of the variance admittance factor A on the global total electron contents. The so-called TEC units (10¹⁶ electrons over zenith direction) are used for GTEC.

then computed the variances of the mismodeled contribution of the ionosphere to group delay in zenith direction for the first and second station of a baseline, Cov_{11} and Cov_{22} , as well as their covariances. Then for each observation we computed the predicted rms of mismodeled ionospheric contribution as

$$b = A \sqrt{\operatorname{Cov}_{11}^2 M_1^2(e) - 2\operatorname{Cov}_{12} M_1(e) M_2(e) + \operatorname{Cov}_{22}^2 M_2^2(e)}, (3)$$

where $M_1(e)$ and $M_2(e)$ are mapping function of the ionospheric path delay. These parameters b were used for weight corrections in expression 1.

Parameter A varied from 0.35 to 0.59 with the mean 0.48 for the LCS campaign. This means that applying the ionospheric contribution from the CODE TEC maps, we reduce the variance of the total contribution by a factor of 2, and the mismodeled part of the contribution is accounted in inflating uncertainty of group delay. The known deficiency of this approach is that first, the regression dependence of parameter on A on GTEC is rather coarse, and second, the correlations between residual ionospheric contributions are neglected.

For a check of the contribution of remaining systematic errors, we compared our source positions derived from X-band only LCS experiments with results of dial-band observations that included some LCS target sources. In 2017, the SOuthern Astrometry Program (SOAP) of dual-band follow-up observations at stations Hh-Ho-Ke-Yg-Wa-Ww-Pa at 2.3/8.4 GHz commenced. The goal of the program is to improve positions of bright sources with declinations below -45° . Buy August 2018, 10 twenty-hour experiments were observed. In two of them, PARKES station participated. The sources as week as 70 mJy were observed in experiments with PARKES, 2-3 scans per sources, and objects brighter 250 mJy were observed in other experiments, 8–10 scans per source. These experiments were made in the so-called geodetic frequency setup: 6 IFs of 16 MHz wide were spanned between 2.20 and 2.304 GHz (S-band) and 10 IFs of 16 MHz wide were spanned between 8.198 and 8.950 GHz (X-band). Group delays were computed for X and S band separately, and the ionosphere-free combinations of group delays were formed. At the moment of writing, the program has not fin-

³ Available at ftp://ftp.aiub.unibe.ch/CODE



Figure 8. The distribution of the normalized arc lengths between LCS X-band only positions of 269 sources and their X/S positions from the follow-up campaigns (Green dots). For comparison, the Rayleigh distribution with $\sigma = 1$ parameter is shown with a blue line.



Figure 9. The cumulative distribution of the LCS position errors.

ished, and a detailed analysis will be presented in the future upon completion of the program. Meanwhile, we use these 10 experiments to compare results and assess the errors.

We ran a reference global solution using all dual band X/S observations of the LCS target sources including the SOAP observations and excluding LCS observations. The reference and the LCS solutions differed 1) in the list of sessions that were used in the solutions and 2) in treatment of the atmosphere. The reference solution used ionosphere-free linear combinations of S and X-band observables, while the LCS solution used X-band only group delays and during data reduction applied the ionosphere contribution to group delay using the CODE TEC maps. The reference solution used weights according to expression 1 with k=1 and b=0.

We have compared the positions of 373 LCS target sources that are common with the reference solution. We did not find any outlier exceeding 20 mas that can be caused by errors in group delay ambiguity resolution. That means that all observations with unreliable ambiguity resolution were correctly flagged out and did not degrade the solution. At the same time, we found that the arc lengths divided by the their uncertainties, so-called normalized arcs, were larger than expected with the mean value 1.89. We at-



Figure 10. The sky distribution of compact radio sources at the southern hemisphere. Blue light color denotes 186 sources with declinations $< -40^{\circ}$ with VLBI positions known prior the LCS program. Green dark color denotes 1100 sources detected in LCS program. Red line shows the Galactic plane.

tributed this discrepancy to the underestimation of errors of LCS observations. To alleviate this underestimation, we varied the multiplicative factor k in expression 1 in such a way the distribution of normalized arcs be as close to the Rayleigh distribution with $\sigma = 1$ as possible. We found that when k = 1.80 is used for analysis of LCS observations, it approximates the distribution of normalized arcs over 269 sources that have at least 16 observations the Rayleigh distribution the best way. The mean arc length is 3.4 mas and the median value is 2.5 mas. The cumulative distribution of the final LCS position errors is shown in Figure 9.

5 THE CATALOGUE

The first 8 rows of the LCS catalogue are presented in Table 3 The catalogue presents source positions, position uncertainties, the number of used observations, flux densities in three ranges of baseline projection lengths, and their formal uncertainties. In total, the catalogue has 1100 entries. The median semi-major error ellipse axes of reported positions is 3.6 mas. The flux densities are in a range from 3 mJy to 2.5 Jy, with the median 102 mJy. For completeness, the list of 405 sources that have been observed, but not observed is given in the machine-readable table datafile2. The flux densities of such sources turned out below the detection limit that at baselines PARKES/ATCA, PARKES/HOBART26, PARKES/CEDUNA is typically 6–8 mJy.

The distribution of LCS sources on the sky is shown in Figure 10. The distribution is rather uniform and does not have avoidance zones. For comparison, the sources known priori the LCS campaign are shown with blue color.

(1)	(2)	(3) hh mm ss.fffff	(4) 。 ′ ′′	(5) mas	(6) mas	(7)	(8)	(9) Jy	(10) Jy	(11) Jy	(12) Jy	(13) Jy	(14) Jy
LCS J0001-4155	2358 - 422	$00 \ 01 \ 32.75494$	$-41 \ 55 \ 25.3367$	215.1	92.3	-0.904	5	0.008	0.007	-1.0	0.001	0.002	-1.0
LCS J0002-6726	2359 - 677	$00\ 02\ 15.19280$	$-67 \ 26 \ 53.4337$	89.6	32.8	0.553	5	0.006	0.007	-1.0	0.001	0.001	-1.0
LCS J0002-5621	0000 - 566	$00\ 02\ 53.46830$	$-56\ 21\ 10.7831$	23.8	9.3	0.421	8	0.172	0.052	0.141	0.013	0.012	0.031
LCS J0003-5444	0000 - 550	$00 \ 03 \ 10.63084$	-54 44 55.9923	42.1	10.7	-0.112	9	0.006	0.006	-1.0	0.001	0.001	-1.0
LCS J0003-5247	0000 - 530	$00 \ 03 \ 19.60042$	$-52\ 47\ 27.2834$	39.0	18.5	-0.291	8	0.013	0.014	-1.0	0.002	0.002	-1.0
LCS $J0004 - 4345$	0001 - 440	$00 \ 04 \ 07.25762$	-43 45 10.1469	4.0	3.0	0.163	44	0.188	0.205	0.214	0.030	0.024	0.046
LCS J0004 -5254	0001 - 531	$00 \ 04 \ 14.01314$	$-52\ 54\ 58.7099$	8.8	3.7	0.039	36	0.027	0.027	0.018	0.002	0.003	0.003

Table 3. The first 8 rows of the LCS catalogue. Columns: (1) source ID; (2) alternative source name; (3) J2000 right ascension; (4) J2000 declination; (5) uncertainty in right ascension without $\cos \delta$ factor; (6) uncertainty in declination; (7) correlation between right ascension and declination estimates; (8) the number of observations used in the solution; (9) the median correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 0–10 M λ ; (10) the median correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 10–40 M λ ; (11) the median correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 0–10 M λ ; (12) the median uncertainty of the correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 0–10 M λ ; (13) the median uncertainty of the correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 10–40 M λ ; (14) the median uncertainty of the correlated flux density at baseline projection lengths in a range 40–300 M λ . This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable table datafile1 and Virtual Observatory (VO) forms in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content.

6 DISCUSSION

The median position uncertainty, 3.6 mas, cannot be called the VLBI state of the art nowadays. There are four factors that played the role. First, the contribution of the ionosphere cannot be computed using GNSS TEC models with the same level of accuracy as using simultaneous dual-band observations. Second, the scale of the network, less than 1700 km for the most observations degraded the sensitivity of observations to source positions, since the source position uncertainty is reciprocal to the baseline length. Station HARTRAO participated in less than 25% observations. Third, the spanned bandwidth was limited to 320 MHz, compare with 720 MHz typically used in geodetic VLBI. Position uncertainty is approximately reciprocal to the spanned bandwidth. Fourth, observed sources were rather week: 25% target sources are weaker 46 mJy.

Nevertheless, position accuracy of several milliarcs conds is sufficient for phase referencing. Figure 11 shows the probability to find a phase calibrator brighter 30 mJy within 2° of any target with $\delta < -40^{\circ}$. For 88% of the area, such a calibrator can be found. Learning the flux densities of detected sources and striking out undetected ones that are supposed to be weaker 6–8 mJy, greatly facilitates future followup observations of the sources which positions are sought to be known with a higher accuracy.

Among 1100 LCS sources, there are 725 counterparts with Gaia DR2 (Kovalev et al. 2017) with the probability of false detection below 0.0002. See Petrov & Kovalev (2017a) for detail of the VLBI and Gaia association procedure. Petrov et al. (2018) showed that comparison of over 9,000 matched VLBI/Gaia sources reveled that 9% have statistically significant offsets at the level exceeding 4σ . They presented extensive argumentation that these offsets are real and are manifestation of the presence of optical jets that affect positions of optic centroid reported by Gaia. The LCS dataset has 53 (7.2%) outliers with arc length exceeding 4σ . The lower fraction of outliers is explained by worse position accuracy. These outliers were excluded from further analysis, since they do not characterize errors of the catalogues. The median arc length of position differences is 3.2 mas, while the median semi-major error ellipse axes of LCS positions of matched sources is 3.3 mas and the median semi-major



Figure 11. The sky density of calibrator sources in the zone with declinations $< -40^{\circ}$ defined as the number of compact sources with flux density > 30 mJy in a circle of 2° radius. The Galactic plane is shown with the red line.

error ellipse of *Gaia* positions of matched sources is 0.3 mas. This comparison demonstrates that the median position differences between LCS and *Gaia* positions is very close to the reported median of LCS semi-major error axis.

For analysis of LCS completeness we computed the socalled logN-logS diagram — the dependence of the logarithm of the number of sources on the logarithm of the total flux density recovered from VLBI observations. The dependence is approximated with a straight line within that range of flux densities the catalogue is considered complete. With a decrease of flux densities, at some point the diagram deviates



Figure 12. The log N–log S diagram for the LCS catalogue (low green line) using only the sources with $\delta < -40^{\circ}$. The upper red line shows similar diagram for the sources with $\delta > +40^{\circ}$.

from the straight line. That point is considered the limit, below which the catalogue is incomplete. The diagram in Figure 12 shows the completeness level of the LCS subsample at $\delta < -40^{\circ}$ drops below 95% at flux densities 120 mJy.

For comparison, we computed a similar diagram at the northern hemisphere at declinations $> +40^{\circ}$ using the Radio Fundamental Catalogue. The northern hemisphere catalogue has more weak sources, but surprisingly, its completeness drops below 95% level at flux densities 150 mJy. At the same time, the northern hemisphere catalogue has 23% more sources. One explanation is the total number of sources in the southern hemisphere is indeed $\sim 20\%$ less due to a large scale fluctuation of the source distribution over the sky. Another explanation is a selection bias. The parent catalogue of the LCS is AT20G at 20 GHz, while the parent catalogues of the northern hemisphere sources were observed at lower frequencies: 5-8 GHz. Selecting sources based in their emission at 20 GHz may result in omitting the objects with falling spectrum. The logN–logS dependencies for southern and northern hemispheres are almost parallel in a range of 0.15–0.65 Jy. If we accept the hypothesis that selecting candidate sources based on AT20G catalogue causes a bias, we have to admit that using AT20G as a parent sample we lose sources as bright as 0.5 Jy, which is difficult to swallow up. We think the problem of completeness of the LCS is open, and more observations are needed in order to resolve it.

7 SUMMARY

The LCS VLBI observing program provided positions of 1100 compact radio sources at declinations below -30° known with accuracies at a milliarcsecond level and estimates of their flux density at 8.3 GHz. As a result, the number of compact radio sources south of declination -40° which have measured VLBI correlated flux densities and positions known to milliarcsecond accuracy has increased by a factor of 6.4. The internal LCS completeness test based on the logN–logS diagram shows it is complete at 95% at a level of 120 mJy. At the same time, comparing LCS with the northern hemisphere catalogue, we found a ~20% difference in the source count. The LCS may have a deficiency of ~20%

sources because of using AT20G as a parent sample. It is yet to be resolved whether using high-frequency sample catalogue results in systematic loss of sources with falling spectrum. The LCS catalogue is the southern hemisphere counterpart of the VLBA Calibrator Survey. The major outcome of this campaign is elimination of the hemisphere bias that the VLBI catalogues suffered in the past. However, technical limitations of the southern hemisphere telescopes provided accuracy one order of magnitude worse than the accuracy of similar catalogues in the northern hemisphere. Future observations will targeted LCS sources for improvement of their positions, and the first such follow-up observing campaign started in 2017.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank The Long Baseline Array is part of the Australia Telescope National Facility which is funded by the Commonwealth of Australia for operation as a National Facility managed by CSIRO.

REFERENCES

- Abdo A. A., et al., 2010, ApJS, 188, 405
- Afraimovich E. L., Astafyeva E. I., Oinats A. V., Yasukevich Y. V., Zhivetiev I. V., 2008, Annales Geophysicae, 26, 335
- Beasley A. J., Gordon D., Peck A. B., Petrov L., MacMillan D. S., Fomalont E. B., Ma C., 2002, ApJS, 141, 13
- Condon J. J., Griffith M. R., Wright A. E., 1993, AJ, 106, 1095
 Condon J. J., Darling J., Kovalev Y. Y., Petrov L., 2017, ApJ,
- 834, 184 Deller A. T., et al., 2011, PASP, 123, 275
- Fomalont E. B., Petrov L., MacMillan D. S., Gordon D., Ma C., 2003, AJ, 126, 2562
- Gordon D., et al., 2016, AJ, 151, 154
- Griffith M. R., Wright A. E., 1993, AJ, 105, 1666
- Griffith M. R., Wright A. E., Burke B. F., Ekers R. D., 1994, ApJS, 90, 179
- Griffith M. R., Wright A. E., Burke B. F., Ekers R. D., 1995, ApJS, 97, 347
- Hawarey M., Hobiger T., Schuh H., 2005, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L11304
- Immer K., et al., 2011, ApJS, 194, 25
- Jackson C. A., Wall J. V., Shaver P. A., Kellermann K. I., Hook I. M., Hawkins M. R. S., 2002, A&A, 386, 97
- Kovalev Y. Y., Petrov L., Fomalont E. B., Gordon D., 2007, AJ, 133, 1236
- Kovalev Y. Y., Petrov L., Plavin A. V., 2017, A&A, 598, L1
- Lindegren L., et al., 2016, A&A, 595, A4
- Ma C., et al., 1998, AJ, 116, 516
- Mainzer A., et al., 2011, ApJ, 731, 53
- Massaro F., D'Abrusco R., Ajello M., Grindlay J. E., Smith H. A., 2011, ApJ, 740, L48
- Matveenko L. I., Kardashev N.-S., Sholomitskii G.-B., 1965, Soviet Radiophys., 461, 461
- McConnell D., Sadler E. M., Murphy T., Ekers R. D., 2012, MN-RAS, 422, 1527
- Mignard F., et al., 2016, A&A, 595, A5
- Murphy T., Mauch T., Green A., Hunstead R. W., Piestrzynska B., Kels A. P., Sztajer P., 2007, MNRAS, 382, 382
- Murphy T., et al., 2010, MNRAS, 402, 2403
- Petrov L., 2011, AJ, 142, 105
- Petrov L., 2013, AJ, 146, 5
- Petrov L., 2016, preprint, (arXiv:1610.04951)

- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., 2017a, MNRAS, 467, L71
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., 2017b, MNRAS, 471, 3775
- Petrov L., Taylor G. B., 2011, AJ, 142, 89
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., Fomalont E. B., Gordon D., 2005, AJ, 129, 1163
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., Fomalont E. B., Gordon D., 2006, AJ, 131, 1872
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., Fomalont E. B., Gordon D., 2008, AJ, 136, 580
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., Fomalont E. B., Gordon D., 2011a, AJ, 142, 35
- Petrov L., Phillips C., Bertarini A., Murphy T., Sadler E. M., 2011b, MNRAS, 414, 2528
- Petrov L., Mahony E. K., Edwards P. G., Sadler E. M., Schinzel F. K., McConnell D., 2013, MNRAS, 432, 1294
- Petrov L., Kovalev Y. Y., Plavin A. V., 2018, preprint, (arXiv:1808.05114)
- Plavin A. V., Kovalev Y. Y., Petrov L., 2018, preprint (arXiv:1808.05115)
- Schaer S., 1999, Geod.-Geophys. Arb. Schweiz, Vol. 59,, 59
- Schinzel F. K., Petrov L., Taylor G. B., Mahony E. K., Edwards P. G., Kovalev Y. Y., 2015, ApJS, 217, 4
- Schinzel F. K., Petrov L., Taylor G. B., Edwards P. G., 2017, ApJ, 838, 139
- Sovers O. J., Fanselow J. L., Jacobs C. S., 1998, Reviews of Modern Physics, 70, 1393
- Tasker N. J., Condon J. J., Wright A. E., Griffith M. R., 1994, AJ, 107, 2115
- Thompson A. R., Moran J. M., Swenson Jr. G. W., 2017, Interferometry and Synthesis in Radio Astronomy, 3rd Edition. Springer, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-44431-4
- Wright A. E., Griffith M. R., Burke B. F., Ekers R. D., 1994, ApJS, 91, 111
- Wright A. E., Griffith M. R., Hunt A. J., Troup E., Burke B. F., Ekers R. D., 1996, ApJS, 103, 145
- Wright E. L., et al., 2010, AJ, 140, 1868