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## Preface

This document is the Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document for the TBD processing to be implemented at the ICESat-2 Science Investigator-led Processing System (SIPS). The SIPS supports the ATLAS (Advance Topographic Laser Altimeter System) instrument on the ICESat- 2 Spacecraft and encompasses the ATLAS Science Algorithm Software (ASAS) and the Scheduling and Data Management System (SDMS). The science algorithm software will produce Level 0 through Level 4 standard data products as well as the associated product quality assessments and metadata information.

The ICESat-2 Science Development Team, in support of the ICESat-2 Project Science Office (PSO), assumes responsibility for this document and updates it, as required, as algorithms are refined or to meet the needs of the ICESat-2 SIPS. Reviews of this document are performed when appropriate and as needed updates to this document are made. Changes to this document will be made by complete revision.
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## 1. Introduction

This document covers calibration of a laser altimeter for effects of propagation in the atmosphere. The input of the algorithm are 1) the output four-dimensional numerical weather model that contains air temperature, pressure, and air humidity; 2) footprint geolocation; and 3) azimuth and elevation of the spacecraft viewed from the footprint. The output of the algorithm is an increase of light propagation time through the atmosphere with respect to propagation in vacuum.
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## 2. Overview and background information

The ICESat-II laser altimeter measures travel time of light that is emitted by the spacecraft, reflected by the surface and bounced back to the satellite. In the first approximation, the travel time is the sum of distances from the emitter to the surface and from the surface to the receiver multiplied by the speed of light in vacuum. Since the satellite is moving with respect to the surface, the position of the emitter and the receiver are different, but they can be easily computed from the parameters of the orbit. In the second approximation the effect of propagation of light in the atmosphere should be accounted for. The speed of light of the photon pulse, so-called group speed, depends on the density and composition of the gaseous medium: light travels slower in a denser gas. The relative reduction of speed of light $v$ in the medium with respect to the speed of light in vacuum $c$ is conveniently to express as $(c-v) / c$ and it will be called thereafter refractivity $r$. Gas density depends on temperature, pressure, and mixing ratios of gas components. The mixing ratios of all major gas component are fairly constant, except the mixing ratio of water vapor and ozone. Though, the contribution of ozone to refractivity does not exceed $10^{-6}$ of the total refractivity, which has a negligible effect on ICESat data.

Thus, in order to compute air refractivity, one needs know the density of dry air and density of water vapor along the trajectory of light propagation. These densities depend on three parameters: temperature of moist air, total atmospheric pressure, and partial pressure of water vapor. In the presented algorithm these parameters will be computed using the output of numerical weather model GEOS-FPIT developed by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office at the Goddard Space Flight Center. The model output can be used for deriving the state of the atmosphere at a four-dimensional grid over elevation level with respect to the geoid, longitude, geodetic latitude, and time. Air refractivity is computed for each element of the grid from the values of air temperature of moist air, total pressure, and the partial pressure of water vapor. Then, using the set of gridded discrete values of refractivity, the coefficients of the expansion of the refractivity field over a set of basic functions are computed. These interpolating functions determine the refractivity field as a continuous four-dimensional function in the bounded regions where the atmosphere is confined.

Using this expansion, the refractivity along the predicted light trajectory considered as a straight line is computed. It will be shown that we can neglect bending in the atmosphere for processing ICESat II data, since zenith angle of the light trajectory is supposed to be within 5 degrees. Integrating the refractivity along the trajectory gives us path delay in the atmosphere. Using this path delay, the estimate of the footprint altitude is corrected.

Presented algorithm has the internal precision better than 1 mm . Its accuracy is determined by the accuracy of numerical weather models.

### 2.1. Parameters that describe the footprint

The following parameters are supplied as an input to the computational procedure. They describe the footprint for the purpose of atmospheric path delay computation:

- $h_{\text {ell }}$ - altitude above the WGS-84 reference ellipsoid in meters;
- $\varphi$ - geodetic latitude in degrees;
- $\lambda$ - longitude in degrees;
- $t$ - time stamp of the pulse emitted by the spacecraft in TAI time scale;
- $z$ - zenith angle of the spacecraft as it viewed from the footprint in degrees;
- $A$ - azimuth of the spacecraft as it viewed from the footprint counted from north to east in degrees.


### 2.2. Parameters that describe state of the atmosphere

### 2.2.1. Grid parameters

- $h_{i}$ - height of the the $i$ th level with respect to the ground level in meters;
- $\varphi_{j}$ - geodetic latitude in degrees;
- $\lambda_{k}$ - longitude in degrees;
- $t_{l}$ - UTC time tag.


### 2.2.2. Output variables of the numerical weather model that describes the state of the atmosphere

- $\Phi$ - Geopotential of the model surface in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$;
- $D_{i}$ - thickness of the $i$ th layer in Pa: difference in pressure between the lower edge of the $i+1$ layer an the lower edge of the $i$ th layer in Pa ;
- $T_{i}$ - air temperature at the $i$ th layer in K;
- $q_{i}$ - specific humidity of the $i$ th layer.

NB: the height of the model layers depends on longitude and latitude and is not explicitly defined in the output of the numerical weather model.

### 2.2.3. Intermediate variables that describe the state of the atmosphere at a regular

 grid- $P_{i}$ - atmospheric pressure at $i$ th level in Pa;
- $T_{i}$ - air temperature at the $i$ th level in K;
- $P_{w i}$ - partial pressure of water vapor of the $i$ th level in Pa.

NB: the height of the levels does not depend on longitude and latitude.
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## 3. Algorithm theory

The algorithm processes the data in the following sequence:

1. The following parameters are extracted from the input files: pressure layer thickness, air temperature, and specific humidity at the original, irregular, and non-uniform 3D grid. Height of vertical levels is not explicitly defined in the output of numerical weather model.
2. Each array of extracted pressure layer thickness, air temperature, and specific humidity is transformed to total pressure and partial pressure of water vapor by solving the hypsometric differential equation. Total pressure, air temperature, and partial pressure of water vapor are projected to the regular vertical grid that starts at 1000 meters below the reference ellipsoid and ends at height 90,000 meter.
3. For a chunk of ICESat data to be processed (usually within an interval of one day), a 4D subset parameters of the state of the atmosphere (total pressure, air temperature, and partial pressure of water vapor) is extracted. That chunk covers all latitudes, all longitudes, all layers, and a number of time epochs within the time interval of ICESat data, and additionally two epochs before and two epochs after the last epochs. Air Refractivity is computed for each element of this 4D grid.
4. Coefficients of the expansion of the 4 D subset of the refractivity fields over a tensor products of 4D B-splines of the 3rd degree are computed.
5. Using these coefficients, the path delay in zenith direction is computed for each laser shot by integrating air refractivity between the expected position of the footprint and the nominal top of the atmosphere set to the height of 90,000 meters. The partial derivative of the path delay with respect to the height of the predicted footprint is computed as well.
6. Using estimates of path delay and its partial derivative over time for the predicted position of the footprint and the result of the range measurement, the final value of the range corrected for delay in the atmosphere is computed using an iterative procedure.

### 3.1. Solution of the Problem

In order to compute path delay through the atmosphere, we need know the state of the atmosphere, i.e. total pressure, partial pressure of water vapor, and air temperature as a function of three components of coordinates and time. The output of numerical weather models does not provide the state of the atmosphere explicitly, but it can be deduced from these data.

### 3.1.1. Computation of the global field of refractivity from the output of a numerical weather model

The GEOS-FPIT model provides the output of a number of variables at 72 pressure layers that, among other parameters, include pressure thickness of a layer, specific humidity, and air temperature at the middle of a layer. The upper edge of the highest pressure layer is fixed to 1 Pa . The bottom edge of the lowest pressure layer coincides with the Earth's surface. The pressure layers are indexed from the top to the bottom. The layer height at the vertical grid is not fixed and depends on both latitude, longitude, and time.
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### 3.1.2. Determination of the state of the atmosphere from the output of a numerical weather model

The hydrostatic equilibrium equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial P}{\partial h}=-\rho(h) g(h) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

relates the partial derivatives of the total pressure $P$ over geometric height with air density $\rho$ and gravity acceleration $g$. The state equation of moist air endorsed by the International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM-2007) (Picard et al. 2007) relates the air density with the total pressure, partial pressure of water vapor $P_{w}$ with air absolute temperature $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{m}=\left(\left(P-P_{w}\right) M_{d}+P_{w} M_{w}\right) \frac{Z^{-1}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)}{R T}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{d}$ and $M_{w}$ are molar mass of dry air and water vapor respectively, $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $Z^{-1}$ is the inverse compressibility of moist air. According to Picard et al. (2007), the compressibility depends on pressure and temperature the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z^{-1}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)= & 1 \\
& -\frac{P}{T}\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\left(T-T_{0}\right)+a_{2}\left(T-T_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{P_{w}}{T}\left(b_{0}+b_{1}\left(T-T_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\frac{P_{w}^{2}}{P T}\left(c_{0}+c_{1}\left(T-T_{0}\right)\right)  \tag{3}\\
& +\frac{P^{2}}{T^{2}} e_{0} \\
& +\frac{P_{w}^{2}}{T^{2}} f_{0},
\end{align*}
$$

where $T_{0}=273.15 \mathrm{~K}$ is the freezing temperature. Numerical values of coefficients in equations $2-3$, as well as numerical values of other constants can be found in section 8 .

Combining equations 1 and 2 , we write the differential equation of state of the moist atmosphere in the hydrostatic equilibrium as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d h}{d P}=\frac{R T(P)}{g(P) Z^{-1}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)\left(M_{d}\left(P-P_{w}\right)+M_{w} P_{w}\right)} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For solving this differential equation, we need define an initial value. The output of GEOS-FPIT model provides a 2D gridded quantity $\Phi$ called "surface geopotential". Then the surface height $h_{s}$ can be computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{s}(\varphi, \lambda)=h_{g}(\varphi, \lambda)+\frac{\Phi(\varphi, \lambda)}{g_{n}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Here $h_{g}$ is the geoid undulation (height of the geoid above the reference ellipsoid) and $g_{n}$ is the nominal gravity acceleration used in the numerical weather model. Geoid height at a given point is found from the global field of undulations computed from the EGM2008 gravity field (Pavlis et al. 2012) ${ }^{1}$. This gridded undulation field is expanded into 2D B-spline basis. Since the geoid undulations do not depend on time, this computation is done only once and the interpolation coefficients are saved for re-use. Details of computations are provided in Appendix B. These B-spline expansion coefficients are used for interpolation of the geoid height.

In order to solve equation 4 , we need know function $g(P)$. Its dependence on height is well known, for instance, Li and Gotze (2001):

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\varphi, h)= & g_{e q} \frac{1+k \sin ^{2} \varphi}{\sqrt{1-\left(2 f_{\oplus}-f_{\oplus}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \varphi}} \times  \tag{6}\\
& {\left[1-\frac{2}{R_{\oplus}}\left(1+\frac{\Omega_{\oplus}^{2} R_{\oplus}^{3}\left(1-f_{\oplus}\right)}{G M_{\oplus}}+f_{\oplus}\left(1-2 \sin ^{2} \varphi\right)\right) h+\frac{3}{R_{\oplus}^{2}} h^{2}\right] }
\end{align*}
$$

Here $f_{\oplus}$ is the Earth's flattening, $R_{\oplus}$ is Earth's equatorial radius, $\varphi$ - geodetic latitude, $\Omega_{\oplus}$ - Earth's angular velocity, $G M$ - Earth's gravitational constants, and $g_{e q}$ and $k$ are constants.

The equation 4 is solved with two iterations. At each iteration we take approximation to $g(h)$ from the previous iteration. Before the first iteration we tabulate $P(h)$ and $g(h)$ using the ISO Standard atmosphere (ISO 1975) as a reference within range [-1, 90] km and fit parameters of a linear regression between $\ln P$ and $g / g_{\text {geoid }}$. This gives us an initial approximation of $g(P)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\text {geoid }}(\varphi) & =g_{e q} \frac{1+k \sin ^{2} \varphi}{\sqrt{1-\left(2 f_{\oplus}-f_{\oplus}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \varphi}}  \tag{7}\\
g(\varphi, P) & =g_{\text {geoid }}(\varphi) \cdot\left(g_{0}+g_{1} \ln (P)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $g_{\text {geoid }}$ - is the gravity acceleration at the geoid, $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ are parameters of the linear regression of the dependence of geometric height above the geoid on atmospheric pressure. $g_{0}$ is the ratio of the gravity acceleration at pressure level 1 Pa to the gravity acceleration at the geoid at the same latitude. $g_{1}$ is the mean dependence of the Earth's gravity acceleration on logarithm of the total air pressure. Numerical values of parameters $g_{0}$ and $g_{1}$ can be found in Table 8 .

Then, this expression for dependence of $g(P)$ is used in the first iteration. Solution of equation 4 is found by trivial integration of its right-hand side:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(P_{k}\right)=h_{s}+\int_{P_{s}}^{P_{k}} \frac{R T(P)}{g(P)\left(M_{d}\left(P-P_{w}\right)+M_{w} P_{w}\right) Z^{-1}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)} d P \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{s}$ is the total pressure at the surface and $P_{k}$ is the total pressure at layer $k$.
The GEOS-FPIT numerical weather model does not provide pressure at the middle of a layer. It provides the pressure thickness $\Delta P(k)=P_{b}(k)-P_{t}(k)$ where $P_{l}(k)$ is the atmospheric pressure at the bottom edge of the $k$ th layer and $P_{l}(k)$ is the atmospheric at the top of the $k$ th layer. Air

[^0]temperature and specific humidity are provided for the middle of the layer. The upper edge of the highest pressure level is fixed: 1 Pa . Atmospheric pressure at a given layer can by produced by summing the pressure at the layers from the top (See Figure 3.1.2).

The right hand-side of expression under integral 8 is not known at the surface, which corresponds to the bottom edge of the lowest layer. it can be computed by linear extrapolation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d h}{d P}(s) & =\frac{d h}{d P}(l)+\Delta P(l) / 2 \frac{\frac{d h}{d P}(l-1)-\frac{d h}{d P}(l)}{P(l-1)-P(l)}  \tag{9}\\
P(s) & =P(l)+\Delta P(l) / 2
\end{align*}
$$

where $l$ is the index of the lowest layer. Remember, for GEOS-FPIT the lowest layer has the greatest index. We extend $\frac{d h}{d P}$ and $P$ to the surface: $P(0)$ and $\frac{d h}{d P}(0)$ and use these extended functions for further analysis.

In order to compute integral 8, we expand the expression under the integral into B-spline basis of the 3rd degree: $f(P)=\sum_{1-m}^{n-1} f_{i} B_{i}^{m}(P)$, where $B_{i}^{m}(P)$ is the B-spline function of the $m$-th degree with the $i$ th pivotal element with $n+1$ nodes that are indexed starting from 0 . Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(P_{k}\right)=h_{s}+\sum_{i=1-m}^{k-1} f_{i} I_{i}^{m}(P) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{i}^{m}(x)=\int_{\infty}^{x} B_{i}^{m}(x) d x$ - a function that is expressed via B-splines of $m+1$ degree. If to introduce function $L_{i, k}^{m}(x)=I_{i}^{m}\left(x_{k}\right)-I_{i}^{m}\left(x_{k-1}\right)=\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} B_{i}^{m}(x) d x$ and keeping in mind that $B_{k}^{m}(x)$ is zero outside the interval $\left[x_{k-m}, x_{k+1}\right]$, expression 10 can be re-written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(P_{0}\right)=h_{0}  \tag{11}\\
& h\left(P_{k}\right)=h\left(P_{k-1}\right)+\sum_{i=k-m-1}^{k-1} f_{i} L_{i, k}^{m}(P) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where index 0 corresponds to the surface and index $k>0$ corresponds to the middle of a layer.
Then at each element of the grid we compute $g\left(h\left(P_{k}\right)\right)$ using expression 5 and make the second iteration. As a result, we obtain geometric height above the reference ellipsoid for each layer of total pressure, air temperature and specific humidity $q$. Finally, we transform specific humidity into partial pressure of water vapor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{w}=\frac{q}{\frac{M_{w}}{M_{d}}+\left(1-\frac{M_{w}}{M_{d}}\right) q} P \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.3. Regridding the state of the atmosphere to the regular grid

The grid of parameters $P, P_{w}$, and $T$ is irregular: the grid step along level axis depends on latitude, longitude, and time. Interpolation at a multidimensional irregular grid is impractical.


Figure 1. Structure of vertical GEOS-FPIT vertical grid.

The next step of the data processing chain is to re-cast the atmosphere state parameters to a new regular grid that has fixed height of levels. It should be noted that for efficient interpolation the new grid does not need to be uniform, i.e. to have a constant step. Since at the first approximation, atmospheric pressure decreases exponentially with height, a grid with a uniform step over $\log (P)$ will introduce less artifacts than a grid with a uniform step over $P$.

The regular grid over level argument $i$ is used instead of over height argument. The height at the $i$ th level is determined with the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}=\exp \frac{i-\mu_{3}}{\mu_{1}}-\mu_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{1}=20.25319, \mu_{2}=1200.0, \mu_{3}=-169.30782$ for $\mathrm{i} \in[-62,62]$. The grid runs from -1000 to 90,000 meters above the reference ellipsoid. The new grid is wider and has $55 \%$ more nodes. Such a grid was selected to have density of nodes no less than the initial grid in order to avoid loss of accuracy during regridding.

The nodes of the uniform grid fall into three regions: 1) the region below the assumed surface, 2) the region within the range of height of the numerical weather model, 3) and the region above the modeled top of the atmosphere. Regions 1 and 3 require extrapolation and region 2 requires interpolation.

We expand total atmospheric pressure, partial pressure of water vapor, and air temperature over the B-spline basis against the height variable $h$. For points in region 2 we use the expansion coefficients for computing these quantities at nodes of the new uniform grid with the height variable $i$.

We assume the atmosphere above the highest grid level is isothermal and dry. The extrapolation is done this way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(h_{i}\right)=P\left(h_{u}\right) \exp \left\{-g_{u} M_{d} \frac{h_{i}-h_{u}}{R T_{u}}\right\} \\
& T\left(h_{i}\right)=T_{u}  \tag{15}\\
& P_{w}\left(h_{i}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{u}, T_{u}$, and $g_{u}$ are height, air temperature and gravity acceleration at the upper pressure level of the output of the numerical weather model.

We assume the atmosphere obeys the adiabatic law with a constant temperature derivative over height $\frac{\partial T}{\partial h}$ (the so-called lapse rate with the opposite sign) below the first pressure level. The lapse rate near the surface may differ significantly from the lapse rate in the middle of the troposphere. For extrapolation of the state of the atmosphere we prefer to use the representative lapse rate for the middle of the troposphere. We compute derivative $\frac{\partial T}{\partial h}$ with least squares over the range of heights from 1000 meters above the first level and 9000 meters. Then we compute air temperature and total pressure at the levels of the new grid as

$$
\begin{align*}
T\left(h_{i}\right) & =T\left(h_{1}\right)+\frac{\partial T}{\partial h}\left(h_{i}-h_{s}\right) \\
P_{w}\left(h_{i}\right) & =P_{w}\left(h_{1}\right)\left(T\left(h_{i}\right) / T\left(h_{1}\right)\right)^{-g\left(h_{1}\right) M_{w} /\left(R \frac{\partial T}{\partial h}\right)}  \tag{16}\\
P\left(h_{i}\right) & =P_{w}\left(h_{i}\right)+\left(P-P_{w}\right)\left(h_{1}\right)\left(T\left(h_{i}\right) / T\left(h_{1}\right)\right)^{-g\left(h_{1}\right) M_{d} /\left(R \frac{\partial T}{\partial h}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{1}$ is the height of the first level of the output of the numerical weather model.
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Of course, accuracy of extrapolation beneath the surface is lower than the accuracy of the atmosphere state parameters within the range of heights of numerical weather models. We compute the atmosphere state parameters below the nominal surface for two reasons. First, we would like to keep continuity of the atmosphere over the height range $[-1,90] \mathrm{km}$ in order to avoid large errors in interpolation near the surface. Second, due to the insufficient resolution, the actual Earth surface in valleys of mountainous regions may fall below the nominal surface if nodes of the grid happened to be located on a mountain ridge.

### 3.1.4. Computation of air refractivity

For computation of refractivity with the accuracy we need, $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$, we can consider all atmospheric gases, except water vapor, maintaining a fixed mixing ratio. The atmosphere can can be considered consisting of two components: dry and wet.

Detailed overview of the state-of-the-art of modeling refractivity is given in Rüeger (2002). The working group of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics on Fundamental Constants, issued a recommendation in 1991 that stated that "the group refractive index in air for electronic distance meter measurements to better than one part per million with visible and near infrared waves in the atmosphere be computed using the procedure published by Ciddor (1996) and Ciddor \& Hill (1999).

The refractivity of moist air is computed according to Ciddor (1996)

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(P, P_{w}, T, k\right)=\frac{\rho_{d}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)}{\rho_{d, r}} r_{d, r}(k)+\frac{\rho_{w}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)}{\rho_{w, r}} r_{w, r}(k) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{d}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)$ is the partial density of the dry component of the atmosphere as a function of total pressure $\mathrm{P}, P_{w}$ is partial pressure of water vapor and air temperature; $\rho_{w}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right)$ is partial density of the wet component, and $\rho_{d, r}, \rho_{w, r}$ are densities of dry air and water vapor at certain reference conditions. Strictly speaking, partial density does not have a physical meaning and it is introduced for convenience, because air refractivities for two components of air are considered additive. The dry and wet partial densities $\rho_{d}$ and $\rho_{w}$ are computed using the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
q & =\frac{M_{w}}{M_{d}} \frac{1}{\frac{P}{P_{w}}-\left(1-\frac{M_{w}}{M_{d}}\right)}  \tag{18}\\
\rho_{d} & =q \rho_{m} \\
\rho_{w} & =\rho_{m}-\rho_{d}
\end{align*}
$$

Parameters $r_{d, r}$ and $r_{w, r}$ are refractivity of dry air and water vapor at these standard conditions as a function of wave number which is reciprocal to wavelength $k=1 / \lambda$. We define refractivity as $\frac{c-v}{v}$, where $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum and $v$ is the speed of light in the medium.

Ciddor (1996) suggests the following equations for group refractivity $n_{d, r}$ and $n_{w, r}$ for reference meteorological conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{d, r}(k)=d_{1} \frac{d_{0}+k^{2}}{\left(d_{0}-k^{2}\right)^{2}}+d_{3} \frac{d_{2}+k^{2}}{\left(d_{2}-k^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{19}\\
& r_{w, r}(k)=C\left(w_{0}+3 w_{1} k^{2}+5 w_{2} k^{4}+7 w_{3} k^{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Reference meteorological conditions are $P=101325 \mathrm{~Pa}, P_{w}=0, T=288.15 \mathrm{~K}$ for $r_{d, r}(k)$ and $P=1333 \mathrm{~Pa}, P_{w}=1333 \mathrm{~Pa}, T=293.15 \mathrm{~K}$ for $r_{w, r}(k)$.

Using the formula for the density of moist air 2 , the expression for air refractivity can be regrouped to

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{S_{t} P+S_{w} P_{w}}{T} Z^{-1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{t}=\frac{M_{d}}{R} \frac{r_{d, r}}{\rho_{d, r}} ; \quad S_{w}=\frac{M_{w}}{R} \frac{r_{w, r}}{\rho_{w, r}}-S_{t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that this expression is not suitable for radio waves, but expression of Aparicio and Laroche (2011) should be used instead.

Thus, according to the rigorous algorithm for computing air refractivity in optical and near infrared range, path delay for ICESat-1 and ICESat-2 is computed using the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\left(S_{t}(\lambda) \frac{P}{T}+S_{w}(\lambda) \frac{P_{w}}{T}\right) Z^{-1}\left(P, P_{w}, T\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the total pressure, $P_{w}$ is the partial pressure of water vapor, $T$ is air temperature, $S_{t}$ and $S_{w}$ are parameters that depend only on wavelength and $Z$ is the air compressibility.

Refractivity is computed for the each element of the 4D uniform grid using total atmospheric pressure, partial pressure of water vapor, and air temperature.

### 3.1.5. Expanding the refractivity field into basic functions

Equations of wave propagation assume light propagating in a continuous medium. To satisfy this formalism, we have to expand the refractivity field into some basic functions. The natural choice is B-spline basic functions (de Boor 2001), because their property of limited support (i.e. B-spline is zero outside a small bounded area) allows to built an extremely efficient algorithm for expansion into multi-dimensional basis.

For processing an ICESat dataset, typically collected within the time range of one day, we extract the 3D fields for all the epochs within the time range of the ICESat data and two epochs before the dataset start date and two epochs after the dataset stop date. We also augment the dataset with three steps over longitude: $\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+3}, \lambda_{n+2}$ being equal to $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. This is done in order to avoid degradation of quality of interpolation at the edges of the grid: near start/stop time and near the zero meridian.

Then the set of discrete refractivity values at the 4 D grid are used for computation of the coefficients of expansion the refractivity field into the four-dimensional tensor product of B-splines:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(h, \lambda, \varphi_{g d}, t\right)=\sum_{i=1-m}^{i=d_{1}-1} \sum_{j=1-m}^{j=d_{2}-1} \sum_{k=1-m}^{k=d_{3}-1} \sum_{l=1-m}^{l=d_{4}-1} f_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m}(h) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{l}^{m}(t) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$
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where $B_{s}^{m}(x)$ is the basis spline function of variable $x$ of degree $m$ with the pivot node $s$, and $d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}, d_{4}$ are dimensions. Since our grid is uniform, we can proceed with expansion over each axis independently.

A B-spline is defined at a sequence of grid points, traditionally called knots. A B-spline of degree $m$ is defined at a non-decreasing (i.e. $x_{i+1} \geq x_{i}$ ) sequence of $m+1$ knots, called support. The first knot of the sequence is called a pivotal knot. A B-spline is zero outside the area of its support, i.e. $\forall x \notin\left[x_{i}, x_{i+m+1}\right] B^{m}(x)=0$.

In general, the sequence of knots for the B-spline basis may not coincide with the grid points. If the number of knots of the basis is less than the number of grid points, B-spline expansion will smooth the data. We can force the B-spline expansion to pass through the data at grid points. To do that in a 1 D grid $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}$, we consider the so-called extended sequence of knots: $x_{1-m}, x_{2-m}, \quad \ldots \quad x_{1}, x_{2}, \quad \ldots \quad x_{n-1}, x_{n}, x_{n+1}, \quad \ldots \quad x_{n+m}$, where $x_{1-m}=x_{2-m}=\ldots x_{1}$ and $x_{n+m}=x_{n+m-1} \ldots=x_{n}$, or using another language, the first and the last knot has multiplicity $m$. Since $B^{m}\left(x_{n}\right)=0$, we have $n+m-1$ B-splines at a sequence of $n$ knots. B-splines of the 3rd degree are be used for refractivity expansion. Therefore, in order to force the expansion over the B-spline basis to pass through each 1D grid point, coefficients of $n+2$ B-splines should be evaluated. We need augment $n$ equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=n-1} f_{k} B^{m}\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with two more equations. There is more than one way to achieve it. Here we add equations requiring that the first derivative at the end of the interval be equal to first differences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{F\left(x_{2}\right)-F\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=n-1} f_{k} B^{\prime m}\left(x_{k}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& \frac{F\left(x_{k}\right)-F\left(x_{k-1}\right)}{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=n-1} f_{k} B^{\prime m}\left(x_{k}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Recurrent algorithms for computing $B^{m}\left(x_{k}\right)$ and $B^{\prime m}\left(x_{k}\right)$ are given in the Appendix A.1.
Equations 24-25 form a system of $n+2$ linear algebraic equations with $n+2$ unknowns. Considering B -spline is non-zero only at $\mathrm{m}+1$ knots, the first and the last equations are the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{-2} B_{-2}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{-1} B_{-1}^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \\
f_{-2} B_{-2}^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{-1} B_{-1}^{2}\left(x_{1}\right)+f_{0} B_{0}^{2}\left(x_{1}\right) & \\
& =F\left(x_{1}\right)  \tag{26}\\
& =F\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& =F\left(x_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

That means that the $(n+2) \times(n+2)$ matrix in 26 is band-diagonal:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
x & x & & & & & & & &  \tag{27}\\
x & x & x & & & & & & & \\
& x & x & x & & & & & & \\
& & x & x & x & & & & & \\
& & & x & x & x & & & & \\
& & & & x & x & x & & & \\
& & & & & x & x & x & & \\
& & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & x & x & x & \\
& & & & & & & x & x & x \\
& & & & & & & & & x
\end{array}\right)
$$

Linear algebraic systems like 27 are solved very efficiently with algorithms of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ complexity.
Expansion over 2D tensor products of B -splines is first done $d_{2}$ times over dimension $d_{1}$ and then $d_{1}$ times over dimension $d_{2}$, where $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are dimensions across the 1 st and the 2 nd axes. Since our grid is regular, during each run over dimension 1 and 2 we solve $k$ systems of linear algebraic equations with the same left-hand side and different right-hand sides. The left-hand side is determined only by the sequence of grid points. The BLAS library (Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms) has efficient and highly optimized routines for solving band-diagonal systems of linear algebraic equations with multiple right-hand sides.

Expansion over 4D tensor products of B-splines is generalized straightforwardly using the 2D case as the basis: first the coefficients of B-spline expansion across the first dimension are computed with $d_{2} \times d_{3} \times d_{4}$ right-hand sides. Elements of the grid points are replaced with the coefficients of B-splines after each cycle. Then the procedure is repeated across the second dimension with $d_{1} \times d_{3} \times d_{4}$ right-hand sides, across the third dimension, and across the fourth dimension.

Thus, the problem of evaluation of the 4D fields of the coefficients of expansion $f_{i j k l}$ is reduced to solving systems of banded linear algebraic equations over 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th dimension with multiple right-hand sides. The 4D interpolation problem is reduced to the m times 1D interpolation problem.

### 3.2. Computation of zenith path delay using the refractivity field

Computation of path delay in zenith direction is performed by numerical integration of the refractivity field along the vertical direction from the surface height defined by ICESat measurement and the height of the nominal top of the atmosphere. Since variables $h, \lambda, \varphi$, and $t$ are independent, integral over variable $h$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\sum_{j=1-m}^{j=d_{2}-1} \sum_{k=1-m} \sum_{l=1-m}^{k=d_{3}-1} B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{l}^{m}(t) \sum_{i=1-m}^{i=d_{1}-1} \int_{h_{s}}^{h_{t}} R_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m}(h) d h . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we introduced function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{k}^{m}(x)=\int_{x}^{+\infty} B_{k}^{m}(x) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$
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which is computed similar to $B_{k}^{m}(x)$ through a recurrent relationship (See equation 64 in the Appendix B). Notice that $B_{k}^{m}(x)$ is non-zero only at $m+1$ knots in the vicinity of the grid point closest to the $x$, but not exceeding $x$, Then we can write integral 21 elegantly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(h, \lambda, \varphi_{g d}, t\right)=\sum_{i=i_{p}-m}^{i=d_{1}-1} \sum_{j=j_{p}-m}^{j=j_{p}} \sum_{k=k_{p}-m}^{k=k_{p}} \sum_{l=l_{p}-m}^{l=l_{p}} R_{i j k l} J_{i}^{m}(h) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{l}^{m}(t), \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{p}$ is maximum $i$ that $h_{i}<h, j_{p}$ is maximum $j$ that $\lambda_{j}<\lambda, k_{p}$ is maximum $k$ that $\varphi_{i}<\varphi$, and $l_{p}$ is maximum $l$ that $t_{l}<t$.

During processing ICESat data, we do not know the surface height precisely. We see from the definition of path delay $d$ that its derivative over height is just air refractivity. It is computed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial d\left(h, \lambda, \varphi_{g d}, t\right)}{\partial h}=n=\sum_{i=i_{p}-m}^{i=i_{p}} \sum_{j=j_{p}-m}^{j=j_{p}} \sum_{k=k_{p}-m}^{k=k_{p}} \sum_{l=l_{p}-m}^{l=l_{p}} R_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m}(h) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{l}^{m}(t) . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

That derivative is used when we need correct path delay for update of the surface height with respect to its a priori value. If the height correction is too large, the contribution of the second derivative may appear substantial. In order to evaluate the contribution of the second derivative of delay with height, which is equal to the first derivative of refractivity with height, we compute it using the ISO standard atmosphere at the sea level: $\frac{\partial^{2} d}{\partial h^{2}}=-2.7 \cdot 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. The contribution will reach 1 mm when the height correction exceeds 270 m .

### 3.3. Computation of slant path delay using the refractivity field

ICESat shoots at the angle $z$ which is typically less than $1^{\circ}$, and never exceeds $5^{\circ}$. Assuming the atmosphere is flat, the path delay at zenith angle $z$ depends on path delay in zenith direction as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(z)=d_{z} / \cos (z) . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Shooting at zenith angle that differs from zero causes another effect: the footprint location is shifted due to bending the light trajectory in the atmosphere. Rigorous computation of slant path delay that accounts for sphericity of the Earth's atmosphere and bending is not a trivial task. It is described in detail in the Appendix A.1. In general case it is reduced to solving a system of nonlinear differential equations of the fourth order. Investigation of results of rigorous computation of slant path delay showed

- Errors of approximation of expression 32 does not exceed 1 mm at $|z|<5^{\circ}$.
- The footprint offset are less than 30 cm at $|z|<5^{\circ}$ at even extreme weather conditions.

Therefore, in order to meet the goal of 1 mm precision of atmosphere path delay computation for ICESat data processing, it is sufficient to scale zenith path delay in expression 30 by a factor $1 / \cos (z)$ for computation of slant path delay at zenith angle $z$. The footprint shift due to bending in the atmosphere is negligible.
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## 4. Error budget

As it was stated below, the algorithm was formulated in such a way that the accuracy of computation is kept no worse than 1 mm . It is expected that the greatest contribution to errors in path delay comes from errors of numerical weather model. Let us evaluate the errors in path delay due to uncertainty of numerical weather model GEOS-FPIT.

### 4.1. Statistics of path delay

For evaluation of statistics of path delay, zenith total path delay and wet path delay at 532 nm for computed at $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ grid at surface elevation height for 2928 epochs in 2012 with time step of 3 hours. Surface elevation height was computed from GTOPO3 model. The average wet path delay is shown in Figure 2. The average wet path delay varies from 0.08 mm in central Antarctica to 22.7 mm in the tropical region. At low latitudes $|\varphi|<30^{\circ}$ the average wet path delay is 13.8 mm with maximum value 22.7 mm , and at latitudes $|\varphi|>30^{\circ}$ the average wet path delay is 5.2 mm and the maximum value 12.7 mm . The global average is 9.4 mm and the rms is 3.3 mm .


Figure 2. Average and rms of wet path delay at 532 nm in 2012 computed from GEOS-FPIT model.
The total path delay was fitted to a simple regression model with regressors total surface atmospheric pressure and partial water vapor pressure: $\tau(\varphi, \lambda)=S_{t}(\varphi, \lambda) P_{t}(\varphi, \lambda)+S_{w}(\varphi, \lambda) P_{w}(\varphi, \lambda)$. The plots of rms of residuals after applying the regression model are shown in Figure 3. The global rms of residuals is 2.4 mm .

The coefficients $S_{w}$ and $S_{t}$ are shown in Figure 4. The coefficient $S_{t}$ is very stable over the Earth's surface. It varies in a range of $[0.0239,0.0245] \mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{Pa}$ and has mean value $0.0242 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Pa}$. The coefficient $S_{w}$ varies within one order of magnitude. The ripples over Antarctica are due to the fact that wet path delay and its variations there are very small, which makes estimates of $S_{w}$ unstable.

### 4.2. Evaluation of errors in surface atmospheric pressure

Path delay in dry atmosphere is proportional to surface pressure. Therefore, the estimate of surface pressure error allows us to evaluate the error of path delay in dry atmosphere.
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Figure 3. Rms of residuals to the total path delay in 2012 after subtracting regression model.

Two datasets of in situ measurements were used as ground truth:

1. Surface pressure records of 559 stations for 2008 from the International Surface Pressure Databank (ISPD) with time step 3 hours provided by Richard Ray. The data were cleaned by Susan Poulose and Richard Ray.
2. Meteorological data for 2012 and 2013 of globally distributed 351 GNSS stations available in RINEX format via UNAVACO ftp site ftp://data-out.unavco.org/pub/rinex/met/. Assuming barometers are located in the close vicinity to the GNSS receiver, we can consider station position known with high accuracy.
Although the nominal step of meteorological data is 5 minutes, not all stations record meteorological data continuously. Since station position from headers of RINEX meteorological data files was missing for many stations, positions of all stations were taken from the University of Reno solution available at http://geodesy.unr.edu/ (courtesy of Geoff Blewitt).

Since information in the header of surface pressure records is not always reliable, resource http://www.berkeleyearth.org was used as a source of information about geolocation of meteorological stations from the 1st dataset. This resource contains uncertainty of height of meteorological stations. In total, 117 stations had uncertainty exceeding 10 meters. Since error 10 meters at the sea level is equivalent to a bias 100 Pa , these stations were discarded from further analysis.

Atmospheric pressure from GEOS-FPIT was computed from the coefficients of the expansion over the four-dimensional B-spline basis for given stations with known Cartesian coordinates at moments of time that correspond to in situ measurements. The differences between the measured surface pressure and the surface pressure computed from GEOS-FPIT model were formed.

For further analysis the mean and root mean square (rms) were computed for each station and for the entire dataset. An iterative procedure for outlier elimination was run. The procedure


Figure 4. Scaling coefficients for the total path delay (Left) and wet path delay (Right) that were fitted to the regression model.
searches for the largest outlier and eliminates it from statistics computation. The procedure ran till no outliers that deviate more than 3 standard deviation remained.

Figure 5 shows the distribution of pressure biases and the root mean squares (rms) of differences between 444 World Meteorological Organization (WMO) stations which have height uncertainty exceeding 10 meters and the atmospheric pressure inferred from the output of numerical weather model GEOS-FPIT.


Figure 5. Distribution of biases and rms of differences between 3 hourly surface measurements from the WMO weather stations and GEOS-FPIT model.

It should be noted that the GEOS-FPIT model incorporates the data from WMO meteorological stations. Therefore, the model is not entirely independent from the measurements. Second, although http://www.berkeleyearth.org reports uncertainty of station heights, no information how estimates of these uncertainties were produced is provided. In order to test how well the GEOS-FPIT model reproduces independent surface pressure measurements for sites with reliable heights, the second dataset is investigated: meteorological data in RINEX format from 351 GNSS stations in 2012-2013.

Among 351 stations, three have large biases in surface pressure in a range of 1200-5800 Pa:
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Table 1. Statistics of differences in surface pressure for 444 WMO and 348 GNSS stations in Pa.

|  | bias | bias module | rms | \# Points |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | \# Outliers

ISPA, LHAZ, and THUR. The origin of these biases was not established and these three stations were excluded. Discarding data from $1 \%$ of the stations as outliers seems to be legitimate and it should not undermine conclusions.

Figures 6 show statistics similar to Figures 5. Table 1 presents the differences in surface pressure. The first two rows show the averaged statistics for WMO and GNSS stations. The rms of differences for a given station was computed with respect to the mean value of the atmospheric pressure, i.e. the bias. The last three rows show the rms with respect to the zero. These estimates of rms are greater.

As we see from table 1, the differences of the both dataset with respect to the GEOS-FPIT are insignificant. Therefore, the datasets can be combined. Figure 7 shows the map of distribution of surface pressure biases at the stations where in situ measurements were made. No pattern of systematic behavior is seen.


Figure 6. Distribution of biases and rms of differences between 5 minute surface measurements from the pressure sensors at GNSS stations and GEOS-FPIT model.

### 4.3. Evaluation of errors in wet path delay

As we see from Figure 4, wet path delay poorly correlates with surface partial pressure of water vapor. Therefore, unlike for the dry path delay, surface meteorological data do not provide a ground truth for wet path delay. In order to evaluate errors in wet path delay computation, estimates of path delay from regular geodetic VLBI were used.

Slant path delays for all geodetic VLBI observations since 2000.0 were computed using GEOSFPIT model. These slant path delays were used as a priori for data reduction. The data, 2587


Figure 7. Biases in atmospheric pressure between the GEOS-FPIT model and surface measurements from 792 stations.
twenty-four hour observing sessions, 5.7 million observations, were adjusted with least squares (LSQ). Station positions, source coordinates, Earth orientation, clock function, and residual atmosphere path delay in zenith direction was solved for in a single run. Zenith path delay was modeled with splines of the first degree with segment lengths of one hour. The rms of adjustment to atmosphere path delay in the zenith direction and the average zenith path delays were computed for each twenty four hour observing session. These statistics were averaged for every observing station. The dependence of the rms of residual path delay versus averaged wet zenith path delays is shown in Figure 8. The average rms is 21 mm . Here we assume the residual path delay is mainly due to the contribution of water vapor.

Air refractivity at 532 nm and in millimeter to meter wavelength range is different. Therefore, path delays differ. Figures 9 show scaling coefficients averaged over 2928 epochs in 2012 with step 3 hours. We see that the scaling factor for dry path delay is very close 0.94 , but the scaling factor of wet path delay varies from 16.5 to 21.1 with the average value around 17 . This allows us to compute predicted rms of residual wet path delay in optical range using residuals in radio range. The average rms of residual wet path delay at 532 nm is $21 / 17=1.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ and it can reach 1.8 mm in wet tropical regions.

### 4.4. Conclusions of the error budget investigation

Analysis of differences between GEOS-FPIT model and 5.9 million in situ atmospheric pressure measurements of 792 stations over 1-2 years shows that the distribution of biases is close to Gaussian with the mean - 16 Pa and the mean standard deviation 73 Pa . The overall rms of differences over all stations without bias correction is 104 Pa . The distribution of biases over the globe does not show a pattern. As it was shown before, the regression coefficient $S_{t}$ is $0.0242 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Pa}$ and it is


Figure 8. The rms of residual path delay averaged over time for given stations versus averaged wet zenith path delay. Dots corresponds to stations. The blue line shows regression: $10.2 \mathrm{~mm}+0.0066 \times$ wet path delay.


Figure 9. Distribution of the ratios of dry path delay in radio wavelengths to optic wavelengths for dry delay (Left) and wet delay (Right) computed from the GEOS-FPIT model.
stable within $1 \%$ over the Earth's surface.
We can conclude that this analysis shows that the rms of errors of path delay through the dry atmosphere computed using the output of GEOS-FPIT model is 2.5 mm for dry component and 1.2 mm for the wet component. Thus, the output of GEOS-FPIT model allows us to predict the total path delay with rms accuracy 3 mm .
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## 5. Algorithm implementation

## Convention:

1. Fortran array notation is used throughout this documents: 1) array indexing starts from 1, i.e. [1:n] unless it explicitly stated otherwise; 2) the first dimension in multidimensional arrays loops first. For example, array $\mathrm{A}[2,3]$ is unraveled as $\mathrm{A}[1,1], \mathrm{A}[2,1], \mathrm{A}[1,2], \mathrm{A}[2,2]$, $\mathrm{A}[1,3], \mathrm{A}[2,3]$.
2. 2 D arrays with spatial data have the following order of dimensions: longitude, latitude
3. 3D arrays with spatial data have the following order of dimensions: height, longitude, latitude
4. 4D arrays with spatial data have the following order of dimensions: height, longitude, latitude, time.
5. longitude grid runs from 0 to $\left[2 \pi-2 \pi / n_{\lambda}\right]$, where $n_{\lambda}$ is the dimension of longitude axis.
6. longitude extended grid has $n_{\lambda}+4$ nodes with indices in a range $\left[-2, n_{\lambda}+1\right]$. Index 1 corresponds to longitude $0 . \lambda\left[n_{\lambda}+1\right]=\lambda[0]$.
7. latitude grid runs from $-\pi / 2$ to $+\pi / 2$.
8. Height runs from down to top.

## 6. Algorithm description

All computations belong to three categories:

1. Computation of time-invariant quantities. This is done only once. Results of these computations are stored and used later.
2. Asynchronous processing a new dataset. This procedure runs asynchronously with respect to processing ICESat data. As soon as the new output of numerical weather model GEOSFPIT became available, it is downloaded and transformed to the secondary dataset. That secondary dataset is written down.
3. Computation of path delay. Processing of ICESat data is done by chunks of data. First, the start and stop date of the chunk of data is determined. Then, a set of files with a secondary dataset is read, and an expansion of refractivity over 4D B-spline basis is computed. Using these expansion coefficients, the path delay is computed in a loop for a given instant of time and given geolocation.


Figure 1. Chart of processing flow

### 6.1. Computation of time-invariant quantities needed for deriving the refractivity field from the output numerical weather models

Although numerical weather models contain product called "surface pressure" we do not trust it because surface definition used in a numerical weather model is not precise. Its accuracy is sufficient for modeling weather, but not sufficient for modeling path delay with target accuracy 1 mm . Two time-invariant datasets related to the surface are required for computation of path delay:

1. Surface height used in the numerical weather model. Data collection of numerical weather model GEOS-FPIT has product DFPITC0NXASM accessible at
http://aurapar2u.ecs.nasa.gov/goldsfs1/data/GEOS5/DFPITCONXASM.5.9.1/
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2012/365/.hidden/DAS.fpit.asm.const_2d_asm_Nx.GEOS591.00000000_0000.V01.nc4
This product contains dataset PHIS "surface geopotential". This name is misleading. According to M. Bosilovich (private communication, 2013), the GEOS-FPIT model surface height is $H_{g}=\mathrm{PHIS} / g_{n}$, where $g_{n}=9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ is the nominal gravity acceleration used in GEOS-FPIT. Therefore, PHIS is the increment of geopotential at the nominal surface with respect to the geopotential at the geoid, under assumption that local gravity acceleration is $9.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. In fact, dataset PHIS has been generated from some digital elevation model scaled by a factor 9.8. Dimension of $H_{g}: n_{\lambda}=576$ over longitude and $n_{\varphi}=361$ over latitude. Latitude is in range $[-\pi / 2, \pi / 2]$, longitude of PHIS product is in range $\left[-\pi, \pi-2 \pi / n_{\lambda}\right]$. Therefore, PHIS grid is to be transformed to [ $0,2 \pi-2 \pi / n_{\lambda}$ ] by cycling rotation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i==1,2, \ldots, n_{\lambda} \text { parallel do } \\
& \text { if } i=<n_{\lambda} / 2 \text { then } \\
& \text { PHIS }[i, j]:=\text { PHIS }\left[i+n_{\lambda} / 2, j\right] \\
& \text { else } \\
& \operatorname{PHIS}[i, j]:=\text { PHIS }\left[i-n_{\lambda} / 2, j\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Geoid height (or geoid undulation) above the reference ellipsoid in WGS84 system. The geoid height with respect to the geopotential EGM2008 at $1^{\prime} \times 1^{\prime}$ grid can be found at http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/egm2008/
Und_min1x1_egm2008_isw=82_WGS84_TideFree.gz
This is a binary file in Low Endian with $\left(180^{*} 60+1\right)$ records. Each record has a 4 -byte long prefix, $360 * 60$ elements of data as single precision real numbers, and a 4 -byte long trailer. Grid starts at $-\pi / 2$ along latitude and it starts at 0 along longitude.
The data from this file are put in the extended grid [-2:21601][-2:10801] and replaced with their 2D B-spline transform.

These 2D arrays are generated only once and stored.

### 6.2. Asynchronous data processing

### 6.2.1. Extraction of datasets

URLs with the output of GEOS-FPIT model at native grid have the following format http://aurapar2u.ecs.nasa.gov/goldsfs1/data/GEOS5/DFPITT3NVASM.5.9.1/ Y@@@/D@@/ .hidden/DAS.fpit.asm.inst3_3d_asm_Nv.GEOS591.d@@@@@@@_t@@@.V01.nc4 where

- Y@@@ is a four-digit year;
- D@@ is the three-digit day of year with leading zeroes,
- d@@@@@@@ is date in yyyymmdd format. The first 4 characters are the year, following two character are the month number with leading zeros, and last three characters are the day of the month with leading zeroes if necessary;
- t@@@ is time in hhmm format. The first two characters are the hour, the last two characters are the minute. Since the output of GEOS-FPIT is generated with a step of 3 hours, the following values are valid: $0000,0300,0600,0900,1200,1500,1800,2100$.

Example: http://aurapar2u.ecs.nasa.gov/goldsfs1/data/GEOS5/DFPITI3NVASM.5.9.1/

2014/076/.hidden/DAS.fpit.asm.inst3_3d_asm_Nv.GEOS591.20140317_1500.V01.nc4
This file contains the model output at the native grid at 2014.03.17T15:00:00 UTC.
The data file is in NetCDF-4 format. Three scientific datasets are to be extracted: DELP pressure thickness, T - air temperature, and QV - specific humidity. Although the datasets are declared as 4D arrays, the last dimension (time) is one. NB: the levels are counted from the top of the atmosphere downwards in all GEOS models, including GEOS-FPIT.

### 6.2.2. Computation of the state of the atmosphere on the original grid

A general procedure for computation of the state of the atmosphere is an execution of a nested loop that takes for a given latitude and a given longitude 1) the vertical column of pressure thickness $\Delta p[k], 2)$ the vertical column of air temperature $T[k], 3)$ the vertical column of specific humidity $q[k]$, and 4) the surface potential increment PHIS. The output is the column at the uniform grid with a) atmospheric pressure, b) partial water vapor pressure; c) air temperature. NB: these three output columns are at the fixed vertical uniform grid that does not depend on longitude and latitude. The vertical grid of the original input grid is not defined explicitly and it depends on latitude and longitude.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n_{\varphi}-1, n_{\varphi} \text { do } \\
& \qquad[i]=-\pi / 2+(i-1) * \pi /\left(n_{\varphi}-1\right) \\
& \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, n_{\lambda}-1, n_{\lambda}, n_{\lambda}+1 \text { do } \\
& \text { if } j<n_{\lambda} / 2 \text { then } \\
& \lambda[j]=\left(j+n_{\lambda} / 2\right) * 2 \pi / n_{\lambda} \\
& \text { else if } j<n_{\lambda}+1 \text { then } \\
& \lambda[j]=\left(j-n_{\lambda} / 2\right) * 2 \pi / n_{\lambda} \\
& \text { else if } j=n_{\lambda}+1 \text { then } \\
& \lambda[j]=0 \\
& \text { Process the vertical profile at }(\lambda[j], \varphi[i])
\end{aligned}
$$

Below is the detailed description of the procedure "Process the vertical profile" at $(\lambda[j], \varphi[i])$ referred above.

Input data:

1) PHIS[i,j] the surface geopotential increment used by the numerical weather model in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$;
2) $\Delta p[1: \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]$ array of pressure thickness in Pa ;
3) $t[1: n, i, j] \quad$ array of absolute air temperature in $K$;
4) $q[1: n, i, j]$ array of specific humidity (dimensionless).

Output data:

1) $h[0: n, i, j]$
array of mid-layers geometric heights above geoid in meters;
$h[0]$ is the geometric height at the nominal surface.
2) $\mathrm{p}[0: \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}] \quad$ array of atmospheric pressure at mid-layer in Pa ;
$\mathrm{p}[0]$ is the atmospheric pressure at the nominal surface.
3) $p_{w}[1: n, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}]$ array of partial pressure of water vapor at mid-layer in Pa ;
4) $\mathrm{t}[1: \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}] \quad$ array of air temperature in K (unchanged).
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1. Compute the local gravity acceleration at the reference ellipsoid with geodetic latitude $\varphi[i]$ :

$$
g_{\text {geoid }}=g_{e q} *\left(1+k * \sin ^{2}(\varphi)\right) / \operatorname{sqrt}\left(1-\left(2 f_{e}-f_{e}^{2}\right) * \sin ^{2}(\varphi)\right)
$$

where $g_{e q}$ is the equatorial gravity acceleration and $f_{e}$ is Earth's flattening.
2. Compute atmospheric pressure at mid-layer. The pressure at the upper edge of the topmost level is fixed: 1 Pa . Then combining thickness of two adjacent layers $\Delta P$, the compute atmospheric pressure at the middle of a layer is computed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p[n]=1.0+\Delta p[1] / 2 \\
& t t=t \\
& q q=q \\
& \text { for } k=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 2,1 \text { do } \\
& \qquad \quad p[k]=p[k+1]+(\Delta p[n-k]+\Delta p[n+1-k]) / 2.0 \\
& \quad q[k]=q q[n+1-k] \\
& \quad t[k]=t t[n+1-k] \\
& p[0]=p[1]+\Delta p[n] / 2.0
\end{aligned}
$$

This procedure also reverses layer indexing for total atmospheric pressure $p$, air temperature $t$, and specific humidity $q$. From now on, index 1 corresponds to the middle of the lowest layer, index $n$ corresponds to the middle of the topmost layer, index 0 corresponds to the nominal surface. Similar convention is used for arrays that will be introduced below: the array for partial pressure of water vapor $p_{w}$, the array of partial pressure for dry air $p_{d}$, the array of compressibility of moist air $z_{m}$, the array of gravity acceleration $g$, the array of geometric height $h$, and the array of right hand-side of the hypsometric differential equation $y$. Array of layer pressure thickness $\Delta p$ remains unchanged.
3. Convert specific humidity to partial pressure of water vapor $p_{w}$ and partial pressure of dry air $p_{d}$. Using these quantities, as well as absolute air temperature $t$, compute a) compressibility of moist air $\left.z_{m}, \mathrm{~b}\right)$ the gravity acceleration, and c) the right hand-side of the hypsometric differential equation $y=\frac{d h}{d p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, n \text { do } \\
& p_{w}[i]=q[i] /\left(M_{w} / M_{d}+\left(1.0-M_{w} / M_{d}\right) * q[i]\right) * p[i] \\
& p_{d}[i]=p[i]-p_{w}[i] \\
& z_{m}[i]=1.0 /(1.0 \\
& -p[i] / t[i] *\left(a_{0}+a_{1} *\left(t[i]-t_{0}\right)+a_{2} *\left(t[i]-t_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +p_{w}[i] / t[i] *\left(b_{0}+b_{1} *\left(t[i]-t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +p_{w}^{2}[i] /(p[i] * t[i]) *\left(c_{0}+c_{1} *\left(t[i]-t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +p^{2}[i] / t^{2}[i] * e_{0} \\
& +p_{w}^{2}[i] / t^{2}[i] * f_{0} \\
& \text { ) } \\
& g[i]=g_{\text {geoid }} *\left(g_{0}+g_{1} * \ln (p[i])\right) \\
& y[i]=R * t[i] /\left(g[i] * z_{m}[i] *\left(M_{d} * p_{d}[i]+M_{w} * p_{w}[i]\right)\right) \\
& y[0]=y[1]-\Delta p[n] / 2.0 *(y[2]-y[1]) /(p[2]-p[1])
\end{aligned}
$$
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where $M_{d}$ and $M_{w}$ are constants: molar mass of dry air and water vapor respectively; $t_{0}$ is water freezing temperature in K. $y[0]$ at the nominal surface is computed by extrapolation.
4. Perform 1D B-spline transform of degree $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{m}=3)$ of array $y$ :

$$
Y(p)=\mathcal{B}^{m}(y(p))
$$

5. Compute the coarse estimate of mid-layer ortho-heights:

$$
h_{k}=\text { PHIS }[i, j] / g_{n}+\int_{p[0]}^{p[k]} Y(p) d p .
$$

Using results in subsection B.1.5, this can be done using the following algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h[0]=\text { PHIS }[i, j] / g_{n} \\
& \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n-1, n \text { do } \\
& \qquad h[k]=h[k-1] \\
& \quad \text { for } l=k-m-1, k-m \ldots, k-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad h[k]=h[k]+Y[l] * K_{\ell}^{m}(p[l])
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{\ell}^{m}(x)$ is a function defined in expression 65 : the integral of B-spline of degree $m$ with the pivotal index $\ell$ in a range $[\mathrm{x}[1-1], \mathrm{x}[1]]$.
6. Compute an improved approximation to function $y(p)$ using a more precise model of changing the gravity acceleration with atmospheric pressure.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n-1, n \text { do } \\
& \quad \begin{array}{l}
g[i]=g_{\text {geoid }} *\left(1-2 / R_{e} * h[i]+3 / R_{e}^{2} * h^{2}[i]\right) \\
y[i]=R * t[i] /\left(g[i] * z_{m}[i] *\left(M_{d} *\left(p[i]-p_{w}[i]\right)+M_{w} * p_{w}[i]\right)\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $R_{e}$ is the Earth's equatorial radius and $R$ is the universal gas constant.
7. Repeat step 5 one time.

### 6.2.3. Re-gridding the state of the atmosphere to the uniform grid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n_{\varphi}-1, n_{\varphi} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, n_{\lambda}-1, n_{\lambda}, n_{\lambda}+1 \text { do } \\
& \text { Re-grid the columns } \mathrm{p}[1: \mathrm{n}], p_{w}[1: \mathrm{n}], \mathrm{t}[1: \mathrm{n}] \text { as a function of } \mathrm{h}[1: \mathrm{n}] \text {, to } \\
& \bar{p}[1: \bar{n}], \bar{p}_{w}[1: \bar{n}], \bar{t}[1: \bar{n}], \text { as a function of } \bar{h}[1: \bar{n}] \\
& \bar{h}[1: \bar{n}] \text { does not depend on } i, j
\end{aligned}
$$

Regridding the column is explained in detail below.
Input data:
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1) $n=72$ input dimension over layers
2) $h[1: n]$ input mid-layer height above geoid
3) $p[1: n]$ input mid-layer air pressure
4) $p_{w}[1: n]$ input mid-layer water vapor pressure
5) $t[1: n]$ input mid-layer air temperature

Output data:

1) $\bar{n}=125$ output dimension over layers
2) $\bar{h}[1: \bar{n}]$ constant array of output grid heights above geoid
3) $\bar{p}[1: \bar{n}]$ output array of air pressure
4) $\bar{p}_{w}[1: \bar{n}]$ output array of water vapor pressure
5) $\bar{t}[1: \bar{n}] \quad$ output array of air temperature
1. Compute B-spline transform of arrays $p, p_{w}$, and $t$ as a function of $h$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(h) & =\mathcal{B}^{m}(p(h)) \\
P_{w}(h) & =\mathcal{B}^{m}\left(p_{w}(h)\right) \\
T(h) & =\mathcal{B}^{m}(T(h))
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Compute lapse rate $t_{r}=\frac{\partial t}{\partial h}$ in the troposphere in a certain range of heights: $\left[h_{k_{\min }}, h_{k_{\max }}\right]$. We select $k_{\max }=\max k: h[k]<h_{\max }$, where $h_{\max }=9000 \mathrm{~m}$, and $k_{\min }=k_{\max } / 2$. Lapse rate in the range $\left[h_{k_{\min }}, h_{k_{\max }}\right]$ is computed with least squares by fitting two parameters of the straight line: $t(h)=t_{0}+t_{r} * h$. Here is the algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{\max }=1 \\
& \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots n \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { if } h[k]<h_{\max } \text { then } k_{\max }=k \\
& \text { at }=0 ; \quad \text { aht }=0 ; \quad \text { a }=0 ; \quad \text { ah }=0 ; \quad \text { ahh }=0 ; \\
& \text { for } k=k_{\min }, k_{\min }+1 \ldots k_{\max } \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { a }:=\mathrm{a}+1 \\
& \text { ah }:=\mathrm{ah}+h[k] \\
& \text { ahh }:=\text { ahh }+h[k]^{2} \\
& \text { at }:=\text { at }+t[k] \\
& \quad \text { aht }:=\text { aht }+h[k] * t[k] \\
& \text { Det }=\text { at*ahh }- \text { ah }^{2} \\
& t_{r} \quad=\left(\mathrm{a}^{*} \text { aht }-\mathrm{ah}^{*} \mathrm{at}\right) / \text { Det }
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Transform the column of data to the uniform grid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{n}:=125 \\
& \mu_{1}:=20.25319 \\
& \mu_{2}:=1200.0 \\
& \mu_{3}:=107.30782 \\
& \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots \bar{n}-1, \bar{n} \text { do } \\
& \bar{h}[k]=\exp \left(\left(k-\mu_{3}\right) / \mu_{1}\right)-\mu_{2} \\
& \bar{g}[k]=g_{e q} *\left(1-2 *\left(\bar{h}[k] / R_{e}\right)+3 *\left(\bar{h}[k] / R_{e}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \text { if } \bar{h}[k]<h[1] \text { then \#Extrapolation below the lowest layer } \\
& \bar{t}[k]=t[1]+T_{r} *(\bar{h}[k]-h[1]) \\
& \bar{p}_{w}[k]=p_{w}[1]+(\bar{t}[k] / t[1]) * *\left(-\bar{g}[k] M_{w} /\left(R * T_{r}\right)\right) \\
& \bar{p}[k]=p[1]+(\bar{t}[k] / t[1]) * *\left(-\bar{g}[k] M_{d} /\left(R * T_{r}\right)\right) \\
& \text { else if } \bar{h}[k]>h[n] \text { then } \# \text { Extrapolation above the highest layer } \\
& \bar{t}[k]=t[n] \\
& \bar{p}_{w}[k]=p_{w}[n] * \exp \left(-\bar{g}[k] M_{w} *\left(\bar{h}_{k}-h[n]\right) /(R * \bar{t}[k])\right) \\
& \bar{p}[k]=p[n] * \exp \left(-\bar{g}[k] M_{d} *\left(\bar{h}_{k}-h[n]\right) /(R * \bar{t}[k])\right) \\
& \text { else } \# \mathrm{~B}-\text { spline interpolation } \\
& \text { Find the pivotal knot } l \text { for } h[k], \max l: \bar{h}[k] \leq h[l]: \\
& l:=1 \\
& \quad \text { for } r=2,3, \ldots n-1 \text { do } \\
& \text { if } \bar{h}[k]<h[r] \text { then } l:=r \\
& \bar{p}[k]=0 \\
& \bar{p}_{w}[k]=0 \\
& \bar{t}[k]=0 \\
& \text { for } r=l-m, l-m+1 \ldots l \text { do } \\
& \bar{p}[k]=\bar{p}[k]+P[l] * B_{r}^{m}(h) \\
& \bar{p} w[k]=\bar{p}_{w}[k]+P_{w}[l] * B_{r}^{m}(h) \\
& \bar{t}[k]=\bar{t}[k]+T[l] * B_{r}^{m}(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

### 6.2.4. Compute refractivity using the state of the atmosphere

Input data:

1) $\bar{h}$ 1D array of grid point geometric heights above geoid in m
2) $\bar{\varphi} 1 \mathrm{D}$ array of grid point geodetic latitudes in range $[-\pi / 2,+\pi / 2]$ in rad
3) $\bar{\lambda} 1 \mathrm{D}$ array of grid point longitudes in range $[0,2 \pi]$ in rad
4) $\bar{p} 3 \mathrm{D}$ array of total air pressure in Pa
5) $\bar{p}_{w} 3 \mathrm{D}$ array of partial water vapor pressure in Pa
6) $\bar{t} 3 \mathrm{D}$ array of air temperature in K

Input 3 D arrays should be sized as $\left[1-m: n_{h}, 1-m: n_{\lambda}, 1-m: n_{\varphi}\right]$, where $n_{h}$ is the dimension over layers in the regular grid $\left(n_{h}=125\right)$. Their elements with indices $<1$ are not defined.
Output data:

1) $r 3 \mathrm{D}$ array of air refractivity at 532 nm .

Output 3D array $r$ should be sized as $\left[1-m: n_{h}, 1-m: n_{\lambda}, 1-m: n_{\varphi}\right]$. Its elements with indices $n_{h}, n_{\lambda}, n_{\varphi}$ are not defined.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n_{\varphi}-1, n_{\varphi} \text { do } \\
& \varphi[i]=-\pi / 2+(i-1) * \pi /\left(n_{\varphi}-1\right) \\
& \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, n_{\lambda}-1, n_{\lambda}, n_{\lambda}+1 \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n_{h}-1, n_{h} \text { do } \\
& z_{m}=1.0 /( \\
& -\bar{p}[k, j, i] / \bar{t}[k, j, i] *\left(a_{0}+a_{1} *\left(\bar{t}[k, j, i]-t_{0}\right)+a_{2} *\left(\bar{t}[k, j, i]-t_{0}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +\bar{p}_{w}[k, j, i] / \bar{t}[k, j, i] *\left(b_{0}+b_{1} *\left(\bar{t}[k, j, i]-t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\bar{p}_{w}^{2}[k, j, i] /(\bar{p}[k, j, i] * \bar{t}[k, j, i]) *\left(c_{0}+c_{1} *\left(\bar{t}[k, j, i]-t_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\bar{p}^{2}[k, j, i] / \bar{t}^{2}[k, j, i] * e_{0} \\
& +\bar{p}_{w}^{2}[k, j, i] / \bar{t}^{2}[k, j, i] * f_{0} \\
& \text { ) } \\
& r[k, j, i]=\left(S_{t} * \bar{p}[k, j, i]+S_{w} * \bar{p}_{w}[k, j, i]\right) / \bar{t}[k, j, i] * z_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

$S_{t}$ and $S_{w}$ are constants.

### 6.2.5. Expand refractivity into 3 D B-spline basis

Perform 3D B-spline transform following algorithm B.4:

$$
R(i, j, k)=\mathcal{B}_{i, j, k}^{m} r(i, j, k)
$$

Since the input 3D array $r$ is sized as $\left[1-m: n_{h}, 1-m: n_{\lambda}, 1-m: n_{\varphi}\right]$, the output 3 D array $R$ will fit the same place and replace original array $r$.

### 6.2.6. Write down 3 D B-spline transform of air refractivity

The array of 3D B-spline transform $R$ is written in a file. File naming convention: refr_d@@@@@@@_t@@@, where d@@@@@@@ is date in yyyymmdd format. The first 4 characters are the year, following two characters are the month number with leading zeros, and last three characters are the day of the month with leading zeros if necessary. t@@@ is time in hhmm format. The first two characters are the hour, the last two characters are the minute. Since the output is generated with a step of 3 hours, the following values are valid: $0000,0300,0600,0900,1200,1500$, 1800, 2100.

### 6.3. Computation of path delay

### 6.3.1. Determination of time range for path delay

The time range for path delay computation is determined on a base of a definition of the data chunk to be processed. The beginning of the interpolation epoch is determined as the latest epoch that is less than the first observation minus one time step of numerical weather model (3 hours for GEOS-FPIT). The end of the interpolation epoch is determined as the earliest epoch that is greater than the last epoch of observation plus one one time step of numerical weather model. Here is an example: let the time range of observations be [2014.04.13T07:23:00, 2014.04.13T17:45:00]. Then the interpolation time range is [2014.04.13T03:00:00, 2014.04.13T21:00:00]. An extra epoch at the beginning and the end of interpolation range is added for improving the accuracy of interpolation.
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### 6.3.2. Reading 3D B-spline refractivity transforms

The coefficients for 3D B-splines for $n_{t}$ time epochs are read and form a 4D array. The 1 st axis is height, the second axis is longitude, the third axis is latitude, and the fourth axis is time.

### 6.3.3. Compute 4D B-spline refractivity transform

This is done using steps $10-12$ of 4D B-spline expansion algorithm described in section B.5. Basically, the expansion is performed in two steps: 1) the 4 D array is extended over time axis by adding three extra nodes with index $-2,-1$, and $0 ; 2$ ) in a cycle over axes 1,2 , and 3 a subsection of refractivity $r\left[i, j, k,-2: n_{l}\right]$ is extracted. A 1D B-spline transform is performed and the result is written back in subsection $r\left[i, j, k,-2: n_{l}\right]$.

### 6.3.4. Compute path delays

Path delay in zenith direction is computed by integration along the height axis from the surface to the top of the atmosphere. It should be noted that the height axis has zero at the sea level (or more precisely, at the geoid). If the a priori position of the ground point is referred to the height above the reference ellipsoid, the ellipsoid height should be converted to the ortho-height (i.e. height above the geoid): $h_{o}(\varphi, \lambda)=h_{e}(\varphi, \lambda)-u(\varphi, \lambda)$. Since the 2D B-spline transform of geoid undulation has already been computed, we compute $u(\varphi, \lambda)$ using this expansion:

$$
u(\varphi, \lambda)=\sum_{-2+j}^{j} \sum_{-2+i}^{i} U_{i j} B_{j}^{m}(\varphi) B_{i}^{m}(\lambda),
$$

where $U$ is the B-spine transform of geoid undulations, $i$ and $j$ are so called pivotal indices: max $i$ : $\lambda_{i}<\lambda, \max j: \varphi_{j}<\varphi$.

Finally, zenith path delay is computed as

$$
d\left(h_{o}, \lambda, \varphi_{g d}, t\right)=\sum_{l=l_{p}-m}^{l=l_{p}} \sum_{k=k_{p}-m}^{k=k_{p}} \sum_{j=j_{p}-m}^{j=j_{p}} \sum_{i=i_{p}-m}^{i=d_{1}-1} R_{i j k l} B_{l}^{m}(t) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) J_{i}^{m}\left(h_{o}\right),
$$

where $h_{o}$ is surface ortho-height, and $i, j, k, l$ are pivotal elements for ortho-height, longitude, latitude, and time respectively.

Its derivative over height is computed as

$$
\frac{\partial d\left(h_{o}, \lambda, \varphi_{g d}, t\right)}{\partial h_{o}}=\sum_{l=l_{p}-m}^{l=l_{p}} \sum_{k=k_{p}-m}^{k=k_{p}} \sum_{j=j_{p}-m}^{j=j_{p}} \sum_{i=i_{p}-m}^{i=d_{1}-1} R_{i j k l} B_{l}^{m}(t) B_{k}^{m}\left(\varphi_{g d}\right) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{i}^{m}\left(h_{o}\right) .
$$
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## 7. Test data and results

### 7.1. Test of computation of the state of atmosphere from the output of GEOSFPIT numerical weather model

Date: 2014.02.25-12:00:00
GEOS-FPIT data file: DAS.fpit.asm.inst3_3d_asm_Nv.GEOS591.20140225_1200.V01.nc4
Retrieved by command
wget - -http-password="" http://aurapar2u.ecs.nasa.gov/goldsfs1/data/GEOS5/ DFPITI3NVASM.5.9.1/2014/056/.hidden/DAS.fpit.asm.inst3_3d_asm_Nv.GEOS591.20140225_1200.V01.nc4 Table below contains parameters of the state of the atmosphere for the column of air at geodetic latitude $-88.0^{\circ}$ and longitude $349.375^{\circ}$ at 2014.02.25-12:00:00. Indices in the GEOS-FPIT original grid: $(5,560)$.

Table 2: Raw data: pressure thickness $\delta p_{i}$ and air temperature $t[i]$ and intermediate results: preliminary gravity acceleration $g[i]=g_{\text {geoid }} *\left(g_{0}+g_{1} * \ln (p[i])\right)$, air compressibility $\mathrm{Zm}[i]$, and and right hand-side for the hypsometric equation $y[i]$. Order of layers: from the ground to top.

| Layer | $\Delta p[i]$ | $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{Zm}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 72 | 1.000000 | 200.31622 | 9.60178376 | 1.00000003 | $3.9916380 \cdot 10^{+03}$ |
| 71 | 1.270000 | 204.54309 | 9.61335325 | 1.00000005 | $2.3174349 \cdot 10^{+03}$ |
| 70 | 1.488501 | 212.04710 | 9.62199758 | 1.00000007 | $1.5755816 \cdot 10^{+03}$ |
| 69 | 1.841500 | 221.49547 | 9.62912240 | 1.00000009 | $1.1624261 \cdot 10^{+03}$ |
| 68 | 2.334500 | 231.56816 | 9.63555167 | 1.00000010 | $8.8800047 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 67 | 3.035800 | 241.27260 | 9.64164867 | 1.00000011 | $6.8709835 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 66 | 3.979201 | 250.14551 | 9.64759046 | 1.00000013 | $5.3305223 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 65 | 5.185400 | 256.04990 | 9.65341938 | 1.00000015 | $4.1054464 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 64 | 6.717703 | 258.53607 | 9.65913553 | 1.00000019 | $3.1362148 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 63 | 8.651503 | 260.68179 | 9.66473889 | 1.00000024 | $2.4056639 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 62 | 11.076500 | 262.65546 | 9.67022946 | 1.00000030 | $1.8541326 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 61 | 14.097301 | 264.40204 | 9.67560727 | 1.00000038 | $1.4356198 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 60 | 17.835503 | 268.26874 | 9.68087229 | 1.00000045 | $1.1265636 \cdot 10^{+02}$ |
| 59 | 22.430611 | 270.98141 | 9.68602449 | 1.00000055 | $8.8496741 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 58 | 28.107002 | 270.46353 | 9.69106970 | 1.00000071 | $6.9050418 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 57 | 35.028000 | 266.77374 | 9.69601235 | 1.00000098 | $5.3511164 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 56 | 43.418015 | 262.37238 | 9.70085243 | 1.00000136 | $4.1556302 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 55 | 53.524017 | 256.92145 | 9.70558999 | 1.00000191 | $3.2293087 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 54 | 65.622009 | 251.55862 | 9.71022500 | 1.00000266 | $2.5218099 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 53 | 80.014038 | 246.77486 | 9.71475748 | 1.00000362 | $1.9829464 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 52 | 97.023010 | 243.30896 | 9.71918740 | 1.00000479 | $1.5749940 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 51 | 117.000000 | 239.39148 | 9.72351477 | 1.00000635 | $1.2546239 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 50 | 140.304077 | 238.16663 | 9.72773961 | 1.00000797 | $1.0156474 \cdot 10^{+01}$ |
| 49 | 167.309998 | 234.87671 | 9.73186189 | 1.00001032 | $8.1909187 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 48 | 198.395996 | 232.69888 | 9.73588164 | 1.00001303 | $6.6694581 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
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| Layer | $\Delta p[i]$ | t [i] | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{Zm}[\mathrm{i}]$ | y[1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47 | 236.650024 | 230.90681 | 9.73982131 | 1.00001628 | $5.4605109 \cdot 10$ |
| 46 | 281.370117 | 229.99455 | 9.74369943 | 1.00001998 | $4.5011072 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 45 | 333.450073 | 230.41312 | 9.74751600 | 1.00002388 | $3.7429881 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 44 | 393.880127 | 230.03204 | 9.75127099 | 1.00002886 | $3.1110982 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 43 | 463.739990 | 230.14713 | 9.75496440 | 1.00003448 | $2.5992625 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 42 | 544.220215 | 229.64398 | 9.75859628 | 1.00004151 | $2.1723125 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 41 | 636.540283 | 229.14737 | 9.76216660 | 1.00004981 | $1.8209958 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 40 | 746.850098 | 229.53174 | 9.76568648 | 1.00005874 | $1.5361456 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 39 | 874.399902 | 229.43329 | 9.76916533 | 1.00006970 | $1.2957180 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 38 | 1021.550293 | 229.21729 | 9.77260315 | 1.00008270 | $1.0945476 \cdot 10^{+00}$ |
| 37 | 1190.890137 | 229.18913 | 9.77599991 | 1.00009763 | $9.2722231 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 36 | 1385.340820 | 228.92416 | 9.77935562 | 1.00011548 | $7.8623600 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 35 | 1629.729492 | 228.70306 | 9.78269243 | 1.00013636 | $6.6742767 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 34 | 1917.401367 | 228.39130 | 9.78602928 | 1.00016127 | $5.6634343 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 33 | 2255.599609 | 228.12888 | 9.78936613 | 1.00019057 | $4.8067011 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 32 | 2653.701172 | 228.66145 | 9.79270297 | 1.00022217 | $4.0937910 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 31 | 2915.396240 | 228.27863 | 9.79592942 | 1.00026165 | $3.4914029 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 30 | 3046.896973 | 226.77856 | 9.79890031 | 1.00031013 | $3.0002206 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 29 | 3259.590820 | 226.24045 | 9.80163545 | 1.00035753 | $2.6189868 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 28 | 3459.401367 | 220.08844 | 9.80419711 | 1.00044868 | $2.2481815 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 27 | 2483.254639 | 217.79785 | 9.80622378 | 1.00051416 | $2.0151513 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 26 | 2438.766113 | 218.36220 | 9.80776304 | 1.00054907 | $1.8741077 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 25 | 2371.893555 | 220.16035 | 9.80916360 | 1.00057070 | $1.7646774 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 24 | 2334.121094 | 222.67993 | 9.81044706 | 1.00058268 | $1.6764988 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 23 | 2294.205811 | 225.50577 | 9.81163562 | 1.00058890 | $1.6021017 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 22 | 2278.551758 | 227.89062 | 9.81274601 | 1.00059706 | $1.5336463 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 21 | 2239.467285 | 229.71201 | 9.81378712 | 1.00060890 | $1.4693170 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 20 | 2239.384766 | 231.42410 | 9.81476959 | 1.00062021 | $1.4109696 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 19 | 2212.899414 | 233.30623 | 9.81570177 | 1.00062817 | $1.3591963 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 18 | 1467.517090 | 234.87262 | 9.81644161 | 1.00063362 | $1.3198131 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 17 | 1466.509399 | 236.19720 | 9.81701290 | 1.00063648 | $1.2907773 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 16 | 1465.506348 | 237.44476 | 9.81756834 | 1.00063963 | $1.2629102 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 15 | 1452.071045 | 238.21530 | 9.81810653 | 1.00064765 | $1.2341705 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 14 | 1452.081055 | 239.29211 | 9.81862859 | 1.00065165 | $1.2085724 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 13 | 1161.472168 | 240.29382 | 9.81908733 | 1.00065445 | $1.1867812 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 12 | 868.057556 | 241.00342 | 9.81943662 | 1.00065719 | $1.1701757 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 11 | 866.946899 | 241.74799 | 9.81973058 | 1.00065772 | $1.1570837 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 10 | 865.525513 | 241.69543 | 9.82001997 | 1.00066769 | $1.1405964 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 9 | 865.521362 | 241.88831 | 9.82030511 | 1.00067466 | $1.1257238 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 8 | 865.534912 | 242.34647 | 9.82058634 | 1.00067828 | $1.1124852 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 7 | 863.664307 | 242.74982 | 9.82086348 | 1.00068244 | $1.0993695 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 6 | 861.897339 | 243.06985 | 9.82113636 | 1.00068752 | $1.0862593 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 5 | 861.886841 | 243.03839 | 9.82140538 | 1.00069698 | $1.0719412 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 4 | 861.905518 | 242.79001 | 9.82167092 | 1.00070925 | $1.0570413 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |

ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

| Layer | $\Delta p[i]$ | $\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{Zm}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{y}[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 860.014526 | 242.05850 | 9.82193279 | 1.00072800 | $1.0404416 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 2 | 859.705566 | 240.05029 | 9.82219104 | 1.00076456 | $1.0188073 \cdot 10^{-01}$ |
| 1 | 1052.805664 | 232.66653 | 9.82247447 | 1.00088317 | $9.7371944 \cdot 10^{-02}$ |

Table 3: Intermediate results of computation gravity acceleration $g[i]$, total pressure $p[i]$, partial pressure of water vapor $p_{w}[i]$, dry pressure $p_{d}[i]$, and height above the geoid $h[i]$. Order of layers: from top to the ground.

| Layer | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $p_{w}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $p_{d}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9.82400803 | 69759.05402 | $1.17192 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 69747.33482 | 2632.974 |
| 2 | 9.82371440 | 68802.79840 | $2.47031 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 68778.09533 | 2728.322 |
| 3 | 9.82344149 | 67942.93835 | $3.20390 \cdot 0^{+01}$ | 67910.89939 | 2816.946 |
| 4 | 9.82316348 | 67081.97833 | $3.39323 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 67048.04599 | 2907.233 |
| 5 | 9.82288099 | 66220.08215 | $3.41871 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 66185.89505 | 2998.976 |
| 6 | 9.82259465 | 65358.19006 | $3.39866 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 65324.20350 | 3091.975 |
| 7 | 9.82230438 | 64495.40924 | $3.23242 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 64463.08504 | 3186.252 |
| 8 | 9.82201005 | 63630.80963 | $3.08669 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 63599.94277 | 3281.856 |
| 9 | 9.82171191 | 62765.28149 | $2.94604 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 62735.82105 | 3378.699 |
| 10 | 9.82141009 | 61899.75806 | $2.92146 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 61870.54349 | 3476.744 |
| 11 | 9.82110375 | 61033.52185 | $2.90664 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 61004.45549 | 3576.258 |
| 12 | 9.82079304 | 60166.01962 | $2.70384 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 60138.98125 | 3677.202 |
| 13 | 9.82042505 | 59151.25476 | $2.52217 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 59126.03307 | 3796.758 |
| 14 | 9.81994341 | 57844.47815 | $2.20922 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 57822.38591 | 3953.247 |
| 15 | 9.81939779 | 56392.40210 | $1.98510 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 56372.55115 | 4130.539 |
| 16 | 9.81883741 | 54933.61340 | $1.75471 \cdot 0^{+01}$ | 54916.06629 | 4312.640 |
| 17 | 9.81826149 | 53467.60553 | $1.49058 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 53452.69977 | 4499.810 |
| 18 | 9.81767248 | 52000.59229 | $1.27621 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 51987.83016 | 4691.248 |
| 19 | 9.81691439 | 50160.38403 | $1.02525 \cdot 10^{+01}$ | 50150.13154 | 4937.668 |
| 20 | 9.81596636 | 47934.24194 | $7.91348 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 47926.32847 | 5245.870 |
| 21 | 9.81497495 | 45694.81592 | $6.26463 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 45688.55129 | 5568.222 |
| 22 | 9.81393230 | 43435.80640 | $5.08046 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 43430.72593 | 5907.288 |
| 23 | 9.81283046 | 41149.42761 | $4.03982 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 41145.38779 | 6265.660 |
| 24 | 9.81166493 | 38835.26416 | $3.07545 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 38832.18871 | 6644.812 |
| 25 | 9.81042173 | 36482.25684 | $2.32599 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 36479.93085 | 7049.309 |
| 26 | 9.80907858 | 34076.92700 | $1.80019 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 34075.12681 | 7486.409 |
| 27 | 9.80761069 | 31615.91663 | $1.47922 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 31614.43741 | 7964.209 |
| 28 | 9.80567267 | 28644.58862 | $1.11363 \cdot 10^{+00}$ | 28643.47499 | 8595.200 |
| 29 | 9.80316826 | 25285.09253 | $6.47272 \cdot 0^{-01}$ | 25284.44526 | 9410.878 |
| 30 | 9.80045571 | 22131.84863 | $4.97196 \cdot 10^{-01}$ | 22131.35144 | 10294.704 |
| 31 | 9.79749937 | 19150.70203 | $1.73043 \cdot 10^{-01}$ | 19150.52898 | 11258.382 |
| 32 | 9.79427309 | 16366.15332 | $1.17497 \cdot 10^{-01}$ | 16366.03582 | 12310.555 |

ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

| Layer | $\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{i}]$ | p [i] | $p_{w}[$ i] | $p_{d}[$ i] | $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 9.79094079 | 13911.50293 | $8.81496 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 13911.41478 | 13397.851 |
| 34 | 9.78761096 | 11825.00244 | $6.49573 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 11824.93748 | 14484.902 |
| 35 | 9.78427662 | 10051.43701 | $4.89417 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 10051.38807 | 15573.986 |
| 36 | 9.78093933 | 8543.90186 | $3.80657 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 8543.86379 | 16664.599 |
| 37 | 9.77757965 | 7255.78638 | $3.22436 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 7255.75413 | 17763.094 |
| 38 | 9.77417756 | 6149.56616 | $2.81247 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 6149.53804 | 18876.044 |
| 39 | 9.77073343 | 5201.59106 | $2.49072 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 5201.56616 | 20003.344 |
| 40 | 9.76724506 | 4390.96606 | $2.18033 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 4390.94426 | 21145.737 |
| 41 | 9.76372001 | 3699.27087 | $1.89621 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 3699.25191 | 22300.776 |
| 42 | 9.76014459 | 3108.89062 | $1.60622 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 3108.87456 | 23472.964 |
| 43 | 9.75649790 | 2604.91052 | $1.35877 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 2604.89693 | 24669.194 |
| 44 | 9.75278933 | 2176.10046 | $1.18299 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 2176.08863 | 25886.422 |
| 45 | 9.74901536 | 1812.43536 | $1.00614 \cdot 10^{-02}$ | 1812.42530 | 27125.838 |
| 46 | 9.74518243 | 1505.02527 | $8.77513 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 1505.01649 | 28385.367 |
| 47 | 9.74128609 | 1246.01520 | $7.39101 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 1246.00781 | 29666.510 |
| 48 | 9.73730240 | 1028.49219 | $6.21445 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 1028.48597 | 30977.182 |
| 49 | 9.73320827 | 845.63919 | $5.07837 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 845.63411 | 32325.047 |
| 50 | 9.72895317 | 691.83215 | $4.19790 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 691.82796 | 33726.825 |
| 51 | 9.72455975 | 563.18011 | $3.49714 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 563.17662 | 35175.155 |
| 52 | 9.72000897 | 456.16861 | $2.89681 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 456.16571 | 36676.419 |
| 53 | 9.71528147 | 367.65009 | $2.39406 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 367.64769 | 38237.126 |
| 54 | 9.71036433 | 294.83206 | $1.96353 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 294.83010 | 39861.679 |
| 55 | 9.70523492 | 235.25905 | $1.59660 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 235.25745 | 41557.710 |
| 56 | 9.69987862 | 186.78803 | $1.28601 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 186.78675 | 43330.238 |
| 57 | 9.69430649 | 147.56503 | $1.02653 \cdot 10^{-03}$ | 147.56400 | 45175.788 |
| 58 | 9.68852681 | 115.99752 | $8.12118 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 115.99671 | 47091.815 |
| 59 | 9.68258148 | 90.72872 | $6.36884 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 90.72808 | 49064.602 |
| 60 | 9.67653687 | 70.59566 | $4.96402 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 70.59516 | 51072.252 |
| 61 | 9.67044790 | 54.62926 | $3.84153 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 54.62887 | 53096.600 |
| 62 | 9.66429320 | 42.04236 | $2.95725 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 42.04206 | 55144.815 |
| 63 | 9.65805397 | 32.17836 | $2.26315 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 32.17813 | 57223.228 |
| 64 | 9.65174031 | 24.49375 | $1.72122 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 24.49358 | 59328.565 |
| 65 | 9.64535658 | 18.54220 | $1.30169 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | 18.54207 | 61459.447 |
| 66 | 9.63894443 | 13.95990 | $9.79419 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 13.95980 | 63602.033 |
| 67 | 9.63262139 | 10.45240 | $7.32751 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 10.45233 | 65717.023 |
| 68 | 9.62635477 | 7.76725 | $5.44116 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 7.76720 | 67815.287 |
| 69 | 9.62008404 | 5.67925 | $3.97432 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 5.67921 | 69917.073 |
| 70 | 9.61332344 | 4.01425 | $2.79614 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 4.01422 | 72185.457 |
| 71 | 9.60572876 | 2.63500 | $1.81498 \cdot 10^{-05}$ | 2.63498 | 74736.689 |
| 72 | 9.59519354 | 1.50000 | $9.68205 \cdot 10^{-06}$ | 1.49999 | 78280.983 |

## ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

### 7.2. Test of refractivity computation

Table 4: Intermediate results of computation height above the geoid $h[i]$, total pressure $p[i]$, partial pressure of water vapor $p_{w}[i]$, air temperature $t[i]$, and air refractivity at 532 nm $r[i]$ at the uniform grid by using interpolation.

| Layer | $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $p_{w}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $t[\mathrm{i}]$ | $r[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -1000.000 | 117854.89131 | 16.23614632 | 242.36836 | 0.0003983650 |
| 2 | -989.877 | 117685.87530 | 16.22166707 | 242.34133 | 0.0003978376 |
| 3 | -979.242 | 117508.54730 | 16.20646728 | 242.31293 | 0.0003972842 |
| 4 | -968.069 | 117322.51140 | 16.19051176 | 242.28309 | 0.0003967036 |
| 5 | -956.330 | 117127.35573 | 16.17376378 | 242.25174 | 0.0003960944 |
| 6 | -943.996 | 116922.64780 | 16.15618469 | 242.21880 | 0.0003954553 |
| 7 | -931.039 | 116707.93814 | 16.13773420 | 242.18420 | 0.0003947849 |
| 8 | -917.426 | 116482.75677 | 16.11837005 | 242.14785 | 0.0003940818 |
| 9 | -903.123 | 116246.61283 | 16.09804797 | 242.10965 | 0.0003933442 |
| 10 | -888.097 | 115998.99731 | 16.07672189 | 242.06953 | 0.0003925707 |
| 11 | -872.311 | 115739.37874 | 16.05434353 | 242.02737 | 0.0003917596 |
| 12 | -855.725 | 115467.20203 | 16.03086229 | 241.98308 | 0.0003909091 |
| 13 | -838.300 | 115181.89240 | 16.00622556 | 241.93654 | 0.0003900175 |
| 14 | -819.992 | 114882.84836 | 15.98037806 | 241.88765 | 0.0003890826 |
| 15 | -800.759 | 114569.44783 | 15.95326234 | 241.83629 | 0.0003881028 |
| 16 | -780.551 | 114241.04238 | 15.92481825 | 241.78233 | 0.0003870758 |
| 17 | -759.321 | 113896.95851 | 15.89498301 | 241.72563 | 0.0003859995 |
| 18 | -737.017 | 113536.50014 | 15.86369135 | 241.66607 | 0.0003848718 |
| 19 | -713.583 | 113158.94131 | 15.83087486 | 241.60349 | 0.0003836902 |
| 20 | -688.964 | 112763.53185 | 15.79646240 | 241.53774 | 0.0003824525 |
| 21 | -663.098 | 112349.49635 | 15.76037995 | 241.46867 | 0.0003811561 |
| 22 | -635.923 | 111916.02941 | 15.72255014 | 241.39610 | 0.0003797985 |
| 23 | -607.373 | 111462.30086 | 15.68289262 | 241.31985 | 0.0003783770 |
| 24 | -577.377 | 110987.45243 | 15.64132369 | 241.23975 | 0.0003768888 |
| 25 | -545.864 | 110490.59952 | 15.59775635 | 241.15559 | 0.0003753312 |
| 26 | -512.755 | 109970.83036 | 15.55210016 | 241.06718 | 0.0003737012 |
| 27 | -477.971 | 109427.20683 | 15.50426119 | 240.97429 | 0.0003719957 |
| 28 | -441.426 | 108858.76424 | 15.45414187 | 240.87669 | 0.0003702117 |
| 29 | -403.031 | 108264.51286 | 15.40164103 | 240.77416 | 0.0003683460 |
| 30 | -362.693 | 107643.43882 | 15.34665381 | 240.66644 | 0.0003663952 |
| 31 | -320.314 | 106994.50448 | 15.28907158 | 240.55327 | 0.0003643559 |
| 32 | -275.789 | 106316.64929 | 15.22878184 | 240.43436 | 0.0003622248 |
| 33 | -229.011 | 105608.79431 | 15.16566842 | 240.30944 | 0.0003599983 |
| 34 | -179.865 | 104869.84003 | 15.09961116 | 240.17820 | 0.0003576727 |
| 35 | -128.232 | 104098.67197 | 15.03048616 | 240.04031 | 0.0003552445 |
| 36 | -73.985 | 103294.16167 | 14.95816567 | 239.89545 | 0.0003527098 |
| 37 | -16.993 | 102455.17004 | 14.88251816 | 239.74325 | 0.0003500649 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

| Layer | $\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $p_{w}{ }^{[1]}$ | $t[\mathrm{i}]$ | $r[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | 42.884 | 101580.55087 | 14.80340836 | 239.58335 | 0.0003473058 |
| 39 | 105.792 | 100669.15488 | 14.72069742 | 239.41535 | 0.0003444289 |
| 40 | 171.883 | 99719.83400 | 14.63424292 | 239.23886 | 0.0003414302 |
| 41 | 241.320 | 98731.44656 | 14.54389912 | 239.05343 | 0.0003383057 |
| 42 | 314.271 | 97702.86202 | 14.44951701 | 238.85861 | 0.0003350517 |
| 43 | 390.915 | 96632.96891 | 14.35094471 | 238.65394 | 0.0003316642 |
| 44 | 471.438 | 95520.67992 | 14.24802759 | 238.43890 | 0.0003281395 |
| 45 | 556.036 | 94364.93968 | 14.14060857 | 238.21298 | 0.0003244737 |
| 46 | 644.917 | 93164.73414 | 14.02852859 | 237.97563 | 0.0003206633 |
| 47 | 738.295 | 91919.09978 | 13.91162707 | 237.72626 | 0.0003167048 |
| 48 | 836.401 | 90627.12951 | 13.78974199 | 237.46427 | 0.0003125947 |
| 49 | 939.471 | 89287.98954 | 13.66271102 | 237.18902 | 0.0003083298 |
| 50 | 1047.759 | 87900.92645 | 13.53037174 | 236.89984 | 0.0003039071 |
| 51 | 1161.528 | 86465.28275 | 13.39256262 | 236.59602 | 0.0002993240 |
| 52 | 1281.054 | 84980.50364 | 13.24912317 | 236.27682 | 0.0002945779 |
| 53 | 1406.631 | 83446.16114 | 13.09989579 | 235.94147 | 0.0002896668 |
| 54 | 1538.564 | 81861.95891 | 12.94472575 | 235.58915 | 0.0002845888 |
| 55 | 1677.174 | 80227.75858 | 12.78346329 | 235.21899 | 0.0002793428 |
| 56 | 1822.800 | 78543.58376 | 12.61596353 | 234.83010 | 0.0002739277 |
| 57 | 1975.796 | 76809.64850 | 12.44208892 | 234.42152 | 0.0002683435 |
| 58 | 2136.536 | 75026.37080 | 12.26171016 | 233.99227 | 0.0002625902 |
| 59 | 2305.413 | 73194.38490 | 12.07470718 | 233.54128 | 0.0002566690 |
| 60 | 2482.836 | 71314.57445 | 11.88097221 | 233.06748 | 0.0002505814 |
| 61 | 2669.240 | 69393.00719 | 15.84158170 | 235.68621 | 0.0002411033 |
| 62 | 2865.078 | 67482.52052 | 33.38673499 | 242.48098 | 0.0002278616 |
| 63 | 3070.829 | 65553.19160 | 34.15226673 | 243.08998 | 0.0002207856 |
| 64 | 3286.994 | 63584.63488 | 30.78623875 | 242.32232 | 0.0002148324 |
| 65 | 3514.099 | 61573.17230 | 29.30826223 | 241.75207 | 0.0002085242 |
| 66 | 3752.700 | 59523.58453 | 25.88128614 | 240.52713 | 0.0002026091 |
| 67 | 4003.376 | 57430.81757 | 21.32229927 | 238.95550 | 0.0001967719 |
| 68 | 4266.741 | 55298.18959 | 18.18013518 | 237.65818 | 0.0001904984 |
| 69 | 4543.436 | 53130.44304 | 14.37425771 | 235.88426 | 0.0001844074 |
| 70 | 4834.135 | 50926.96376 | 11.25903990 | 233.95490 | 0.0001782172 |
| 71 | 5139.548 | 48692.78546 | 8.62009258 | 232.05243 | 0.0001717954 |
| 72 | 5460.419 | 46433.64957 | 6.74296023 | 230.26466 | 0.0001650957 |
| 73 | 5797.531 | 44156.50304 | 5.42833885 | 228.51334 | 0.0001582010 |
| 74 | 6151.706 | 41865.69166 | 4.35953457 | 226.31768 | 0.0001514477 |
| 75 | 6523.806 | 39562.39580 | 3.35876517 | 223.56702 | 0.0001448759 |
| 76 | 6914.740 | 37251.69107 | 2.54440305 | 220.91510 | 0.0001380507 |
| 77 | 7325.461 | 34945.68546 | 1.96187275 | 218.89947 | 0.0001306953 |
| 78 | 7756.971 | 32661.62031 | 1.59902785 | 217.83393 | 0.0001227473 |
| 79 | 8210.320 | 30417.74169 | 1.34809229 | 218.23416 | 0.0001141003 |
| 80 | 8686.616 | 28242.19659 | 1.04850555 | 220.75340 | 0.0001047251 |
| 81 | 9187.020 | 26158.56621 | 0.72971578 | 224.87381 | 0.0000952159 |

ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

| Layer | h[i] | $\mathrm{p}[\mathrm{i}]$ | $p_{w}[$ i] | $t[\mathrm{i}]$ | $r[\mathrm{i}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 | 9712.750 | 24157.76328 | 0.59953550 | 226.97789 | 0.0000871144 |
| 83 | 10265.090 | 22230.60930 | 0.50715606 | 226.78026 | 0.0000802328 |
| 84 | 10845.387 | 20374.07965 | 0.27565731 | 227.47883 | 0.0000733045 |
| 85 | 11455.054 | 18595.49820 | 0.14939277 | 228.53200 | 0.0000665950 |
| 86 | 12095.579 | 16899.72353 | 0.12158713 | 228.73535 | 0.0000604668 |
| 87 | 12768.524 | 15284.02875 | 0.10595484 | 228.40900 | 0.0000547629 |
| 88 | 13475.530 | 13750.83313 | 0.08614009 | 228.11916 | 0.0000493311 |
| 89 | 14218.320 | 12305.47984 | 0.06980713 | 228.28439 | 0.0000441130 |
| 90 | 14998.706 | 10951.92909 | 0.05672408 | 228.56295 | 0.0000392122 |
| 91 | 15818.591 | 9691.51772 | 0.04602637 | 228.75128 | 0.0000346702 |
| 92 | 16679.973 | 8524.36900 | 0.03795480 | 228.92804 | 0.0000304709 |
| 93 | 17584.953 | 7450.54372 | 0.03300273 | 229.16147 | 0.0000266049 |
| 94 | 18535.738 | 6468.54541 | 0.02926336 | 229.20835 | 0.0000230933 |
| 95 | 19534.646 | 5576.38911 | 0.02619346 | 229.32012 | 0.0000198982 |
| 96 | 20584.115 | 4772.30072 | 0.02330182 | 229.55351 | 0.0000170115 |
| 97 | 21686.699 | 4052.30951 | 0.02045890 | 229.32567 | 0.0000144592 |
| 98 | 22845.092 | 3412.15963 | 0.01760109 | 229.27902 | 0.0000121774 |
| 99 | 24062.115 | 2849.40968 | 0.01473675 | 229.97790 | 0.0000101381 |
| 100 | 25340.736 | 2358.78817 | 0.01259136 | 230.06141 | 0.0000083894 |
| 101 | 26684.074 | 1934.46694 | 0.01064572 | 230.32777 | 0.0000068722 |
| 102 | 28095.404 | 1570.83820 | 0.00906586 | 230.05007 | 0.0000055871 |
| 103 | 29578.168 | 1262.30354 | 0.00748027 | 230.80107 | 0.0000044751 |
| 104 | 31135.980 | 1004.94241 | 0.00607477 | 232.91458 | 0.0000035304 |
| 105 | 32772.641 | 792.91628 | 0.00476423 | 235.99429 | 0.0000027492 |
| 106 | 34492.137 | 620.42664 | 0.00380989 | 238.78455 | 0.0000021260 |
| 107 | 36298.664 | 480.87228 | 0.00303638 | 242.24055 | 0.0000016243 |
| 108 | 38196.629 | 369.69758 | 0.00240587 | 246.67807 | 0.0000012263 |
| 109 | 40190.660 | 282.09170 | 0.00188624 | 252.60073 | 0.0000009138 |
| 110 | 42285.613 | 213.86695 | 0.00146092 | 259.24907 | 0.0000006750 |
| 111 | 44486.605 | 161.06283 | 0.00111665 | 265.22876 | 0.0000004969 |
| 112 | 46798.996 | 120.32035 | 0.00084181 | 270.05310 | 0.0000003646 |
| 113 | 49228.426 | 88.89694 | 0.00062413 | 270.86510 | 0.0000002685 |
| 114 | 51780.820 | 64.56100 | 0.00045403 | 266.82788 | 0.0000001980 |
| 115 | 54462.398 | 45.88449 | 0.00032271 | 263.11669 | 0.0000001427 |
| 116 | 57279.707 | 31.94456 | 0.00022467 | 260.62179 | 0.0000001003 |
| 117 | 60239.609 | 21.75148 | 0.00015278 | 257.68662 | 0.0000000691 |
| 118 | 63349.328 | 14.44143 | 0.00010133 | 251.04059 | 0.0000000471 |
| 119 | 66616.438 | 9.21924 | 0.00006461 | 237.18174 | 0.0000000318 |
| 120 | 70048.914 | 5.56796 | 0.00003896 | 220.89142 | 0.0000000206 |
| 121 | 73655.117 | 3.15325 | 0.00002189 | 207.18337 | 0.0000000125 |
| 122 | 77443.852 | 1.71219 | 0.00001124 | 201.19417 | 0.0000000070 |
| 123 | 81424.344 | 0.90037 | 0.00000581 | 200.31622 | 0.0000000037 |
| 124 | 85606.312 | 0.45804 | 0.00000296 | 200.31622 | 0.0000000019 |
| 125 | 89999.945 | 0.22607 | 0.00000146 | 200.31622 | 0.0000000009 |

### 7.3. Test of path delay computation

Data: GEOS-FPIT dataset for epochs
2014.02.25T00:00:00
2014.02.25T03:00:00
2014.02.25T06:00:00
2014.02.25T09:00:00
2014.02.25T12:00:00
2014.02.25T15:00:00
2014.02.25T18:00:00
2014.02.25T21:00:00
2014.02.26T00:00:00

Results of computation of path delay (in meter). The first line corresponds to the latitude and longitude of the previous example.

| UTC Date | $\varphi_{\mathrm{gd}}$ | $\lambda$ | h | $\mathrm{u}(\varphi, \lambda)$ | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2014.02 .25-12: 00: 00.0$ | -88.000 | -10.625 | 2612.10 | -29.107 | 1.669249 |
| $2014.02 .25-12: 06: 40.0$ | -85.200 | -10.800 | 2400.00 | -13.409 | 1.718365 |
| $2014.02 .25-12: 13: 20.0$ | -82.175 | -11.128 | 2500.00 | -15.958 | 1.688175 |
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## 8. Used notation and numerical values of constants

### 8.1. List of symbols

A Azimuth counted from North to East of the satellite viewed from the footprint in rad.
$G M$ Earth's gravitational constants $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$M_{d}$ molar mass of dry air in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$M_{w}$ molar mass of water vapor in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$p$ total atmospheric pressure in Pa .
$p_{w} \quad$ partial pressure of water vapor in Pa .
$R$ universal gas constant $\mathrm{J} /(\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$
$R_{\oplus}$ Earth's equatorial radius.
$S_{t} \quad$ parameter of expression for refractivity of dry air in K/Pa.
$S_{w} \quad$ parameter of expression for refractivity of water vapor in K/Pa.
$t$ air temperature in K .
$Z^{-1}$ dimensionless compressibility of moist air. This variable accounts for deviation of state of the atmosphere from the ideal gas law.
$d$ path delay in the atmosphere in m .
$f_{\oplus}$ the Earth's flattening: the ratio of the differences in lengths of polar and equatorial radius to the equatorial radius.
$e_{\oplus} \quad$ eccentricity of the Earth's figure: $e_{\oplus}=\sqrt{2 f_{\oplus}-f_{\oplus}^{2}}$.
$g$ gravity acceleration in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$g_{n}$ nominal gravity acceleration used in the numerical weather model in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$g_{0} \quad$ ratio of the gravity acceleration at pressure level 1 Pa to the gravity acceleration at the geoid at the same latitude.
$g_{1}$ mean dependence of the Earth's gravity acceleration on logarithm of the total air pressure.
$g_{e q}$ gravity acceleration at the Earth's equator in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$g_{\text {geoid }}$ gravity acceleration at the geoid $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$h$ geometric height above reference ellipsoid in m.
$h_{o} \quad$ geometric height above the geoid (ortho-height) m.
$h_{s}$ geometric height above the reference surface used in the numerical weather model (m).
$k$ dimensionless parameter that describes change of gravity acceleration with latitude.
$q \quad$ specific humidity: ratio of mass of water vapor to the total mass of moist air.
$r$ refractivity coefficient defined as $c-v / c$, where $v$ is the speed of light in air and $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum.
$t$ air temperature in K.
$u$ height of the geoid above the reference ellipsoid in m.
$z \quad$ zenith angle of the satellite viewed from the footprint in rad.
$\Omega_{\oplus}$ Earth's angular velocity in rad/s.
$\Phi$ geopotential in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
PHIS increment of geopotential at the nominal surface with respect to the geoid in $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.
$\lambda$ longitude.
$\rho$ air density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

### 8.2. List of acronyms

### 8.3. Numerical values of constants

Table 5. Constants used in formula for refractivity

| $d_{0}$ | $2.380185 \cdot 10^{+14}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $d_{1}$ | $5.792105 \cdot 10^{+10}$ | - |
| $d_{2}$ | $5.7362 \cdot 10^{+13}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |
| $d_{3}$ | $1.67917 \cdot 10^{+09}$ | - |
| $w_{0}$ | $2.95235 \cdot 10^{-06}$ | - |
| $w_{1}$ | $2.6422 \cdot 10^{-20}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{-2}$ |
| $w_{2}$ | $-3.2380 \cdot 10^{-34}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{-4}$ |
| $w_{3}$ | $4.028 \cdot 10^{-47}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{-6}$ |
| $C$ | 1.022 | - |
| $R$ | 8.314472 | $\mathrm{~J} /(\mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$ |
| $M_{d}$ | 0.02896546 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{moll}$ |
| $M_{w}$ | 0.01801528 | $\mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{moll}$ |

Table 6. Constants in the expression for air compressibility

| $a_{0}$ | $1.58123 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $a_{1}$ | $-2.933 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $a_{2}$ | $1.1043 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $\mathrm{~K}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $b_{0}$ | $5.707 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $b_{1}$ | $-2.051 \cdot 10^{-8}$ | $\mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $c_{0}$ | $1.9898 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $c_{1}$ | $-2.376 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{~Pa}^{-1}$ |
| $e_{0}$ | $1.83 \cdot 10^{-11}$ | $\mathrm{~K}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-2}$ |
| $f_{0}$ | $-7.65 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $\mathrm{~K}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~Pa}^{-2}$ |
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Table 7. Coefficients of refractivity expression for $\operatorname{ICESat1}(\lambda=1064.0 \mathrm{~nm})$ and $\operatorname{ICESat} 2(\lambda=532.0 \mathrm{~nm})$ :

|  | $S_{t}$ | $S_{w}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ICESat-1 | $7.8147358 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-1.0604128 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |
| ICESat-2 | $8.1822296 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $-9.7331360 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |

Table 8. Numerical values of parameters related to gravity acceleration

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $g_{e q}$ | 9.7803253359 D 0 | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| $g_{0}$ | 0.975726 | - |
| $g_{1}$ | 0.0020885 | - |
| $k$ | 0.00193185265241 | $\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| $G M_{\oplus}$ | $3.986004418 \cdot 10^{14}$ | $\mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| $f_{\oplus}$ | 0.003352810665 | - |
| $\Omega_{\oplus}$ | $7.292115146706387 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |
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## A. Appendix

In the proposed algorithm we stated that slant path delay $D(z)$ at zenith angle $z$ can be computed from the zenith path delay $D(0)$ as $D(z)=D(0) / \cos (z)$ with accuracy better than 1 mm provided $z<5^{\circ}$. We also stated that the offset of the footprint due to bending the light in the atmosphere is does not exceed 30 cm if $z<5^{\circ}$. This simplifies significantly computation of path delay in the atmosphere. In order to prove these statements, we need compute slant path delay rigorously. The difficult part to derive the trajectory of light in the atmosphere. This can be done by solving numerically differential equations of wave propagation. Next section explains how to do it.

## A.1. Differential equations of wave propagation

According to the Fermat principle, the wave propagates through a heterogeneous continuous media follows a trajectory that minimizes its travel time. Fermat formulated this principle empirically 1662 , but it can be derived theoretically from the electromagnetic wave equations that are solution of Maxwell equations (Landau and Lifshitz 1988). Let us introduce the Cartesian coordinate system $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ and direct the axis $\xi$ along the straight line that connects the emitter $S$ and the expected footprint $F$ (see Figure 10). Let us place axis $\vec{\eta}$ in the plane of the Earth's pole and footprint, and set $\vec{\zeta}=\vec{\xi} \times \vec{\eta}$. This coordinate system and the associated time argument $t$ is thereafter denoted $\xi \eta \zeta t$. Then the Fermat principle defines the trajectory $\eta(\xi), \zeta(\xi)$ that minimizes the following functional:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S}^{F}(1+n(\xi, \eta, \zeta)) \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{d \eta}{d \xi}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d \zeta}{d \xi}\right)^{2}} d \xi \longrightarrow \min \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$ is the refractivity coefficient defined as $(c-v) / c$. (NB: Notation is changed in the Appendix: refractivity was denoted as $r$ in the previous sections).

The additional time delay in the neutral atmosphere along the trajectory $\eta(\xi), \zeta(\xi)$ with respect a case the atmospheric refractivity would have been 0.0 can be found by integrating along the path:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n a}=\frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left((1+n(\xi, \eta, \zeta)) \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{d \eta}{d \xi}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d \zeta}{d \xi}\right)^{2}}-1\right) d \xi \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A general solution of the classical variation problem $\int_{a}^{b} F\left(x, y, y^{\prime}, z, z^{\prime}\right) d x \longrightarrow$ min, is expressed in the form of a system of Euler equations (e.g. Petrov (1965)):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial F}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial y^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y \partial y^{\prime}} y^{\prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{\prime 2}} y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z \partial y^{\prime}} z^{\prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z^{\prime} \partial y^{\prime}} z^{\prime \prime}=0  \tag{35}\\
\frac{\partial F}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial x \partial z^{\prime}}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z \partial z^{\prime}} z^{\prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial z^{\prime 2}} z^{\prime \prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y \partial z^{\prime}} y^{\prime}-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial y^{\prime} \partial z^{\prime}} y^{\prime \prime}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Having substituted the functional from equation 33, we get the following system of non-linear


Figure 10. Geometry of photon propagation first from the point $S$ (Satellite) to T (top of the atmosphere) along direction to nominal footprint $F$ and then along the curved line $\xi(\eta)$ till it reaches the surface at point $D=\eta(F) / \cos (z)$.
equations that determines the trajectory of waves thought the inhomogeneous neutral atmosphere:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\eta^{\prime \prime} & =\left(\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n}\left(1+\zeta^{\prime 2}\right)-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime}-\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\eta^{2}+\zeta^{\prime 2}\right)+\eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime \prime}  \tag{36}\\
\zeta^{\prime \prime} & =\left(\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n}\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime}-\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\eta^{2}+\zeta^{\prime 2}\right)+\eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where notation $\eta^{\prime}$ means $\frac{d \eta}{d \xi}$ and $n_{\zeta}$ means $\frac{\partial n}{\partial \zeta}$.
We can split the linear and non-linear parts:

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta^{\prime \prime}= & \left(\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime}\right)- \\
& \left(2 \frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime}+\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 3}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime 2}\right.  \tag{37}\\
& \left.-\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime 3}+\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 2} \zeta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 3} \zeta^{\prime}+\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 4}+\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

By analogy, we can write an equation for $\zeta^{\prime \prime}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{\prime \prime}= & \left(\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime}\right)- \\
& \left(2 \frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}+\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 3}-\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime 2}\right.  \tag{38}\\
& \left.-\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime 3}+\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 2} \eta^{\prime 2}-\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime 3} \eta^{\prime}+\frac{n_{\zeta}}{1+n} \eta^{\prime 4}+\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$
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After combining equations (37)-(38), we finally get the system of two non-linear equations of the second order:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1+n) \eta^{\prime \prime}+n_{\xi} \eta^{\prime}-n_{\eta}=2 n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime 2}-n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime}+n_{\eta} \eta^{\prime 2}-n_{\xi} \eta^{\prime 3}-n_{x} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime 2}-n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime 3}+n_{\eta} \eta^{\prime 2} \zeta^{\prime 2}-n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime 3} \zeta^{\prime}+n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime 4}+n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime \prime}  \tag{39}\\
(1+n) \zeta^{\prime \prime}+n_{x} \zeta^{\prime}-n_{\zeta}=2 n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime 2}-n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}+n_{\zeta} \zeta^{\prime 2}-n_{\xi} \zeta^{\prime 3}-n_{\xi} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime 2}-n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime 3}+n_{\zeta} \zeta^{\prime 2} \eta^{\prime 2}-n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime 3} \eta^{\prime}+n_{\zeta} \eta^{\prime 4}+n_{\eta} \zeta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime} \eta^{\prime \prime}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

System of equation (39) is exact. It should be stressed that no simplification was introduced in deriving the system 39. These equations are valid for an arbitrary field of the refractivity index in the atmosphere. Though, it can be shown that for computation of path delay integral through the atmosphere, this system can be simplified by discarding non-linear part in right hand-side and discarding the second equation of system 39.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+n) \eta^{\prime \prime}+n_{x} \eta^{\prime}-n_{\eta}=0 . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative error does not exceed $1 \cdot 10^{-7}$, at elevations of $20^{\circ}$ above the horizon, which is more than sufficient for modeling ICESat-1 or ICESat-2.

These equations with respect to unknown function $\eta(\xi)$ should be augmented with the initial conditions. Since we are interested in computing the footprint offset, we assume that the position of the spacecraft and the direction of shooting are known. Selecting the direction of $\vec{\xi}$ axis gives us the fist boundary condition $\eta^{\prime}=0$. To simplify solving equations, we shift the origin of the coordinate system to the point at the straight line along the shooting direction where it intersects the nominal top of the atmosphere, 90 km for our computation that approximately corresponds atmospheric pressure 1 Pa . In that case, the second boundary condition is $\eta(0)=0$.

Finally, in order to find the photon trajectory through the heterogeneous atmosphere considered as a continuous media, we need solve a linear differential equation of the second order with two initial conditions at the origin:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta^{\prime \prime}+\frac{n_{\xi}}{1+n(\xi, \eta)} \eta^{\prime}-\frac{n_{\eta}}{1+n(\xi, \eta)}=0 \\
& \eta^{\prime}(0)=0  \tag{41}\\
& \eta(0)=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

The footprint displacements along north and east direction, $D_{N}$ and $D_{E}$ at the end of the photon trajectory $\eta(f)$ are evaluated as

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{N} & =\eta(f) \frac{\cos A}{\cos z} \\
D_{E} & =\eta(f) \frac{\sin A}{\cos z} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

where A is the azimuth of the satellite as viewed from the footprint from north to east, and $z$ is the zenith angle of the satellite viewed from the footprint.

## A.2. Partial derivatives of the refractivity field

In order to integrate equation 41, we need represent the 4 D field of refractivity with a smooth differentiable function. We do it by expanding the refractivity index into the tensor product of B-splines:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(h, \lambda, \varphi, t)=\sum_{l=1-m}^{l=d_{t}-1} \sum_{k=1-m}^{k=d_{\varphi}-1} \sum_{j=1-m}^{j=d_{\lambda}-1} \sum_{i=1-m}^{i=d_{h}-1} f_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m}(h) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}(\varphi) B_{l}^{m}(t) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{h}, d_{\lambda}, d_{\varphi}, d_{t}$ are dimensions of variables height above the geoid, $h$, longitude $\lambda$, geodetic latitude $\varphi$, and time, $t$ respectively and $B_{k}^{m}(x)$ is the B-spline of degree $m$ with the pivotal knot $k$ evaluated a point $x$. Algorithm for expanding refractivity index into B-spline basis is given in appendix. The advantage of expansion in form 43 is that partial derivatives of refractivity are easily computed using the same $f_{i j k l}$ expansion coefficients. For instance, refractivity partial derivative with respect to height is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{h}(h, \lambda, \varphi, t)=\sum_{l=1-m}^{l=d_{t}-1} \sum_{k=1-m}^{k=d_{\varphi}-1} \sum_{j=1-m}^{j=d_{\lambda}-1} \sum_{i=1-m}^{i=d_{h}-1} f_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m \prime}(h) B_{j}^{m}(\lambda) B_{k}^{m}(\varphi) B_{l}^{m}(t) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $B_{i}^{m \prime}(h)$ is computed using a recurrent relationship similar to the relationship used for computation of $B_{i}^{m}(h)$ (see Appendix B).

In order to compute partial derivatives $n_{\eta}$ and $n_{\xi}$ in equation 41 m we first form a vector of partial derivatives $n_{h}, n_{\lambda}, n_{\varphi}$. First, than transform it to a vector of partial derivatives with respect to coordinates of the crust-fixed coordinate system $n_{x}, n_{y}, n_{z}$.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial x}  \tag{45}\\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial z}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial h} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial h} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial h} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \lambda} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \varphi}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since Cartesian coordinates of a vector are expressed via height above the geoid $h$ (ortho-height), longitude $\lambda$ and geodetic latitude $\varphi$ this way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u(\varphi, \lambda)\right) \cos \lambda \cos \varphi \\
& y=\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u(\varphi, \lambda)\right) \sin \lambda \cos \varphi  \tag{46}\\
& z=\left(\frac{\left(1-e_{\oplus}^{2}\right) R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u(\varphi, \lambda)\right) \sin \varphi,
\end{align*}
$$

where $u(\varphi, \lambda)$ is the height of the geoid above the reference ellipsoid (geoid undulation), partial derivatives in 45 can be expressed in a closed form as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial x}{\partial h}=\cos \lambda \cos \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial h}=\sin \lambda \cos \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial h}=\sin \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda}=-\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u\right) \sin \lambda \cos \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda}=\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u\right) \cos \lambda \cos \varphi  \tag{47}\\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda}=0 \\
& \frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{e_{\oplus}^{2} R_{\oplus} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}} \cos \lambda \cos \varphi \quad-\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u\right) \cos \lambda \sin \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{e_{\oplus}^{2} R_{\oplus} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}} \sin \lambda \cos \varphi \quad-\left(\frac{R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u\right) \sin \lambda \sin \varphi \\
& \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi}=\frac{e_{\oplus}^{2}\left(1-e_{\oplus}^{2}\right) R_{\oplus} \sin \varphi \cos \varphi}{{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}^{3}} \sin \varphi \quad+\left(\frac{\left(1-e_{\oplus}^{2}\right) R_{\oplus}}{\sqrt{1-e_{\oplus}^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi}}+h+u\right) \cos \varphi
\end{align*}
$$

A vector in Cartesian coordinate system with axes $\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\zeta}$ is transformed to the crust-fixed Cartesian coordinate system with axes $x, y$, and $z$ via a sequence of rotations with respect to coordinate axis $1(\mathrm{x})$ and $3(\mathrm{z}): \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(-\lambda) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\left(\varphi_{g c n}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}(\pi / 2-A) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(\pi-z)$, where $z$ is the zenith angle, $A$ is the azimuth of the spacecraft as it seen from the footprint, $\lambda$ and $\varphi_{g c n}$ are longitude and geocentric latitude of the point under consideration. Finally, we can write expression for partial derivatives used in 19 via partial derivatives from the expansion of the refractivity field into B-spline basis:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \xi}  \tag{48}\\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \eta} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \zeta}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(-\lambda) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\left(\varphi_{g c n}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}(\pi / 2-A) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(\pi-z)\right)^{\top}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial x}{\partial h} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial h} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \lambda} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \lambda} \\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi} & \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi}
\end{array}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial n}{\partial h} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \lambda} \\
\frac{\partial n}{\partial \varphi}
\end{array}\right) .
$$



Figure 11. Geometry near the footprint $F$

## A.3. Solving differential equations of wave propagation

Differential equation 43 should be solved numerically, since its coefficients are empirical functions. There exist many methods for solving this kind of differential equations. However, we should keep in mind that the uncertainty in the results stems mainly due to the uncertainty in the refractivity field. Therefore, we will not gain in accuracy of our solution if we will use finer grid than the grid of the output of the numerical weather model.

First, we get positions of the footprint and the spacecraft. Position of the footprint, height above the geoid, longitude, and geodetic latitude, are provided in ICESat-1 data. We transform it to the Cartesian coordinates according to expression 46. Using zenith angle $z$ and azimuth $A$, we get the unit vector of the direction to the satellite from the footprint in the local topocentric coordinate system (Up,East,North):

$$
\vec{r}_{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\cos z  \tag{49}\\
\sin z \sin A \\
\sin z \cos A
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We rotate this vector to the crust-fixed coordinate system: $\vec{r}_{c}=\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(-\lambda) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\left(\varphi_{g c n}\right) \vec{r}_{u}$. Then we can get coordinates of a point along the vector from the satellite to the footprint that corresponds to a given height $H$ above the geoid ellipsoid. Neglecting Earth's elasticity and the dependence of geoid undulation on coordinates, the distance from the footprint to the level with height $H$ along the direction to the satellite is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(H)=\sqrt{\left(R_{\oplus}+H+u\right)^{2} \cos z^{2}+2\left(R_{\oplus}+H+u\right) H+H^{2}}-\left(R_{\oplus}+H+u\right) \cos z \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compute the refractivity and its partial derivatives at points where the vector from the footprint intersects layers of the 4D refractivity field starting from the nominal top of the atmosphere $D\left(H_{0}\right)$. Cartesian coordinates of this points are $D\left(H_{i}\right) \vec{r}_{c}$. Therefore, coordinate $\xi_{i}$ is $D\left(H_{0}\right)-D\left(H_{i}\right)$. For a given sequence of layer height of the numerical weather model grid $H_{i}$, we compute the grid $\xi_{k}$. The coordinates of this point in the Cartesian system with axes $\vec{\xi}, \vec{\eta}, \vec{\zeta}$ are $\left(\xi_{k}, 0,0\right)$. Applying rotation $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(-\lambda) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}\left(\varphi_{g c n}\right) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{1}(\pi / 2-A) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{3}(\pi-z)$, we transform this vector to the crust-fixed coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ and then compute ortho-height, geodetic latitude and longitude $h, \lambda, \varphi$ which are arguments of the expansion for refractivity. We compute B-spline and its first derivatives for these arguments and then compute refractivity $n$ and its partial derivatives $\frac{\partial n}{\partial h}, \frac{\partial n}{\partial \lambda}, \frac{\partial n}{\partial \varphi}$. With expression 45 we transform these derivatives to $n_{\xi}, n_{\eta}$.

We will seek the solution of the differential equation in a form of its expansion into B-spline basis $\eta(\xi)=\sum_{i=-2}^{i=n-1} F_{i} B_{i}^{m}(\xi)$. Since $n$ in equation 43 depends on $\eta$, we have to resort to iterations. At the first iteration we assume $\eta=0$. Differential equation in this basis is transformed to a system of $n$ linear algebraic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=-2}^{i=n-1} F_{i}\left(B_{i}^{m \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right)+\frac{n_{\xi, j}}{1+n_{j}} B_{i}^{m \prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right)=\frac{n_{\eta, j}}{1+n_{j}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

augmented with two boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=-2}^{i=n-1} F_{i} B_{i}^{m}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0 \\
& \sum_{i=-2}^{i=n-1} F_{i} B_{i}^{m \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=0 . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $B_{i}^{m \prime}$ and $B_{i}^{m \prime \prime}$ are first and second derivative of B-spline that are computed using recurrent relationships.

Close examination of equation 51 reveals that it has only three non-zero terms: $F_{j-3}, F_{j-2}$, and $F_{j-1}$ due to the property of B -spline function and its derivatives that that is non-zero only at several knots. The first equation in 52 has only one non-zero term: with index -2 , and the second equation in 52 has two non-zero terms: with index -2 and -1 . Thus, equations $51-52$ can be re-written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{-1,-2} F_{-2}=0 \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
a_{0,-2} & F_{-2} \\
a_{0,-1} F_{-1} & =0
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
a_{1,-2} & F_{-2} & a_{1,-1} F_{-1} & a_{1,-1} F_{0}
\end{array}=r_{1} \\
& a_{2,-1} F_{-1} \quad a_{2,0} \quad F_{0} \quad a_{2,1} F_{1} \quad=r_{2}  \tag{53}\\
& a_{n-1, n-4} F_{n-4} \quad a_{n-1, n-3} F_{n-3} \quad a_{n-1, n-2} F_{n-2} \quad=r_{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

That means that the $(n+2) \times(n+2)$ matrix in 53 is banded diagonal:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\mathbf{x} & & & & & & & &  \tag{54}\\
x & \mathbf{x} & & & & & & & \\
x & x & \mathbf{x} & & & & & & \\
\\
& x & x & \mathbf{x} & & & & & \\
& & x & x & \mathbf{x} & & & & \\
& & & x & x & \mathbf{x} & & & \\
\\
& & & & x & x & & & \\
& & & & & x & & & \\
& & & & & x & & \\
& & & & & & x & x & \\
& & & & & & & x & \\
& & & & & & \\
\mathbf{x}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Bold fonts shows the main diagonal. Solution of this system of equations is trivial:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{-2}=0 \\
& F_{-1}=0 \\
& F_{j}=\frac{\frac{n_{\eta, j+1}}{1+n_{j+1}}-F_{j-2}\left(B_{j-2}^{m}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)+\frac{n_{\xi, j+1}^{1}}{1+n_{j+1}} B_{j-2}^{m}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)\right)-F_{j-1}\left(B_{j-1}^{m}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)+\frac{n_{\xi, j+1}^{1}}{1+n_{j+1}} B_{j-1}^{m}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)\right)}{B_{j}^{m \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)+\frac{n_{, j, j+1}}{1+n_{j+1}} B_{j}^{m \prime}\left(\xi_{j+1}\right)} . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the coefficients $F_{j}$, we compute $\eta\left(\xi_{n}\right)$ and then the footprint offset with the use of expression 42.

## A.4. Results

Computation of the footprint offsets for ICESat-1 satellite showed that the footprint is shifted at $3-5 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is negligible for processing ICESat observations. Figure shows an example of the bending angle as a function of $\xi$ - the distance from the top of the atmosphere along the trajectory of photons for a hypothetical shot at distance angle $5^{\circ}$. In this example the bending angle at the surface as $4^{\prime \prime} .705$. According to Green (1985), the refraction angle for the standard atmosphere at low zenith angles can be approximated as $60^{\prime \prime} .29 \tan z-0^{\prime \prime} .0669 \tan z^{3}$, which gives $5^{\prime \prime} .27-$ within $10 \%$ of the above mentioned approximation. In this example the footprint offset is 0.205 m .

## B. Algorithm for the multidimensional B-spline interpolation

Let us consider a $N$-dimensional function at $R^{N}$ which is defined on a equi-distant $N$ dimensional grid and which has $m-1$ th derivatives at each point. Dimension of the function is $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots d_{N}$. Then at can be expanded over the $N$-dimension B-spline basis:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{i_{1}}, \ldots x_{i_{N}}\right)=\sum_{i_{1}=1-m}^{d_{1}-1} \ldots \sum_{i_{N}=1-m}^{d_{N}-1} f_{i_{1}}, \ldots i_{N} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=N} B_{i_{\alpha}}^{m}\left(x_{i_{\alpha}}\right) . \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\alpha$ is dimension and $N$ is rank. The coefficients $f_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{N}}$ of the expansion can be found by the following procedure.


Figure 12. Bending angle as a function of the distance from the top of the atmosphere (TOP) for Greenbelt, MD on 2006.06.23-00:48:43.562. The zenith angle of the satellite is $5^{\circ}$.

First, take dimension $\beta$ and extend the space of knots over dimension $\beta$ by adding 1 -m knots before the not with index 1 . Second, augment the number of knots by 1 for cyclic dimensions, such as longitude, and assign the coordinate of that extra knot to the coordinate of the 1st knot: $x_{n_{\alpha}+1}=x_{1}$.

Then extract $k=\prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq \beta}^{\alpha=N} d_{\alpha}$ one-dimensional sections of function $F$ by fixing all variables at all dimensions, except dimension $\beta$. For each such section compute coefficients of B-spline expansion $\bar{f}_{i}$ (bar denotes a one-dimensional section). For each index of the $\beta$ dimension $1,2, \ldots n_{1}$ we have a linear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}\left(x_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1-m}^{n_{\beta}-1} \bar{f}_{i} B_{i}^{m}\left(x_{k}\right) . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m>1$ we should augment this system of $n$ equations with $n-1+m$ unknowns with $m-1$ additional conditions. For $m=3$ we usually require B-spline to have first derivatives at the ends of interpolating range that coincide with with first differences:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\bar{F}\left(x_{2}\right)-\bar{F}\left(x_{1}\right)}{x_{2}-x_{1}}=\sum_{i=1-m}^{n_{\beta}-1} \bar{f}_{i} B_{i}^{m^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{\bar{F}\left(x_{n}\right)-\bar{F}\left(x_{n_{\beta}-1}\right)}{x_{n_{\beta}}-x_{n_{\beta}-1}}=\sum_{i=1-m}^{n_{\beta}-1} \bar{f}_{i}{B_{i}^{m^{\prime}}\left(x_{1}\right) .}^{\text {. }} . \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

## ATBD Troposphere v 1.0

Since a B-spline is non-zero at $[i-m+1, i]$ knots only, the system of linear algebraic equations 57 58 is banded with the width of the diagonal band $m+1$. The system is solved by decomposition of the left-hand side matrix. It sufficient to do it only once. The decomposed matrix of the linear system of equations is used for solving interpolation equations with $k=\prod_{\alpha=1, \alpha \neq \beta}^{\alpha=N} d_{\alpha}$ right-hand sides. After solving each equation with a given right hand-side, the result replaces elements $\bar{f}_{i}$ of the function $F$ at the extended grid - the former right-hand-side. There exist very efficient parallel algorithms for this procedure.

This operation is repeated for the 2nd, 3rd till the N-th dimension. Operation for each next dimension is done with results of processing the previous dimensions. The computational complexity of this algorithm is proportional to the product of dimensions: $O\left(\prod_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=N} d_{\alpha}\right)$, i.e. the number of grid elements.

As the input N -dimensional array is put into the extended grid $\left[1-m: d_{1}, 1-m: d_{2}, \ldots 1-m\right.$ : $\left.d_{N}\right]$. At the beginning elements with indices 1-m:0 are undefined, elements with indices $1: d_{\alpha}$ are filled with the values of the function to be expanded. At the end the N -dimensional array is filled with the coefficients of B-spline expansion in elements with indices $\left[1-m, d_{\alpha}-1\right]$. Elements with indices $d_{\alpha}$ keep original values of the input function.

Below is a detailed explanation

## B.1. Computation of B-spline and its derivative

Definition 1. Let $m$ be a natural number. Truncated power function is:

$$
x_{+}^{m}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
x^{m}, & \text { if } & x>0 \\
0, & \text { if } & x \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2. Define function $f(t)$ on the set of knots $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}\right)$ such that $t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots t_{k}$. Then the relationship

$$
f\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}\right]=\frac{f\left(t_{j+1}\right)-f\left(t_{j}\right)}{t_{j+1}-t_{j}}, \quad j \in 1: k-1
$$

is called divided difference of the first order.
Definition 3. Divided difference of the $m$-th order is determined with use of the following relationship:

$$
f\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_{j+m}\right]=\frac{f\left[t_{j+1}, t_{j+2}, \ldots, t_{j+m}\right]-f\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_{j+m-1}\right]}{t_{j+m}-t_{j}} .
$$

Definition 4. Polynomial spline of degree $m$ on the sequence of knots $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that $x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \ldots x_{k}$ is a function

$$
S(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} a_{k} x_{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)_{+}^{m} .
$$

The knots $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ are called support of the spline.
Definition 5. $B$-spline of the $m$-th degree with the pivot knot $i$ on the knots sequence $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_{k+m+1}\right)$ such that $t_{k}<t_{k+1}<\ldots<t_{k+m+1}$ is the function

$$
B_{k}^{m}(x)=\sum_{j=i}^{k+m+1}(t-x)_{+}^{m}\left[t_{j}, t_{j+1}, \ldots, t_{j+m}\right] .
$$

## B.1.1. Recurrent relationship for B-spline

B-spline of the 0 -th degree with the pivot knot $i$ degree on the on the knots sequence $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ such that $t_{k}<t_{k+1}<\ldots<t_{k}$ is determined the following way:

$$
B_{k}^{0}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } x \in\left[x_{k}, x_{k+1}\right)  \tag{59}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

B -spline of the $m$ th degree with the pivot knot $i$ on the on the knots sequence $\left(t_{k}, t_{k+1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is expressed via B -splines of the $m-1$ th degree this way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{m}(x)=\frac{x-x_{k}}{x_{k+m}-x_{k}} B_{k}^{m-1}(x)+\frac{x-x_{k+m+1}}{x_{k+1}-x_{k+m+1}} B_{k+1}^{m-1}(x) . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.1.2. Recurrent relationship for the first derivative of a B-spline

The first derivative of the B -spline of the $m$-th degree with the pivot knot $i$ is expressed via B-splines of the $m-1$ th degree this way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{m \prime}(x)=\frac{m}{x_{k+m}-x_{k}} B_{k}^{m-1}(x)+\frac{m}{x_{k+1}-x_{k+m+1}} B_{k+1}^{m-1}(x) . \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.1.3. Recurrent relationship for the second derivative of a B-spline

The first derivative of the B -spline of the $m$-th degree with the pivot knot $i$ is expressed via B-splines of the $m-1$ th degree this way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}^{m \prime \prime}(x)=\frac{m}{x_{k+m}-x_{k}} B_{k}^{m-1^{\prime}}(x)+\frac{m}{x_{k+1}-x_{k+m+1}} B_{k+1}^{m-1^{\prime}}(x) . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.1.4. Expression for integral of the B-spline

Integral of the B-spline of the $m$-th degree with the pivot knot $i$ is expressed via a sum of the B-splines of the $m+1$ th degree this way:

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{k}^{m}(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} B_{k}^{m}(x) d x=\frac{x_{k+m+1}-x_{k}}{m+1} \sum_{p=k}^{k+m} B_{p}^{m+1}(x) .  \tag{63}\\
J_{k}^{m}(x)=\int_{x}^{+\infty} B_{k}^{m}(x) d x=\frac{x_{k+m+1}-x_{k}}{m+1}\left(1-\sum_{p=k}^{k+m} B_{p}^{m+1}(x)\right) . \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{k}^{m}(x)=\int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_{k}} B_{k}^{m}(x) d x=\frac{x_{k+m+1}-x_{k}}{m+1} \sum_{p=k}^{k+m}\left(B_{p}^{m+1}\left(x_{k}\right)-B_{p}^{m+1}\left(x_{k-1}\right)\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.1.5. Computation of integral from a B-spline transform

Let us have function $x_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)$ defined on a non-decreasing sequence of knots $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{n-1}$, $t_{n}$ such that $\forall k<n-1 \quad t_{k} \leq t_{k+1}$. Let it have 1D B-spline transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=n-1} X_{k} B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integral from the 1 st to the $k$ th knot $(k>1)$ can be easily evaluated

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{k}} x(t) d t=\sum_{p=k-m-1}^{k-1} X_{p} K_{p}^{m}(x) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

## B.2. 1D B-spline transform

Let us have function $x_{k}\left(t_{k}\right)$ defined on a non-decreasing sequence of knots $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots t_{n-1}, t_{n}$ such that $\forall k<n-1 \quad t_{k} \leq t_{k+1}$. Then we define the so-called B-spline transform of degree $m \mathcal{B}^{m}(x)$ that transforms $x \rightarrow X$ at the extended sequence of knots $t_{1-m}, t_{2-m}, \ldots t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{n-1}, t_{n}$ such that $\forall k \leq 1 \quad t_{k}=t_{1} . X_{n}$ is undefined. Thus, $X(t)$ has $n+m-1$ values.

The transform is defined in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=n-1} X_{k} B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right)$ is zero outside interval $\left(t_{i-m}, t_{i+1}\right)$, the sum in 68 is reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)=\sum_{k=i-m}^{k=i} X_{k} B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the number of unknowns is by $m-1$ greater than the number of equations, we need add $m-1$ conditions. For $m=3$ we add two conditions at the ends of the interval constraining the first derivative. We require that the first derivative $\dot{x}\left(t_{1}\right)$ be equal to the first difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}\left(t_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1-m}^{k=1} X_{k} B_{k}^{\prime m}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{x\left(t_{2}\right)-x\left(t_{1}\right)}{t_{2}-t_{1}} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

and analogous condition at the other end of the interval:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}\left(t_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=n-1-m}^{k=n-1} X_{k} B_{k}^{\prime m}\left(t_{n}\right)=\frac{x\left(t_{n}\right)-x\left(t_{n-1}\right)}{t_{n}-t_{n-1}} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $B_{k}^{\prime m}(t)$ is the first derivative of B -spline.
Equations 69-71 forms the band-diagonal matrix. In a case of $m=3$, it has this form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllllllll}
x & & & & & & & & &  \tag{72}\\
x & x & & & & & & & & \\
& x & x & x & & & & & & \\
& & x & x & x & & & & & \\
& & & x & x & x & & & & \\
& & & & x & x & x & & & \\
& & & & & x & x & x & & \\
& & & & & & x & x & x & \\
& & & & & & & & x & x \\
& & & & & & & & & \\
& & & & & & & & x
\end{array}\right)
$$

This system of equations is efficiently solved using with BLAS routines SGBTRF (matrix LU decomposition) and SGBTRS (solving linear algebraic equations of the decomposed matrix). These routines require the matrix to be stored in the so-called band-storage format.

The one-dimensional B-spline transform of degree $m$ of array of values $x$ and argument $t$ of dimension $n$ is performed in 4 steps:

Step 1: Fill the matrix of equations in banded format. Reserve $(\mathrm{m}+1)^{*}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1)$ elements of memory for matrix $M$. Although, $M$ is a matrix, the index expression below unrolls dimensions, i.e. matrix $M$ is treated as a one-dimensional array.

Fill it in two loops:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1-m, 1-m+1, \ldots, n-1 \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=\max (i+1,0) \ldots, \min (i+m, n+1) \text { do } \\
& \text { if } \quad k==0 \quad \text { then } M[i-1+m(j+2)]=B_{i}^{\prime m}\left(t_{1}+\varepsilon\right) \\
& \text { else if } \quad k==n+1 \quad \text { then } M[i-1+m(j+2)]=B_{i}^{\prime m}\left(t_{n}-\varepsilon\right) \\
& \text { else if } \quad k==n \quad \text { then } M[i-1+m(j+2)]=B_{i}^{m}\left(t_{n}-\varepsilon\right) \\
& \text { else } \quad \text { then } M[i-1+m(j+2)]=B_{i}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small number taken in order to avoid errors in comparison of two real numbers due to rounding.
Step 2: Decompose matrix band $M$ with dimension $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1$, sub-diagonal dimension 1, and superdiagonal 1 into a $L^{*} \mathrm{U}$ product using BLAS routine SGBTRF/DGBTRF:

SGBTRF ( $\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1, \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{m}+1$, I, INFO )
where $I$ is an integer array of dimension $n+m-1$ undefined at input
Step 3: Build the right hand-side array $R$ of dimension $[\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1$ ]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}[1]=(\mathrm{x}[2]-\mathrm{x}[1]) /(\mathrm{t}[2]-\mathrm{t}[1]) \quad \# \text { initial condition } \\
& \mathrm{for} i=2,3, \ldots, n \mathrm{do} \\
& \quad R[i]=x[i-1] \\
& \mathrm{R}[\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}-1]=(\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}]-\mathrm{x}[\mathrm{n}-1]) /(\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{n}]-\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{n}-1]) \quad \# \text { initial condition }
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Solve for B-spline transform by solving a system of linear equations with decouples banded matrix of dimension $(n+m-1) \times(n+m-1)$ with sub-diagonal dimension 1 , and super-diagonal 1 and with right-hand side array $R$.

SGBTRS ( 'T', n+m-1, 1, 1, 1, M, m+1, I, R, n+m, INFO )
The result is written into place of R :
$\mathrm{X}:=\mathrm{R}$
end of algorithm.

## B.3. 2D B-spline transform

Let us have a function $x_{i j}\left(t_{i j}\right)$ defined on a regular 2D grid o of dimension $n_{1} \times n_{2}$. A 2D grid $t_{i j}$ is called regular if $\forall j, i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2}$ the difference $t_{i_{2}, j}-t_{i_{1}, j}=$ const and $t_{i, j_{2}}-t_{i, j_{1}}=$ const, i.e. the interval over one dimension does not depend on another dimension. Let grid interval over each dimension $d$ is a non-decreasing sequence with indices $1,2, \ldots n_{d_{1}}$. Then the 2D B-spline transform is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i j}\left(t_{i j}\right)=\sum_{k=1-m}^{n_{1}-1} \sum_{l=1-m}^{n_{2}-1} X_{k l} B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right) B_{l}^{m}\left(t_{j}\right) . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Basically, the 2D B-spline transform is done by performing $n_{2}$ times the 1D B-spine transform over columns and them by performing $n_{1}$ times the 1D B-spine transform over rows over the result of the previous procedure. Columns of original function $x_{i a}$ are replaced with their 1D B-spline transform $\mathcal{B}\left(x_{i a}\right)=X_{i a}^{1 d}$. Then, the result is transformed over rows: $X_{b j}^{2 d}=\mathcal{B}\left(X_{b j}^{1 d}\right)$

The 2D array $X_{i j}$ has dimension $\left[1-m: n_{1}-1,1-m: n_{2}-1\right]$. In order to simplify implementation, we size input array x as $[1-\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{n}, 1-\mathrm{m}: \mathrm{n}]$ with elements with indices less than 1 undefined at input. Results of transform are put in the same place with elements with index equal to n undefined (and unused). The algorithm is the following:

Step 1: Extract 1D array $T_{1}(a)=t[a, 1]$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$.
Step 2: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{1}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{1}$.

Step 3:
for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$ parallel do
Step 3a : Create right-hand side:
$R[1]=(x[2,1]-x[1,1]) /(t[2,1]-t[1,1])$
for $j=2,3, \ldots, n_{1}$ do
$R[j]=x[j-1, i]$
$R[n+m-1]=\left(x\left[n_{1}, i\right]-x\left[n_{1}-1, i\right]\right) /\left(t\left[n_{1}, 1\right]-t\left[n_{1}-1,1\right]\right)$
Step 3b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th column:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{1}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1,1, M_{1}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{1}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 3c: Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1} \text { do } \\
& \quad x[j, i]:=R[j+m]
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Extract 1D array $T_{2}(b)=t[1, b]$ for $b=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$.
Step 5: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{2}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{2}$.

Step 6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} \text { parallel do } \\
& \quad \text { Step } 6 \text { a : Create right-hand side: } \\
& \quad R[1]=(x[1,2]-x[1,1]) /(t[1,2]-t[1,1]) \\
& \quad \text { for } j=2,3, \ldots, n_{1} \text { do } \\
& \quad R[j]=x[i, j-1] \\
& \quad R[n+m-1]=\left(x\left[i, n_{2}\right]-x\left[i, n_{2}-1\right]\right) /\left(t\left[1, n_{2}\right]-t\left[1, n_{2}-1\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 6b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th row:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{2}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1,1, M_{2}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{2}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 6c : Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2}-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad x[i, j]:=R[j+m]
\end{aligned}
$$

End of algorithm.
Comment: Longitude-latitude $n \times m$ data are usually represented at an equal-angular grid that runs from 0 to $2 \pi-\Delta \lambda$ and from $-\pi / 2$ to $\pi$, where $\Delta \lambda=2 \pi / n$ is the grid step over longitude. The grid defined such a way covers longitude interval $[0,2 \pi-\Delta \lambda]$. Therefore, a longitude range $(2 \pi-\Delta \lambda, 2 \pi]$ falls in a blind zone. In order to overcome this problem, the grid is extended to $n+1 \times m$ by adding a row of longitude $2 \pi$ such that $\forall m: ~ G(n+1, m)=G(1, m)$. When we preform 2D, 3D, or 4D B-spline transform that involves one of dimensions along longitude, we always extend the grid on the fly. Memory for the extended grid should be sized accordingly.

## B.4. 3D B-spline transform

Algorithm of 3D B-spline transform is organized in a similar way as the 2D transform. The same procedure is performed three times: over the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd dimension. Each time a 2D section complimentary to a given dimension is extracted, 1D transform is performed and results are put in place of the original data.

Step 1: Extract 1D array $T_{1}(a)=t[a, 1,1] \forall a=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$.
Step 2: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{1}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{1}$.

## Step 3:

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$ parallel do
Step 3a: Create right-hand side:
for $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$ do
$R[1, j]=(x[2, j, i]-x[1, j, i]) /\left(T_{1}[2]-T_{1}[1]\right)$
for $k=2,3, \ldots, n_{1}$ do
$R[k, j]=x[k-1, j, i]$
$R[n+m-1, j]=\left(x\left[n_{1}, j, i\right]-x\left[n_{1}-1, j, i\right]\right) /\left(T_{1}\left[n_{1}\right]-T_{1}\left[n_{1}-1\right]\right)$
Step 3b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th column
with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( ' T ', $n_{1}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1, n_{2}, M_{1}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{1}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 3c: Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2} \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad x[k, j, i]:=R[k+m, j]
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Extract 1D array $T_{2}(b)=t[1, b, 1]$ for $b=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$.
Step 5: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{2}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M$.

Step 6:
for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$ parallel do
Step 6a: Create right-hand side:
for $j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1$ do

$$
R[1, j]=(x[j, 2, i]-x[j, 1, i]) /\left(T_{2}[2]-T_{2}[1]\right)
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots, n_{2}$ do $R[k, j]=x[j, k-1, i]$
$R[n+m-1, j]=\left(x\left[j, n_{2}, i\right]-x\left[j, n_{2}-1, i\right]\right) /\left(T_{2}\left[n_{2}\right]-T_{2}\left[n_{2}-1\right]\right)$
Step 6b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th dimension with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{2}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1, n_{1}, M_{1}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{2}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 6c : Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2}-1 \text { do } \\
& x[j, k, i]:=R[k+m, j]
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7: Extract 1D array $T_{3}(c)=t[1,1, b]$ for $b=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$.
Step 8: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{3}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M$.

Step 9:
for $i=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2}$ parallel do
Step 9a: Create right-hand side:
for $j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1$ do $R[1, k]=(x[k, i, 2]-x[k, i, 1]) /\left(T_{3}[2]-T_{3}[1]\right)$ for $k=2,3, \ldots, n_{3}$ do $R[k, j]=x[j, i, k-1]$ $R[n+m-1, j]=\left(x\left[j, i, n_{2}\right]-x\left[j, i, n_{2}-1\right) /\left(T_{3}\left[n_{3}\right]-T_{3}\left[n_{3}-1\right]\right)\right.$
Step 9b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th dimension with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( ' T ', $n_{3}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1, n_{1}, M_{1}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{3}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 9c: Put result in place of original data
for $j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1$ do for $k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{3}-1$ do

$$
x[j, i, k]:=R[k+m, j]
$$

## B.5. 4D B-spline transform

Algorithm of a 4D B-spline transform is organized similar to the 3D transform algorithm. The same procedure is performed four times: over the 1st, 2 nd , 3rd, and 4 th dimension. Each time a 3D section complimentary to a given dimension is extracted, 1D transform is performed and results are put in place of the original data.
Step 1: Extract 1D array $T_{1}(a)=t[a, 1,1,1]$ for $a=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$.
Step 2: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{1}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{1}$.

Step 3:
for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{4}$ parallel do
Step 3a : Create right-hand side:
for $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$ do
for $k=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$ do
$R[1, k, j]=(x[2, k, j, i]-x[1, k, j, i]) /\left(T_{1}[2]-T_{1}[1]\right)$
for $l=2,3, \ldots, n_{1}$ do

$$
R[l, k, j]=x[l-1, k, j, i]
$$

$$
R[n+m-1, k, j]=\left(x\left[n_{1}, k, j, i\right]-x\left[n_{1}-1, k, j, i\right]\right) /
$$

$$
\left(T_{1}\left[n_{1}\right]-T_{1}\left[n_{1}-1\right]\right)
$$

Step 3b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th column with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{1}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1, n_{2} \cdot n_{3}, M_{1}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{1}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 3c: Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, n_{3} \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad \text { for } l=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \quad x[l, k, j, i]:=R[l+m, k, j]
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: Extract 1D array $T_{2}(b)=t[1, b, 1,1]$ for $b=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$.
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Step 5: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{2}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{1}$.

Step 6:
for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{4}$ parallel do
Step 6a : Create right-hand side:
for $j=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$ do
for $k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}$ do

$$
R[1, k, j]=(x[k, 2, j, i]-x[k, 1, j, i]) /\left(T_{2}[2]-T_{2}[1]\right)
$$

for $l=2,3, \ldots, n_{2}$ do

$$
R[l, k, j]=x[k, l-1, j, i]
$$

$$
R[n+m-1, k, j]=\left(x\left[k, n_{2}, j, i\right]-x\left[k, n_{2}-1, j, i\right]\right) /
$$

$$
\left(T_{2}\left[n_{2}\right]-T_{2}\left[n_{2}-1\right]\right)
$$

Step 6b: Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th dimension with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{2}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1,\left(n_{1}+m\right) \cdot n_{3}, M_{2}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{2}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 6c : Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, n_{3} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{r}
\text { for } l=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2}-1 \text { do } \\
\quad x[k, l, j, i]:=R[l+m, k, j]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 7: Extract 1D array $T_{3}(c)=t[1,1, c, 1]$ for $c=1,2, \ldots, n_{3}$.
Step 8: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{3}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed $\operatorname{matrix} M_{2}$.

Step 9:
for $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{4}$ parallel do
Step 9a : Create right-hand side:
for $j=1-m, 2-n, \ldots, n_{2}$ do
for $k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}$ do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R[1, k, j]=(x[k, j, 2, i]-x[k, j, 1, i]) /\left(T_{3}[2]-T_{3}[1]\right) \\
& \text { for } l=2,3, \ldots, n_{3} \text { do } \\
& \quad R[l, k, j]=x[k, j, l-1, i] \\
& R[n+m-1, k, j]=\left(x\left[k, j, n_{3}, i\right]-x\left[k, j, n_{3}-1, i\right]\right) / \\
& \quad\left(T_{3}\left[n_{3}\right]-T_{3}\left[n_{3}-1\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 9b: Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th dimension
with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( ' T ', $n_{3}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1,\left(n_{1}+m\right) \cdot\left(n_{3}+m\right), M_{3}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{3}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 9c : Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{r}
\text { for } l=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{3}-1 \text { do } \\
x[k, j, l, i]:=R[l+m, k, j]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 10: Extract 1 D array $T_{4}(d)=t[1,1,1, c]$ for $d=1,2, \ldots, n_{4}$.

Step 11: Perform Steps 1, and 2 of the 1D algorithm over $T_{4}$ array. As a result, we get decomposed matrix $M_{3}$.

Step 12:
for $i=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{3}$ parallel do Step 12a : Create right-hand side: for $j=1-m, 2-n, \ldots, n_{2}$ do for $k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}$ do
$R[1, k, j]=(x[k, j, i, 2]-x[k, j, i, 1]) /\left(T_{4}[2]-T_{4}[1]\right)$
for $l=2,3, \ldots, n_{4}$ do
$R[l, k, j]=x[k, j, i, l-1]$
$R[n+m-1, k, j]=\left(x\left[k, j, i, n_{4}\right]-x\left[k, j, i, n_{4}-1\right]\right) /$
$\left(T_{4}\left[n_{4}\right]-T_{4}\left[n_{4}-1\right]\right)$

Step 12b : Solve for 1D B-spline transform for the $i$-th dimension with multiple right-hand sides:
SGBTRS ( 'T', $n_{4}+\mathrm{m}-1,1,1,\left(n_{1}+m\right) \cdot\left(n_{2}+m\right), M_{4}, \mathrm{~m}+1, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}, n_{4}+\mathrm{m}$, INFO )
Step 12c : Put result in place of original data

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { for } j=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{2} \text { do } \\
& \text { for } k=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{1}-1 \text { do } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { for } l=1-m, 2-m, \ldots, n_{4}-1 \text { do } \\
\\
x[k, j, i, l]:=R[l+m, k, j]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## B.6. Computation of a function and its derivative using its B-spline transform.

Let us consider a general case of a 4 D function. Computation of function is done in two steps. First step is to find so-called pivot indices for every dimension for a given value of argument A pivot index $i_{p}$ for argument $t_{a}$ is such that $t\left[i_{p}\right]=\max \left(t_{i} \leq t_{a}\right)$, i.e. the maximum grid element index among those not exceeding $t_{a}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{i j k l}\right)=\sum_{i=i_{p}-m}^{i=i_{p}} \sum_{j=j_{p}-m}^{j=j_{p}} \sum_{j=k_{p}-m}^{j=k_{p}} \sum_{j=l_{p}-m}^{j=l_{p}} X_{i j k l} B_{i}^{m}\left(t_{i}\right) B_{j}^{m}\left(t_{j}\right) B_{k}^{m}\left(t_{k}\right) B_{l}^{m}\left(t_{l}\right) . \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 1 \times 1$-Minute Geoid Undulation Grid available from
    http://earth-info.nga.mil/GandG/wgs84/gravitymod/egm2008/egm08_wgs84.html

